CalSAWS | Notes from <CalWORK/CalFresh Meeting>

Date:	12/09/20	20		Notes Location:	
Time:			M	eeting Materials:	
Meeting Called by:	CW/CF F	acilitatators			
Attendees:	1	Marin		D'Angelo Paillet	
	1	Monterey		Leonardo Cortez-Heredia	
	1	Napa		Alli Muller	
	1	Solano		Eurita Reed	
	1	Sonoma		Andrew Stone	
	2	Placer		Marna Stock	
	2	Sacramento		Eva Masegian	
	2	Sacramento		Laura Hodges	
	2	Sutter		Jesse Hallford	
	2	Yuba		Lovena DeCamp	
	3	Butte		Brannon Hill	
	3	Humboldt		Gordon Radder	
3		Shasta		Mark Bueb	-
	3	Tehama		Sarah Hoss	-
	4	Kern		Shawna Mimnaugh	
	4	Merced		Michelle L. Smith	
	4	San Luis Obispo		Jannine Lambert Jennifer Hill	
	4	Stanislaus Tulare		Idalia Gonzalez	
	5	Orange		Enrique Frias	
	5	Riverside		Andrea Chavez	
	5	San Bernardino		Andrea Brayboy	-
	5	San Diego		Martha Cordova	
5		Santa Barbara		Dario Predazzi for Marian Acosta	-
	6	Los Angeles		Anna Chebishian	
	6	Los Angeles		April Goode	
	6	Los Angeles		ltza Girgis	1
	6	Los Angeles		Maria Galindo	
	6	Los Angeles		Ve Tran	
	OSI	Daniel Brown			
	DHCS	David Lucio			
	CDSS	Kyle Priess			
	CDSS	Samantha Basqu			
	CDSS	Shawn Dorris			
	CDSS	Sherice Sawyer			
	CDSS	Brittney Blake			
	CDSS Mong Vang				

After discussion, it was determined that Caroline would schedule an additional meeting next week. Please send any questions/concerns related to the document as well as any sections you would like to see reviewed by Tuesday next week. A vote will be taken at the end of that meeting in order to allow the SCR to make it into 21.05.	
Vote: Tabled until next week's meeting	
Tier II IRT should be based on the AU size but it is currently based on the Family Unit size. This will be completed in April, prior to May in time for running June.	
Batch EDBC mid-May 2021 to apply the change to any cases that have not yet been run through EDBC.	
Accenture confirmed that the SAR 2 will pull the the AU size.	
SCR 212913 will increase the EID for 2022.	
Accenture will add related SCRs to the assumption section of this SCR so the form information will be available.	
Vote: Approved	
This SCR works with CA-212912. It won't go into production until mid-May, but scheduling details have not yet been determined. A list will be provided to counties after the batch job.	
Another call in 2021 will cover the SCR in more detail.	
SCR will be updated to exclude case with a status of Received Ready to Run EDBC.	
Journal entries will be created for both CalWORKs and CalFresh	
This SCR is to NOT auto-create it for the 39 counties. Non-L/ counties will inherit the list of program options in CalSAWS and this will include REP.	
The system will not prevent service arrangements if a county accidently creates a REP.	
The SCR will default the threshold for service arrangement payments to \$0.00 and will require a second level authorization as well as an additional safeguard.	

Discussion Items

Recommendation to add "Counties Impacted" and "Programs Impacted" section for every "Description of Changes" on Design documents Recommendation to include these items on all SCR documents as standard practice for efficiency. Adding "Counties Impacted" could require changing the template (which may not be possible). Accenture noted that the project would see how they can best incorporate that

Торіс	Important Points		
	information and also noted that the SCRs generally include a programs impacted section.		
Revision of State Forms and Notices of Actions (NOAs)	Recently found out that a SAR 7 revision was submitted by a county that rec'd approval for that form substitution. Since it won't be supported at migration, the county form was submitted to the Correspondence Committee and not the CW/CF committee. Caroline explained that it was sent to Correspondence first to see if there was interest, but there was no voting, it was just to review. R5 noted that it's important for program groups to be able to weigh in on It happened again with an ABAWD form via a CRPC. Caroline explained it was drafted to ask the state what the notice should say and provided a sample verbiage that was not accepted by the state. CDSS responded with verbiage that they wanted. It's on the agenda for CTS (through southern counties). Should there be a roundtable to determine a process for updating state forms. Southern counties feel that form changes should go through the state before it goes to the consortia. CDSS noted that when we are on one system, forms will be issued as no substitutes permitted so that the entire state uses the same state forms.		

SCR Prioritization on hold

Open Discussion

Q: Is there an additional clean up effort to CF EA benefits? Some customers were not issued benefits and it's now beyond the 3 months.

A: No. The only way to issue those benefits is to do a manual supplement as regular CF benefits.

#	Action Item	Assigned To	Assigned Date	Due Date	Status
1	Schedule a meeting to discuss ABAWD Phase III around 12/17/20.	Caroline	12/10/20		
2					
#	Decision Made			Who Made the Decision	Date
1					
2					