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1. The GDN resources will have access to the Production Jira from the established Secure Bay as defined in Exhibit Y of the Base Agreement.
2. Code baseline freezes during the re-platforming/migration period will be adhered to where possible.
3. Any application enhancements including code retrofit or functional changes (if necessary) to support the ACEP are not included in the scope of this Statement of Work.
4. Support for Defects not introduced by the analytics re-platforming will be addressed per current maintenance and operations processes for the LRS System in accordance with the LRS Maintenance and Operations (“M&O”) Services Plan.
5. Timestamps will be made available in the analytics data sources to account for a delta and incremental ingest.
6. This Statement of Work does not include scope associated with:
   * Real-time ingestion processes. The LRS System’s architecture currently includes validation for daily to monthly refresh schedule.
   * Data quality efforts nor enforced data purification rules.
   * Outbound integrations, microservices, nor analytics.
   * Supporting the transition or creation of dashboards to be sourced from the CalSAWS Statewide Self-Service Portal.

The addition of the scope, prices, and timelines for such Work would require an Amendment to the Base Agreement.

1. The quality of the data in the new platform will be one and the same as the quality of the data in the source system.
2. The extract-transform-load (“ETL”) ingestion jobs and reports implemented will be functionally equivalent to the original dashboards and reports. Enhancements for additional functionality are not in scope. The legacy ETL jobs, reports, and test documentation will be the basis of the requirements, design, and testing.
3. Additional AWS compute resources/services and any necessary software costs will be determined for Ad-Hoc.  These costs are not included in this Statement of Work for the ACEP.
4. The source database is the LRS online transactional database; it is assumed the data in the LRS data warehouse is obsolete and will not be transitioned.
5. Security and access rights for the analytics platform will be implemented per LRS dashboard/reporting specifications within the implemented code.
6. CONTRACTOR’s price for the ACEP is based on the assumptions provided in Schedule 2 and Attachment 1 (Inventory List and Estimating Factors and Effort) to Schedule 2 of this Exhibit AA, which provides an inventory of the State and Management reports that will be re-platformed as part of the ACEP and the estimating factors that were used as the basis for estimating the effort required to deliver the ACEP. Any modifications to the assumptions, this inventory of reports, or the assumed estimating factors may require an Amendment to the Base Agreement for CONTRACTOR to address any resulting changes to scope, prices, and timelines for the ACEP.
7. The CONSORTIUM will provide AWS compute resources and/or services for the ACEP. CONTRACTOR’s price for the ACEP does not include such resources, nor services. The CONSORTIUM will be fully responsible for making such resources and services available for supporting the ACEP, including the procurement and payment for such services from AWS. Because the CONSORTIUM’s AWS Cloud account (and the CONSORTIUM’s agreement with CDT for AWS) will be leveraged for the Project, it is assumed that such charges will be invoiced to the CONSORTIUM by CDT. As the ACEP’s requirements for AWS Cloud computing resources may change through the term of the project, the CONSORTIUM should include additional amounts in its annual project budget (the Implementation Advance Planning Document or “IAPDU”) to account for planned changes to the requirements for the ACEP’s AWS Cloud computing resources.
8. Amazon Web Services (“AWS”) and the California Department of Technology (“CDT”) will provide ability for Accenture to use AWS organizations to support the ACEP.
9. CDT is not a party to the Base Agreement, but is providing services on behalf of the CONSORTIUM critical to the success of the ACEP. It is the CONSORTIUM’s responsibility to resolve issues related to CDT and/or the AWS Cloud to enable delivery of the ACEP.
10. AWS, CDT, and JHC Technology (the reseller CDT is using) will not disable services or take other actions/inactions that would prevent CONTRACTOR from delivering the ACEP.
11. The software/licensing outlined in Schedule 5 (Software Specifications) is based on information known by the CONTRACTOR as of January 24, 2020. As the Work for this SOW progresses, the CONTRACTOR may identify additional software/licensing that is required for the ACEP. In the event CONTRACTOR identifies additional software/licensing required for delivering the ACEP, CONTRACTOR will so advise the CONSORTIUM of such additional software/licensing requirements. The CONSORTIUM is responsible for making available any software/licensing to the CONTRACTOR to support the delivery of the ACEP, including the procurement and funding of that software/licensing. Delays in making such software/licensing available will delay the delivery of Work and, in turn, push out the projected completion date.
12. The price for the ACEP excludes Software and Software Support. It is assumed that Software and Software Support required for the ACEP will be purchased by the CONSORTIUM from CONTRACTOR’s affiliate, Proquire, LLC (“Proquire”), under the C-IV Agreement. The CONSORTIUM must execute an amendment to the C-IV Agreement to add prices for such Software/licensing and Software Support items based on Schedule 5 of this SOW (Software Specifications) prior to the start of the term for this SOW.
13. The CONSORTIUM will own Software outright without any leasing/financing required.
14. Schedule 5 (Software Specifications) is based on information known by the CONTRACTOR as of January 24, 2020. As the Work for this SOW progresses, the CONTRACTOR may identify additional Software/licensing that is required for the ACEP. In the event that a change to the specifications is required – whether the change is planned (e.g. requested by the CONSORTIUM) or unplanned – to support the delivery of the ACEP, including the procurement and funding of those Software/licensing items. As the ACEP’s requirements for Software/licensing may change through the term of the ACEP, the CONSORTIUM should include additional amounts in its annual project budget (the IAPDU) to account for planned changes to the requirements.
15. The price for this Exhibit AA does not include any additional facilities for staff who will support the ACEP. If facilities are required beyond the existing capacities of the C-IV Application Development Facility in Rancho Cordova, California (the “Northern location”) or the LRS Project Management Office in Norwalk, California (the “Southern location”), then an Amendment to the Base Agreement would be required to add prices associated for such additional facilities.