CalSAWS | Foster Care Committee (July 2020)

Date:	07/28/2020	Notes Location: Call in Meeting Only
Time:	1:30 pm – 3:30 pm	Meeting Materials:
Meeting Called by:	Ignacio Lázaro	
Attendees:	Region 1: Selia Johnson, J	Julie Stuscavage, <mark>Shari Garrity</mark> , Maria Pacheco, Maria Torres
	Region 2: Stacey Jackson	n, <mark>Julie Sato-Ruzich, Rhonda Solus,</mark> Holly Hashimoto
	Region 3: Gabrielle Anderson, Lauren Hall, Cyndi Thomas, Rod Delfer, Brandy Foushee	
	Region 4: Pam Townsend, Amalia Cabadas, Michelle Hernandez, Frank Hernandez,	
	Adam Bacon	
	Region 5: Wendy Marshel	<mark>ell</mark> , Jose Beltran, <mark>Kali Sorrels-Goode</mark> , <mark>Bridget Salaza</mark> r, Cathleen
	Orr	
	Region 6: Ana Adame, Lo	atrice Anderson, Odet Tahmassian, Kimberly Wilkerson,
	Stephanie Sandoval	
	CalSAWS:	
	Girish Chakkingal, Srinivasa Meenavalli, Kristi Rodriguez, <mark>Amy Gil</mark> l, Tiffany	
	Huckaby, <mark>Michelle Ram</mark>	nos, <mark>Laura Ould</mark>

Topic

Review FC/AAP/KG forms

• There was a non-state forms session that reviewed the various consortia forms to see what was already available in CalSAWS. Any of the forms that were not were reviewed because of the number of times they'd been used in the last year were to identify ones that likely need to be kept. The forms that are kept need to be made useable by all 58 counties. As a note, there will still be some LA Countyspecific forms that only LA County will see.

Important Points

 Whichever forms the group decides need to be migrated will go through separate sessions to agree on the language. The counties will review the forms and respond back.

CA-200366- CCR Migration- RDB FC Ratios

Item 1

• The FFA/GH/STRTP ratios in LRS are flat—they are not individual to each agency/program, but rather based on the placement type and whether CCR or Non-CCR. The ones in C-IV are specific to agency/program. The Reports Team said these fields are tied to the FC 1 as well as a couple of other reports. The proposal is to migrate the C-IV pages to LRS prior to migration. There would need to be a few adjustments needed as LRS allows multiple programs (ie FFA treatment, GH, ISFC) to be associated to one Resource for the parent agency. The idea is ultimately to allow these to be updated behind the scenes in a similar way to how the FC rate tables are updated at the COLA (CNI Increase).

<u>CA-207181</u>- Make "State Program Number" field mandatory on FC License Detail Page

 When selecting certain placement types, the State Program Number field would be mandatory on the FC License Detail Page We covered the proposal of moving the program number down by facility type on the Resource Detail Page, and then making it mandatory. The LA interface with CWS doesn't include the program number, so if there is a placement in a completely new Resource, the system will create a new Resource in a pending state and it would enter a placeholder number (like zeros). Topic Important Points

Afterwards, staff come in and enter data to the Resource and take it out of pending status.

• There was some concerns over using default numbers, as it might be an issue with getting the rates updated later (if they don't get updated). It was explained why they are currently required in C-IV, which is because it is not possible to get the FC 1 to create properly without the program number and the ratios. It was recommended that it would not be good to use placeholder numbers, nor would it be good to not make it mandatory. It was stated that the Reporting Committee should be brought into the conversation.

<u>CA-207166-</u> Update Child Placement Detail Page for NRLG

- Item 1
- Item 2

• This SCR would create fields that would allow the C-IV functionality of using the date of guardianship to drive the date. There is an accommodation for Region 6 placements. It would also add a facility type of legal guardian to accommodate the cases coming from C-IV with that type and create a relationship type of non-relative guardian. LA wants C-IV to map over Legal Guardian as Guardian Home. It was noted that all the resources that currently have the type of Legal Guardian would have to be converted to Guardian Home. Region 6 asked if this would automate the selection of the rate or would staff still be required to select the rate. This will be taken back, researched, and we will respond back to the group to show options.

CA-BTSCA (Clothing Allowance Differences) in C-IV & LRS

 Both LRS and C-IV have regularly scheduled clothing allowance batches. Currently the batch only looks at children who live in the county that has jurisdiction. In C-IV the batch job run date is configurable by county. Region 6 says the clothing allowances should be configurable. CalSAWS wants feedback from counties to see if any of the design differences should be adopted across the board. A separate meeting will take place for this.

(Optional Items)

Action Item Assigned To Assigned Date Due Date Status

1
2

Decision Made Who Made the Date



Decision 1 2