## CalSAWS | Notes from [Meeting Title]

| Date:              | Novem                | ber 19, 2020   | Notes Location:  | CalSAWS > Application<br>Development > Committees ><br>Welfare to Work > 2020 November<br>19 Meeting |
|--------------------|----------------------|--|--|--|
| Time:              | 9:00 am              | n – 11:00 am   | Meeting Materials:   | CA-204582 – Add Edit Functionality to  |
| Meeting Called by: | Gingko Luna, Loan Vo |  |  | a Service Arrangement.docx<br>WTW Committee Agenda<br>11.19.2020.docx                                |
| Attendees:         | Region               | <u>County</u><br>Contra Costa<br>Monterey<br>Napa<br>Santa Clara | Attendee(s)<br>Teri Howe<br>Debra McAlahney -<br>Shanna Gardner - V<br>Jesus Manzanero                         |  |
|                    | 2                    | Placer<br>Sacramento<br>Yolo<br>Sacramento                       | Krista Shalley<br>Jasmin Perrigo<br>Shaun Fitzgerald<br>Kim Casalta  |  |
|                    | 3                    | Humboldt<br>Siskiyou   | Colleen Ridlon – Vo<br>Gabrielle Sanders   | ter  |
|                    | 4                    | Fresno<br>Kern<br>Stanislaus                                     | Roselinda Rolph<br>Shannon Oastler - V<br>Robert Hemsley   | oter   |
|                    | 5                    | Imperial<br>Orange<br>Riverside<br>San Bernardino<br>San Diego   | Araceli Lopez<br>Enrique Frias – Voter<br>Alexandra Vertti – V<br>Megan Peck - Voter<br>Kris Call for Linda Se | oter<br>r  |
|                    | 6                    | Los Angeles  | Heidy Murillo<br>Lorraine Sinelkoff - V<br>Magin Argueta - Vor<br>Patricia Gonzalez - V                        | ter  |
|                    |                      | State Partners   | Shawn Mainville - C  | :DSS, Tommy Huang - CDSS   |
|                    |                      | Consortium   | Amber Schmidt, Kin   | n Lamb, Shenifer Malloy, Jimmy Tu  |

## **Important Points**

SCR CA-204582 Review

• SCR CA-204582 Add Edit Functionality to a Service Arrangement

| Торіс  | Important Points  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|
|  | <ul> <li>Originally going to reviewed by fiscal, but now will be<br/>reviewed by WTW.</li> </ul>  |  |  |  |
|  | • Jimmy Tu walked us through the Design Document.   |  |  |  |
|  | • Question another SCR 51876(?) to have the service type description included on NOA will this impact the other SCR? Gingko says this SCR does not have impact on that SCR.   |  |  |  |
|  | <ul> <li>Gingko will send out email to vote on this.</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |
|  | Jasmin asks about if HSP and FS are included in program type drop down—Yes is the answer.   |  |  |  |
| COVID Good Cause Exemption for TANF over 60 months           | <ul> <li>Good Cause Exemption for COVID-19 SCR CA-<br/>221194/CIV-108178</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |
|  | <ul> <li>Requested by a region to discuss in the meeting,<br/>County sent in a Help Desk ticket citing Example 5 in<br/>ACWDL August 31, 2020. Individuals with more than 60<br/>TANF months on aid do not qualify for the exemption.<br/>Being deployed today and will correctly apply the<br/>exemption beginning in December, it is not retroactive.</li> <li>A forthcoming CIT with details and a list of impacted<br/>cases that need to be time on aid reviews, including<br/>individuals may have an overpayment.</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |
| OCAT Change Enhancement Requests                             | <ul> <li>UAT counties have some suggested<br/>enhancements. Gingko is concerned that counties<br/>have time to get used to the tool in order to be<br/>comfortable providing input.</li> <li>If everyone good with January to start putting<br/>forward enhancement requests in the next<br/>meeting.</li> <li>Jesus form Santa Clara is clarifying that these<br/>enhancements for OCAT or just OCAT integration<br/>with CalSAWS.</li> <li>Ginkgo will invite Cambria (OCAT Vendor) to any<br/>meeting where an OCAT enhancement will be<br/>discussed.<br/>There was no opposition to starting discussion in<br/>January.</li> </ul> |  |  |  |
| EBT Supportive Services issuances for 2 <sup>nd</sup> parent | <ul> <li>Can we allow both parents in a two-parent family to receive EBT payment?</li> <li>Counties are supportive of El Dorado County creating a CER</li> <li>One concern is that other parent would need to authorize second parent to have access to EBT</li> <li>There is a TEMP 2201 form that authorizes 2<sup>nd</sup> parent access to EBT account. Some counties</li> </ul>  |  |  |  |

| Торіс   | Important Points   |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
|   | <ul> <li>have created form to allow 2<sup>nd</sup> Parent access to EBT account.</li> <li>Lake County shared a work around that works in CIV.</li> <li>Would need to check if person has currently an active EBT card—this could be incorporated into design.</li> <li>CER would be a fiscal committee change request with WTW committee input</li> </ul>  |  |  |
| Transportation vs. Ancillary Supportive<br>Services | <ul> <li>Counties differ in their determination of whether<br/>DMV fees, Insurance are ancillary or<br/>transportation.</li> <li>Do we want to let counties manage if they pay<br/>under ancillary or transportation or should we all<br/>be on the same page?</li> <li>Gingko cites ACL 03-15 "The county can select a<br/>reimbursement rate used in the county or develop<br/>a rate that covers necessary costs. Necessary costs<br/>must be based on regional market rates and<br/>should include the cost of gas, oil, insurance,<br/>license fees, and registration fees. A provision<br/>should also be made for normal wear and tear<br/>and maintenance." To establish that insurance,<br/>license fees and registration are included in<br/>transportation supportive services. CalSAWS need<br/>types under transportation report in WTW 25 as<br/>transportation</li> <li>CalSAWS need types under Ancillary reports in<br/>WTW 25 as ancillary.</li> <li>Gingko maintains that CalSAWS has the<br/>appropriate Needs types in Drop downs.</li> </ul> |  |  |
| Open Topcs  | <ul> <li>Changing meeting time to the afternoon?</li> <li>No, most prefer to remain in morning—Gingko indicates there is quite a bit of noise in his neighborhood in the morning which has been noted.</li> </ul>  |  |  |
|   | Are counties using the CalWORKs 2.0 forms?   |  |  |
|   | <ul> <li>Many counties responding use CalWORKs 2.0,<br/>suggested CER to add those forms to CalSAWS.</li> </ul>  |  |  |
|   | <ul> <li>Do counties provide job retention/post-aid case<br/>management services to individuals who are not<br/>requesting supportive services? If the answer is 'Yes', do<br/>you enter an Employment Services activity in SAWS, or<br/>how do you track these cases?</li> </ul>  |  |  |
|   | <ul> <li>Most counties responding don't provide case<br/>management if there they are not issuing supportive<br/>services.</li> </ul>  |  |  |

| Торіс | Important Points  |  |  |
|-------|---|--|--|
|       | <ul> <li>Functionality question, in CalWIN advancing<br/>Transportation for future months using Warrant, found<br/>that in CalWIN monies go into a Batch Cycle and do<br/>not issue until the issuance month. Does CalSAWS do this<br/>also?</li> </ul> |  |  |
|       | <ul> <li>Imperial County, when advancing, they do it<br/>through Warrant also, they issue a week ahead<br/>and mail out.</li> </ul>   |  |  |
|       | <ul> <li>Kings County - ours is batched over night for a<br/>warrant. We do not issue in advance unless<br/>requested. We can rush to EBT same day if they<br/>are not job retention.</li> </ul>  |  |  |
|       | <ul> <li>LA has 30-day bus passes not calendar monthly passes.</li> </ul>   |  |  |

## (Optional Items)

| # | Action Item   | Assigned To | Assigned Date | Due Date                 | Status |
|---|---|-------------|---------------|--------------------------|--------|
| 1 | SCR CA-204582 votes due<br>12/4                                   | Gingko      | 11/17/20      | 12/4/20                  |        |
| 2 | CER for 2 <sup>nd</sup> parent EBT<br>supportive service issuance | Region 2    | 11/17/20      | n/a                      |        |
| # | Decision Made   |             |               | Who Made the<br>Decision | Date   |
| 1 |   |             |               |                          |        |
| 2 |   |             |               |                          |        |