

CalSAWS | Foster Care Programs Committee (March 2022)

Date: 3/22/2022	Location: Call in Meeting Only
Time: 1:30 PM – 3:30 PM	Meeting Called by: Ignacio Lázaro
Attendees: Region 1: Selia Johnson, Rob Barnard, Maria Pacheco, Deborah Teixeira, Erica Butler R1 - Terri Howe in for Deborah Teixeira, she and Rob have retired. Region 2: Stacey Jackson, Julie Sato-Ruzich, Rhonda Solus, Holly Hashimoto Region 3: Gabrielle Anderson, Maria Oliver, Cyndi Thomas, Sam Hart, Brandy Foushee, Kari Plank Region 4: Pam Townsend, Michelle Hernandez, Frank Hernandez, Adam Bacon Region 5: Wendy Marshall, Teresa Sims, Kali Sorrels-Goode, Bridget Salazar, Cathleen Orr Region 6: Kimberly Wilkerson, Ana Adame, Latrice Anderson, Odet Tahmassian, Avery Santos, Ophelia DeLeon, CalSAWS: Laura Ould, Paul Galloway, Srinivasa Meenavalli, Tom Lazio, Corey Morris	

Meeting Notes: Region 1 is responsible

Topic

Lead

- **Foster Care Correspondence NOA review**

Tiffany Huckaby/Jasmine

Following reasons:

- Placement has ended; mid-month
- Change in SCI, dual agency supplement
- Infant Supplement has started

SCR 238042

SCR 240146

SCR 230659

SCR 229838

NOA's selected are already available in CalSAWS, were migrated from C-IV, but are lacking language to include various reasons for changes in payment. The update is only to add verbiage for various reasons to include applicable CCR/Non-CCR.

1. Child is Eligible to Kin-GAP
2. Age Changed
3. Adopted
4. FC Rate Change
5. Transferred to Non-FC Program

for Phase 2 of FC NOAs

Reviewed SCR 220188 – mock up and feedback provided.

- SCR CA227431- Awaiting on responses from Alpine, Amador, Lake, Eldorado, Mono, Nevada, Yuba, Inyo and Sierra

Open Region Discussion

Review of the FC KG NOA-

- Maria Pacheco asked a question regarding the language on the NOA for Foster Care. And, the response was there was no information as of today but this was the only NOA that existed in C-IV that are not available in CalSAWS.
- The FC & KG NOA that are in C-IV and CalSAWS will be split into two phases, and incorporated in upcoming releases in the near future.
- Kimberly (LA County) - not clear on the process of regarding the list of SCR that came up as a gap that needed to be resolved before inputting and migrating into CalSAWS.
- Non-CCR is in a hold status and filling in if the, NOA verbiage is not in CalSAWS. If the information/ changes are not from CDSS or in CalSAWS, it will need to go through the advocate process for the NOA.
- Before Phase 3 can be completed, there needs to be more discussion between all the counties.
- Terri (Contra Costa) stated C-IV NOAs will be migrated in CalSAWS but two questions were presented.
 1. How were they prioritize?
 2. Were they sent out by priority?
- Priority was sent to the analyst for review to verify which ones were sent out the most through the system.
- Push phases were out sent not in order but a copy of them will be forwarded as soon as possible for review.
- Terri stated it may depend on the message and urgency and counties should be seeing Phase 2 & 3 soon. Copies of the notices that include the verbiage should be seen in each phase soon.
- Question was asked –Is there a list on what the phase order will be? In addition, is there a list on the SCR?
- Phase 1- June 2022 The infant supplement
 - Placement has ended Mid-month
 - Change in SCR: Dual Agency

- Phase 2: Copy will be sent out to the counties for review.
- For SCR 220188, a mock-up was sent out but there are concerns the Dual Agency and SCI are different and the NOA is not appropriate for usage. Can this NOA be changed? Was this NOA for CCR or Non-CCR?
- Concern for the Placement ended at the end of the month.
- Supplements for youth receiving the Lanterman Act Rate.
- Nikki (SF County) the NOA does not show the supplement for a Dual Agency rate; therefore, Jasmine will look into it to verify if there is a NOA available, that shows this information on the Dual Agency NOA. Tiffany will review EDBC to see if the NOA has the updated budget information.
- Selia (Alameda) The NOA for SCI and Deal Agency should be separate and not together.
- Tiffany- the NOA must be updated in the system, which will be pushed to Phase 2. Counties agreed that to have Dual Agency budget line go after the SCI line on the NOA.
- The placement ended mid-month NOA will need a budget to show the amount to be paid to a facility and this NOA is for a Non CCR. With CCR – Can the same verbiage be used as the NOA is for a termination?
- Laurel –CalSAWS does not have a budget to use for discontinuance so if there is a need for additional termination reason, it must be added in the budget. Jasmine will make a new mock-up and the NOA will be updated and forwarded to the counties for review.
- Kimberly asked a question on the Design Document 2.1.2 and 2.2.2, what is the difference between the NOA? There are two separate fragments, CCR and Non CCR. Jasmine will add a note to them. 2.3.2 Will be in Spanish and have the English version in the mock-up. Tiffany will check and only adding the Spanish language.
- Laurel stated if there are additional questions or gaps, for counties to send the e-mail to the committee Foster care and the questions will be reviewed.
- Eldorado requested another copy of the SCR CA 227431.
- Ignacio asked the question for the counties that would like to opt in SCR CA227431- Alpine, Amador, Lake, Eldorado, Mono, Nevada, Yuba, Inyo

Topic

Lead

and Sierra need to respond with a few days. However, if no answer is received, they will be opted out

