



## [CA-50300] LRS Transportation types drop down

Team Responsible:	<b>Fiscal</b>	Assignee:	<b>Jimmy Tu</b>	SPG Status:	<b>Approved</b>
Fix Version/s:	<b>[21.01]</b>	Designer Contact:	<b>Jimmy Tu</b>	Change Type (SCR):	<b>Enhancement</b>
Minor Version:		Expedite Changes:	<b>No</b>	Estimate:	
Reporter:	<b>Jira Cleanup</b>	Regulation Reference:		Created:	<b>01/19/2018 11:44 AM</b>
Status:	<b>Design in Progress</b>	Impact Analysis:		Outreach Required:	<b>No</b>
Policy/Design Consortium Contact:	<b>Gingko Luna</b>	Training Impacted:	<b>[N/A]</b>	Funding Source:	
Project Phase (SCR):	<b>Production</b>	Migration Impact:		Funding Source ID:	
Committee:	<b>[Welfare to Work/WPR]</b>	Approved by Committee:		Other Agency Cross Reference:	<b>CA-49410</b>
Consortium Review Approval:		Consortium Review Approval Date:			
<b>Non-Committee Review:</b>					
<b>Expedite Approval:</b>					
<b>Current Design:</b>	See attached.				
<b>Request:</b>	Add missing transportation types in IRS. Print NA 820 with information on transportation payment type issued				
<b>Recommendation:</b>	See attached. Add additional transportation types for staff to select the appropriate type. All missing transportation types will be available in LRS for workers to select the appropriate type and enter the value amount. The NA 821 will be generated reflecting the appropriate transportation type/amount issued.				
<b>Outreach Description:</b>					
<b>Migration Impact Description:</b>					
<b>Migration Impact Analysis:</b>					
<b>Alternative Procedure Description:</b>					
<b>Operational Impact:</b>					
<b>Estimate:</b>	<b>0</b>				
Automated Test :	0	Batch/Interfaces :	0	Batch Operations :	0
CalHEERS :	0	CalHEERS Test :	0	Client Correspondence :	0
DBA :	0	Design :	0	Eligibility :	0
Fiscal :	0	Imaging :	0	IVR/CC :	0
Online :	0	Performance :	0	Release Communication Support :	0
Reports :	0	Reports Test :	0	Security :	0
System Test Support :	0	Tech Arch :	0	Tech Ops :	0
Training :	0				

## [CA-50978] Add Reissue Logic for Incidental Payments

Team Responsible:	<b>Fiscal</b>	Assignee:	<b>Sidhant Garg</b>	SPG Status:	<b>Approved</b>
Fix Version/s:	<b>[21.01]</b>	Designer Contact:	<b>Ishrath Khan</b>	Change Type (SCR):	<b>Enhancement</b>
Minor Version:		Expedite Changes:	<b>No</b>	Estimate:	
Reporter:	<b>Gloria Williams</b>	Regulation Reference:		Created:	<b>01/19/2018 11:42 AM</b>
Status:	<b>New</b>	Impact Analysis:		Outreach Required:	<b>No</b>
Policy/Design Consortium Contact:	<b>Gloria Williams</b>	Training Impacted:	<b>[N/A]</b>	Funding Source:	
Project Phase (SCR):	<b>Production</b>	Migration Impact:	<b>TBD</b>	Funding Source ID:	
Committee:	<b>[Fiscal]</b>	Approved by Committee:		Other Agency Cross Reference:	
Consortium Review Approval:		Consortium Review Approval Date:			

### Non-Committee

#### Review:

#### Expedite Approval:

#### Current Design:

Currently, when a EDBC issuance is canceled with reason of 'Post Office Return', the system will suspend the vendor and hold all future issuances. Once the the vendor address is updated and vendor is unsuspended. It will release all held issuances and reissue warrants that were canceled with reason of "Post Office Return.

The reissue logic only works for EDBC related issuances and not Incidental Payments/Service Arrangements.

#### Request:

Follow the same re-issuance logic for Incidental Payments/Service Arrangements that is used for EDBC related issuances.

#### Recommendation:

#### Outreach

#### Description:

#### Migration Impact

#### Description:

#### Migration Impact

#### Analysis:

#### Alternative

#### Procedure

#### Description:

#### Operational Impact:

Estimate: **0**

Automated Test :	0	Batch/Interfaces :	0	Batch Operations :	0
CalHEERS :	0	CalHEERS Test :	0	Client Correspondence :	0
DBA :	0	Design :	0	Eligibility :	0
Fiscal :	0	Imaging :	0	IVR/CC :	0
Online :	0	Performance :	0	Release Communication Support :	0
Reports :	0	Reports Test :	0	Security :	0
System Test Support :	0	Tech Arch :	0	Tech Ops :	0
Training :	0				



**[CA-51876] LRS Ancillary Pages: Modify the LRS Service Arrangement Detail Page, Service Type Description box to allow for the itemization of ancillary items requested under the Ancillary-Education category, instead of the current text box.**

Team Responsible:	<b>Fiscal</b>	Assignee:	<b>Ishrath Khan</b>	SPG Status:	<b>Approved</b>
Fix Version/s:	<b>[21.01]</b>	Designer Contact:	<b>Ishrath Khan</b>	Change Type (SCR):	<b>Enhancement</b>
Minor Version:		Expedite Changes:	<b>No</b>	Estimate:	<b>200</b>
Reporter:	<b>Lien Phan</b>	Regulation Reference:		Created:	<b>01/19/2018 11:42 AM</b>
Status:	<b>Design in Progress</b>	Impact Analysis:	<b>[Data Impact, Forms/NOA Translations, Training]</b>	Outreach Required:	<b>No</b>
Policy/Design Consortium Contact:	<b>Gingko Luna</b>	Training Impacted:	<b>[CFP/WBT, Job Aid]</b>	Funding Source:	
Project Phase (SCR):	<b>Production</b>	Migration Impact:		Funding Source ID:	
Committee:	<b>[Welfare to Work/ WPR]</b>	Approved by Committee:		Other Agency Cross Reference:	
Consortium Review Approval:		Consortium Review Approval Date:			

**Non-Committee**

**Review:**  
**Expedite Approval:**  
**Current Design:**  
**Request:**

Under the guidelines provided in All County Information Notice (ACIN) 1-02-14, ACIN 1-151-82, and Manual of Procedures and Policies Sections 22-001 and 22-071, Notices of Action (NA 823 and GN 6046A) must include client-specific information that is sufficient enough for the client to determine and be able to understand the action taken and decide if a request for a hearing is warranted.  
This is to request modification to Service Arrangement Detail pages and forms population for NA 823 and GN 6046A to reflect the correct Itemize and amount issued of Ancillary Payments issued to WTW/REP/Cal-Learn participant.  
Also, there is a design different on how NA 823 is generated in LRS and C-IV system. This SCR is to request to handle the design gap for CalACES migration.

**Assumptions:**

The Ancillary/Work-Related Expense notice of action (NA 823) and the underpayment/overpayment notice for ancillary expenses (GN 6046A) will provide adequate information.

**Recommendation:**

1. Modify the LRS Service Arrangement Detail Page, Service Type Description box to allow for the itemization of ancillary items requested under the Ancillary-Education category (comparable to that of the Ancillary-Work Related category), instead of the current text box.
2. Make the Service Type Description box on the Service Arrangement page a mandatory field as this information is required on the printed Notice of Action (NA 823).
3. Modify NA 823 batch processes to populate the Service Arrangement Description from Service Arrangement Detail page and approval payment amount from Payment Request page. This should apply to both the Ancillary — Education and the Ancillary — Work Related categories; otherwise notices lack necessary information.
4. Modify the generation of GN 6046A batches to allow the printing of the GN6046A with appropriate information when ancillary payments receipts are not received within 10 work days. Modify the GN 6046A prints with the Service Type Description Box information on the form instead of Ancillary (Education/Work Related) category as current.
5. Modify LRS to handle the design gap on how generate NA 823 to work for 40 Counties to close the gap for CalACES migration.

**Outreach**

**Description:**  
**Migration Impact**  
**Description:**

**Migration Impact**

**Analysis:**

**Alternative**

**Procedure**

**Description:**

**Operational Impact:**

**Estimate:**

**200**

Automated Test :	0	Batch/Interfaces :	0	Batch Operations :	0
CalHEERS :	0	CalHEERS Test :	0	Client Correspondence :	200
DBA :	0	Design :	0	Eligibility :	0
Fiscal :	0	Imaging :	0	IVR/CC :	0
Online :	0	Performance :	0	Release Communication	0
Reports :	0	Reports Test :	0	Support :	0
System Test Support :	0	Tech Arch :	0	Security :	0
Training :	0			Tech Ops :	0



**[CA-57974] C-IV 2522: Add EBT Card Action History to the EBT Card Detail page and update the Current Cards table on the EBT Account Detail page**

Team Responsible:	<b>Fiscal</b>	Assignee:	<b>Jimmy Tu</b>	SPG Status:	<b>No</b>
Fix Version/s:	<b>[21.01]</b>	Designer Contact:	<b>Jimmy Tu</b>	Change Type (SCR):	<b>Enhancement</b>
Minor Version:		Expedite Changes:	<b>No</b>	Estimate:	<b>108</b>
Reporter:	<b>Charlie Au</b>	Regulation Reference:		Created:	<b>01/19/2018 11:43 AM</b>
Status:	<b>Ready for Committee</b>	Impact Analysis:		Outreach Required:	<b>No</b>
Policy/Design Consortium Contact:	<b>Sheryl E. Eppler</b>	Training Impacted:	<b>[N/A]</b>	Funding Source:	<b>C-IV M&amp;O</b>
Project Phase (SCR):	<b>Migration</b>	Migration Impact:		Funding Source ID:	
Committee:	<b>[Fiscal]</b>	Approved by Committee:		Other Agency Cross Reference:	<b>CIV-2522</b>
Consortium Review Approval:		Consortium Review Approval Date:			

**Non-Committee**

**Review:**

**Expedite Approval:**

**Current Design:**

1. EBT Account Detail Page:
  - a. The Status on the EBT Account Detail Page is decoded information from data provided by the EBT Vendor via a host-to-host message.
  - b. The Status Date (in Current Cards Table) is the latest status date in LRS/CalSAWS for an EBT card and is not extracted from the host-to-host message.
    - i. Example: EBT Card is Issued on 1/1/2020 for Cash. The recipient become eligible to receive CalFresh Benefits as well on 2/1/2020. EBT Card Detail page is updated to CalFresh and Cash for the same EBT Card. On the Current Card Table, in EBT Account Detail Page, the status date will show 2/1/2020 instead of 1/1/2020.
2. EBT Card Detail Page:
  - a. The CARDHIST Host-to-Host command is not being used in the C-IV System.

**Request:**

The recommendation is to eliminate the difference between C-IV and LRS. This will bring LRS up to the same functionality as C-IV.

**Recommendation:**

- Remove the Status Date column in the Current Cards section of the EBT Account Detail Page.
- Add an EBT Card Action History section to the EBT Card Detail page.
- Update Page Mapping to reflect the added and deleted fields

**Outreach**

**Description:**

**Migration Impact**

**Description:**

**Migration Impact**

**Analysis:**

**Alternative**

**Procedure**

**Description:**

**Operational Impact:**

**Estimate: 108**

Automated Test :	0	Batch/Interfaces :	0	Batch Operations :	0
CalHEERS :	0	CalHEERS Test :	0	Client Correspondence :	0
DBA :	0	Design :	0	Eligibility :	0
Fiscal :	83	Imaging :	0	IVR/CC :	0
Online :	0	Performance :	0	Release Communication	0
Reports :	0	Reports Test :	0	Support :	0
				Security :	0

---

System Test Support :	25	Tech Arch :	0	Tech Ops :	0
Training :	0				



# [CA-217150] Update FC blue & white voucher language & FC payroll logic

Team Responsible:	<b>Fiscal</b>	Assignee:	<b>Unassigned</b>	SPG Status:	<b>Select a value</b>
Fix Version/s:	<b>[21.01]</b>	Designer Contact:		Change Type (SCR):	<b>Data Change</b>
Minor Version:		Expedite Changes:	<b>No</b>	Estimate:	
Reporter:	<b>Ignacio Lazaro</b>	Regulation Reference:		Created:	<b>06/16/2020 09:51 AM</b>
Status:	<b>New</b>	Impact Analysis:	<b>[Forms/NOA Translations]</b>	Outreach Required:	<b>No</b>
Policy/Design Consortium Contact:	<b>Ignacio Lazaro</b>	Training Impacted:		Funding Source:	<b>Other</b>
Project Phase (SCR):	<b>Production</b>	Migration Impact:		Funding Source ID:	
Committee:	<b>[Foster Care/Kin GAP/AAP]</b>	Approved by Committee:		Other Agency Cross Reference:	
Consortium Review Approval:		Consortium Review Approval Date:			

### Non-Committee

**Review:**  
**Expedite Approval:**  
**Current Design:** Foster Care & ARC caregivers are required to return a voucher to release their monthly payment. Right now the voucher has two questions:

1. Was in my Home/Institute through the last day of: MO. \_\_\_\_\_ Yr. \_\_\_\_\_
2. Was removed from my Home/Institute on: Mo. \_\_\_\_\_ Day \_\_\_\_\_ Yr. \_\_\_\_\_

Missing voucher is part of the skipped issuance logic.

**Request:** DCFS would like to change the voucher requirements in order to cut down on voucher processing cost & lessen the impacts to caregivers.

- Recommendation:**
1. Remove question #1 from both the blue & white voucher
  2. Create a sentence under the remaining question that states, "Only return voucher if the child left your home during the report month."
  3. Keep the DCR that marks all vouchers for the current payroll as received prior to running the FC main payroll

By modifying the question on the voucher and making the DCR permanent, it will allow caregivers to only have to return a voucher if the child left their home. This would save the dept money in that they could cancel the TTC contract to scan vouchers, reduce an interface into LRS to mark vouchers as received, reduce the need to manually input vouchers where the child was in the home every month. DCFS would like to make this change ASAP

### Outreach

**Description:**  
**Migration Impact Description:**  
**Migration Impact Analysis:** No Impact  
**Alternative Procedure Description:** n/a  
**Operational Impact Estimate:** 0

Automated Test :	0	Batch/Interfaces :	0	Batch Operations :	0
CalHEERS :	0	CalHEERS Test :	0	Client Correspondence :	0
DBA :	0	Design :	0	Eligibility :	0
Fiscal :	0	Imaging :	0	IVR/CC :	0

---

Online :	0	Performance :	0	Release Communication	0
Reports :	0	Reports Test :	0	Support :	0
System Test Support :	0	Tech Arch :	0	Security :	0
Training :	0			Tech Ops :	0