

CalSAWS | Foster Care Committee Meeting

Date: 7/27/2021	Location: Call in Meeting Only
Time: 1:00 PM-3:30 PM	Meeting Called by: Ignacio Lazaro

Attendees: Because I started taking notes late, I was unable to capture all attendance.
Region 1: **Selia Johnson, Rob Barnard, Julie Stuscavage Maria Pacheco,**
Region 2: **Stacey Jackson, Julie Sato-Ruzich, Rhonda Solus, Holly Hashimoto**
Region 3: Gabrielle Anderson, Lauren Hall, Cyndi Thomas, **Sam Hart,** Brandy Foushee, Kari Plank
Region 4: **Pam Townsend, Amalia Cabadas, Michelle Hernandez, Frank Hernandez, Adam Bacon**
Region 5: **Wendy Marshall,** Teresa Sims, **Kali Sorrels-Goode,** Bridget Salazar, **Cathleen Orr**
Region 6: **Kimberly Wilkerson, Ana Adame, Latrice Anderson, Odet Tahmassian, Avery Santos,** Ophelia DeLeon,
CalSAWS: **Laura Ould, Michelle Ramos, Paul Galloway,** Srinivasa Meenavalli, Tom Lazio, Corey Morris

Meeting Notes: R3 (Shasta Co. responsible)

Rotate per Region's 1-6.

Meeting Notes: are Due to Me, no more than 2 weeks after the meeting.

Items Not on Agenda: "Time was Provided for Members to Discuss items not on the Agenda"

Topic	Lead
SCR CA-228360 Enhancements to AAP Notes <ul style="list-style-type: none">CalSAWS apologized for sending out the most updated emails for the SCR including FC/KG causing some confusion.Jimmy Tu reviewed SCR CA-2228360 providing background information and expected changes.Kimberly asked for more clarity about why FC and Kin-Gap (KG) were drawn into this change since the SCR was originally AAP only. If FC and KG skip issuance were working, then why would we change them. Her current understanding was that FC and KG worked fine.Duke- Today when creating issuance for FC/KG/AAP the payee on issuance follows the payee on the program admin role and does not follow the resource on the EDBC that authorizes issuance. A problem happens when someone changes the payee and doesn't run EDBC. When an issuance happens, it uses the new payee on the program admin role not information from EDBC. The skip issuance logic doesn't catch this discrepancy.Kimberly came up with a scenario. Child is with Placement A and EDBC is run then CalSAWS is changed to Placement B and EDBC isn't run. What is the current logic? The CalSAWS system currently aligns child placement with payee. The current skip issuance logic checks	Jimmy Tu

child placement with payee so currently cases won't skip issue. If the last run EDBC doesn't match what the current placement shows in Kimberly's scenario there won't be a skip issuance. After the SCR update the system would skip issue.

- Kimberly was skeptical since they currently do get a skip issuance list for this reason (not often). The reason was given that prior to implementation of CA-224704 user were able to manually change the payee on the program Administrative Role Detail page. Now that CA-224704 has been implemented there won't be a discrepancy between EDBC resource and placement resource.
- Paul – EDBC logic isn't changing with this SCR only what skip issuance logic.
- Duke – Problem is currently if placement A shows on EDBC and a worker changes system to show payee as Placement B and doesn't run EDBC. When the issuance goes out it will issue to placement B even though the last EDBC run shows placement A. This SCR will catch this discrepancy.
- FC/KG/AAP all share the same issuance logic and if they have an issue with AAP they will have a problem with FC and Kin-Gap.
- Kin-Gap currently doesn't have a skip issuance reason because they don't have a placement. If Legal Guardian (LG) is changed what happens? It would be possible to have the same scenario if LG is changed and EDBC not run even though they don't have a Placement page. Question, shouldn't skip issuance be enabled for Kin-Gap too since they could have the same problem. A brand-new Skip would need to be added for Kin-Gap.
- Paul – EDBC runs and stores payee. Issuance (payment) runs later at payroll time. A job runs to see if payments need to go out. If there is an open EDBC that's when it creates issuance. The issuance(payment) should always match what EDBC shows but currently if EDBC shows Placement A and CalSAWS shows placement with B then Placement B will get paid from the EDBC that shows placement A. Once this fix is in the system there will be a skip issuance in this scenario instead of placement B getting paid off of EDBC that Shows Placement A.
- When CalSAWS creates an issuance, it looks at EDBC for payee, aid code, amount, etc. then skip issuance logic is run. The proposed skip issuance logic will check EDBC placement with CalSAWS placement. Currently CalSAWS check placement with payee in CalSAWS not the last EDBC.
- Jimmy- The Automated Regression Test Section is going to be updated so what was sent out is going to change. There may not be an automated regression test. Not wanting to vote with changes occurring to the document so votes were postponed. Final voting will be due Thursday after document updating KG skip issue and regression test.
- Kali- AAP question. What happens when you have an AAP out of home placement and are paying both the parent and the out of home placement. Will there be an issue paying both parent and out

of home placement on current case? **When paying both placements there will be two EDBC runs then there is no problem. When issuing through needs service arraignment or the new auxiliary payment section there won't be an issue those are paid outside EDBC. Since it is two different requests that are authorized there won't be an issue. This fix won't change the current process.**

- As of 8/2/21, All Regions have voted YES to proceed with this SCR

LA County Foster Care Batch Job

Paul Galloway

- Paul- There are 3 batch jobs that LA has that weren't included in migration design. They check for FC/KG and CW/CF overlap scenarios. These were submitted to the CW/CF committees and the voting in that committee approved the opening of an SCR. These batch runs will only be for CW/CF so this is for informational purposes.
- 1. Removal of FC/KG child from CW/CF runs two days before 10 day.
2. Removal of FC/KG child from CW after 10 day due to adequate noticing. 3. FC/KG child receiving CW/CF with NNC runs two days before 10 day.
- These don't change anything on the FC cases but automatically removes FC/KG children from the CW/CF households.
- Michelle- Question about what case batch is looking for to see if child's parent has parental control. Paul will check on this.
Kali- Would it cut off NMD receiving CF? Paul no it wouldn't.
- SCR is CA 231297

CER- WRAP for Automation (SFO)

Ignacio Lazaro

- Currently in CalWIN, counties track WRAP cases/issuances in the system. They would like to be able to do this when they migrate.
- Juliet- Does CalSAWS include wrap? **CalSAWS doesn't include it.** How do counties do it that pay it through the system? **Most counties pay it through a fiscal process outside the system. Some counties may issue through manual EDBC with pay codes.**
- In CalWIN, the WRAP program is not automated, but it is paid with an ancillary system and is NOT programmed into CalWIN. If SCR is created, it would be a while and after migration.
- Juliet- Is going to talk to other Counties how it happens and talk to her team.
- Wendy- Pay codes are in CalSAWS for payment but there would be a tracking issue.
- Kimberly is this CER for tracking purposes or automated process? **Ignacio- CER request is for tracking not automation, but SCR can be expanded.**
- Voting started but some counties wanted to hold off on voting to talk to their teams. Voting put on hold. SFC was going to update CER before more voting.

Open:

[Name]

Kali Region 5- Fiscal question. So we know that CalSAWS will convert AAP parents and KG guardians into RDB Resources, and that the last high-dated EDBC payment will continue to issue in the amount and method last authorized in C-IV until the EW completes post-migration review of the case and runs EDBC. But we don't know if the newly created Resource will actually have the Direct Deposit

info migrated into it, or if we will have to enter it in. If we have to enter it in, will we have to go through the pre-note process again??

Email sent out after meeting from Laura Ould verifying that staff will not need to take any further actions and will not need to complete the prenote process again.

2. If CalSAWS determines that verification is mandatory system won't accept EDBC. Kali thought this didn't apply to Foster Care? It doesn't apply to Foster Care unless the verification delayed box on vital statistics is checked.

(Optional)

#	Action Item	Assigned To	Assigned Date	Due Date	Status
1					
2					

#	Decision Made	Who Made the Decision	Date
1			
2			

