

Federal Awards Reports in Accordance with the Uniform Guidance For the Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2019

### California Automated Consortium Eligibility System

(A Joint Powers Authority)



# California Automated Consortium Eligibility System (A Joint Powers Authority) Table of Contents June 30, 2019

ndependent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Ot Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditi</i> Standards	ng
ndependent Auditor's Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program; Report on Internal Cor Compliance; and Report on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform	ntrol over
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	6
Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	7
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	8
Summary Schadula of Prior Audit Findings	11



Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards

Board of Directors of the California Automated Consortium Eligibility System Rancho Cordova, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities and the general fund of the California Automated Consortium Eligibility System (Authority), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2019, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Authority's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated March 27, 2020.

### **Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the Authority's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or, significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

#### **Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Authority's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Rancho Cucamonga, California

Esde Sailly LLP

March 27, 2020



Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program; Report on Internal Control over Compliance; and Report on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

Board of Directors of the California Automated Consortium Eligibility System Rancho Cordova, California

### **Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program**

We have audited California Automated Consortium Eligibility System's (Authority) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the Authority's major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2019. The Authority's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

#### Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

#### **Auditor's Responsibility**

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the Authority's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Those standards and the Uniform Guidance require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the Authority's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the Authority's compliance.

#### **Opinion on Each Major Federal Program**

In our opinion, the Authority, complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2019.

### **Report on Internal Control Over Compliance**

Management of the Authority is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit of compliance, we considered the Authority's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Authority's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

#### Report on Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Required by the Uniform Guidance

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities and the general fund of the Authority as of and for the year ended June 30, 2019, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Authority's basic financial statements. We issued our report thereon dated March 27, 2020 which contained unmodified opinions on those financial statements. Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the basic financial statements. The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards is presented for purposes of additional analysis as required the Uniform Guidance and is not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditure of federal awards is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

Rancho Cucamonga, California

Gode Sailly LLP

March 27, 2020

Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Year Ended June 30, 2019

Federal Grantor/Pass-through Grantor/Program or Cluster Title	Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Entity Identification Number	Federal Award Expenditures
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services			
Pass - Through State of California Department of Social Services:			
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) Cluster:			
Temporary Assistance for Needy Families	93.558	613673185	\$ 16,740,489
Refugee and Entrant Assistance - State Administered Program Medicaid Cluster:	93.566	613673185	31,373
Medical Assistance Program	93.778	613673185	85,547,635
Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services			102,319,497
U.S. Department of Agriculture			
Pass - Through State of California Department of Social Services:			
Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) Cluster:			
State Administrative Matching Grants for the			
Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program	10.561	613673185	24,813,176
Total U.S. Department of Agriculture			24,813,176
Total Expenditures of Federal Awards			\$ 127,132,673
Reconciliation of the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards to			
intergovernmental revenues on the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and			
Changes in Fund Balance - Governmental Fund:			
Federal Grants			\$ 127,132,673
State and Local Grants			100,435,071
Intergovernmental revenues			\$ 227,567,744

Notes to Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards Year Ended June 30, 2019

### Note 1 - Basis of Presentation

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (Schedule) includes the federal award activity of the California Automated Consortium Eligibility System (Authority), under programs of the federal government for the year ended June 30, 2019. The information in this Schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Because the Schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of the Authority, it is not intended to and does not present the financial position, changes in net position, or cash flows of the Authority.

### Note 2 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Expenditures reported on the Schedule are reported on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Such expenditures are recognized following cost principles contained in the Uniform Guidance, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowed or are limited as to reimbursement.

### Note 3 - Indirect Cost Rate

The Authority has elected to not use the 10-percent de minimis indirect cost rate allowed under the Uniform Guidance.

### California Automated Consortium Eligibility System (A Joint Powers Authority) Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs

Year Ended June 30, 2019

	Section I – Summary of Auditor's Results	
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS		
	on whether the financial statements audited were prepared	
in accordance with GAAP:	• •	Unmodified
Internal control over financia	reporting:	
Material weakness(es) ide	•	No
Significant deficiency(ies)	identified?	None reported
Noncompliance material to fi	nancial statements noted?	No
FEDERAL AWARDS		
Internal control over major fe	ederal programs:	
Material weakness(es) ide	entified?	No
Significant deficiency(ies)	identified?	None reported
Type of auditors' report issue	d on compliance for major federal programs:	Unmodified
Any audit findings disclosed t	hat are required to be reported in accordance with	
2 CFR 200.516(a)?		No
Identification of major federa	I programs:	
CFDA Number(s)	Name of Federal Program or Cluster	
93.778	Medicaid Cluster	_
	nguish between type A and type B programs:	\$ 3,000,000
Auditee qualified as low-risk	auditee?	Yes

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended June 30, 2019

### Section II – Financial Statement Findings

None reported.

Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs Year Ended June 30, 2019

### Section III – Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

None reported.

Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings Year Ended June 30, 2019

None reported