

# CalSAWS | Foster Care Committee (November 2020) MINUTES

|   |                                   |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| Date: 11/17/2020  | Location: Call in Meeting Only    |
| Time: 1:30 PM – 3:30 PM   | Meeting Called by: Ignacio Lazaro |
| Attendees: Region 1: Selia Johnson, Rob Barnard, Maria Pacheco, Maria Torres<br>Region 2: Stacey Jackson, Julie Sato-Ruzich, Rhonda Solus, Holly Hashimoto<br>Region 3: Gabrielle Anderson, Lauren Hall, Cyndi Thomas, Sam Hart, Brandy Foushee, Kari Plank<br>Region 4: Pam Townsend, Amalia Cabadas, Michelle Hernandez, Frank Hernandez, Adam Bacon<br>Region 5: Wendy Marshall, Jose Beltran, Kali Sorrels-Goode, Bridget Salazar, Cathleen Orr<br>Region 6: Ana Adame, Latrice Anderson, Odet Tahmassian, Kimberly Wilkerson, Stephanie Sandoval<br>CalSAWS:<br>Girish Chakkingal, Srinivasa Meenavalli, Kristi Rodriguez, Amy Gill, Tiffany Huckaby, Michelle Ramos, Laura Ould |                                   |

## Meeting Notes: Region 1 is responsible

| Topic   | Lead                 |
|---|----------------------|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li> <b>Committee Name Change? (Vote)</b><br/>           Franz Lightle from First Data suggested the committee name to be changed to Child Welfare Services because it includes FC, ARC, Adoption Assistance, &amp; Kin-Gap. The recommendation and vote will be considered in a future meeting. Ventura County suggested the name be changed to Foster Care Programs Committee. Vote will be done by email and was sent out 11/19/20. Response needed by 12/9/20.         </li> </ul>   | Franz Lightle        |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li> <b>SCR CA-214267 - Update Foster Care rules to issue County Funds (Aid Code 45)</b><br/> <u>Question form R6 – how will this change work with the R6 CWS interface?</u><br/>           It will default to Y for Yes for R6 so the interface will not affect it. It will default to No for the other Regions.<br/>           Question from R5 – During the Public Health Emergency we don't use Aid Code 45 for youth over 21, we use Aid Code 43. Will this change our Aid Code from 43 to 45? – No, if the EW does not check Yes to the County Funds question. If the automation is set to Yes, then it will override Aid Code 43 to 45. That would require Counties to change it back manually. If Batch EDBC is not set to run, the system will not override the Aid code. Since workers must set up the aid code manually to 43, batch will not run EDBC on those cases and only the worker can run EDBC on that case online only.<br/> <u>Question – will this effect only certain cases or all cases? –</u><br/>           it effects all cases as the question will either be answered Yes or No for every case.         </li> </ul> | Srinivasa Meenavalli |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li> <b>SCR CA-219536 - Migrate Vendor Number field from CIV to CalSAWS</b><br/> <u>R5 question where does this vendor resource reside in C-IV? –</u><br/>           it is in the Foster Care section of the RDB, Vendor Information detail page.<br/> <u>Question San Joaquin Co: – what is an example of a Foster Care vendor?</u><br/>           C-IV is currently a manual process for this information, and this will automate it in CalSAWS. C-IV Counties are indicating they don't enter this information in their C-IV system.         </li> </ul>  | Erika Kusnadi        |

Where did this originate from?

This originated From DDID 1787 and DDID 1789 so it can be used by Fiscal in CalSAWS.

Question about Vendor information and Vendor ID – what happens if you enter the wrong vendor ID or they don't match from C-IV what part of Fiscal will this impact? The question will be taken back and answer provided later.

**Mono County says:** “When we process the C-IV files with the adoption and FC warrants the data is uploaded to an excel spreadsheet and one of the fields is the vendor number. If we don't have the number entered in C-IV, the field is blank and has to be entered manually. We don't necessarily have to have the vendor numbers in C-IV, we can still process the file but we try to do it as it cuts down on manual entry and errors. I'm sure all the counties probably do this process a different way, but that is the only reason I can think of as to why we use vendor numbers in C-IV.”

**FC Top Ten Prioritization List**

| # | Discussion Items | Assigned To | Due Date | Status |
|---|------------------|-------------|----------|--------|
| 1 |                  |             |          |        |

| # | Decision Made | Who Made the Decision | Date |
|---|---------------|-----------------------|------|
| 1 |               |                       |      |

Meeting notes:

**Open Discussion Items:**

1. Question from R4 (Frank) – what kinds of cleanup for manual adoption cases will need to take place prior to migration? This is being reviewed and shared when available. Emails have been going around from 11/18/20-12/9/20  
 Question form R5 (Kali) – When will the meeting to review SSN Batch be? The Date has not been determined yet but possibly on 12/12.  
 Question from R6 (Kimberly) – How will the responses/questions to SCR CA-214267 be shared with the Committee? It was suggested to do it via email share all responses.
2. Follow up –
  - a. Email will be sent out to vote on Committee name change- Sent
  - b. Email will be sent out for vote on SCR CA 214267 with due date of 12/3- Sent
  - c. Email will be sent out with follow up on questions to SCR CA- 219536- Sent

