

CalSAWS | CalWORKs_CalFresh Committee

Date: 5/12/2021	Location: MS Teams
Time: 1:30pm-5:00pm	Meeting Called by: CalWORKs CalFresh Facilitators

Attendees:

1	Monterey	Liza Lopez
1	Napa	Alli Muller
1	Solano	Eurita Reed
1	Sonoma	Andrew Stone
1	Santa Clara	Michelle Demetrius
2	Placer	Chelsea Carson for Marna Stock
2	Sacramento	Eva Masegian
2	Sacramento	Laura Hodges
2	Sutter	Jesse Hallford
2	Yuba	Lovena DeCamp
3	Butte	Brannon Hill
3	Humboldt	Gordon Radder
3	Shasta	Mark Bueb
3	Tehama	Sarah Hoss
4	Kern	Angela Garcia for Shawna Mimnaugh
4	Merced	Michelle L. Smith
4	San Luis Obispo	Jannine Lambert
4	Stanislaus	Jennifer Hill
4	Tulare	Idalia Gonzalez
5	Orange	Enrique Frias
5	Riverside	Andrea Chavez
5	San Bernardino	Trayonna Bradley
5	San Diego	Linda Sevilla
5	Santa Barbara	Dario Predazzi
6	Los Angeles	Anna Chebishian
6	Los Angeles	April Goode-Note Taker
6	Los Angeles	Itza Girgis
6	Los Angeles	Maria Galindo
6	Los Angeles	Ve Tran

- o Reminder: ACWDL May 3, 2021 extended RCA cases through the end of September. The project is working on a list and CIT. Counties are reminded not to discontinue these cases.

Meeting Notes: **Region 6**

SCRs for Review and Vote

- CA-216745: Blank or Invalid SSN Eligibility Requirement
 - Validation message will be added on SSN Detail, Verification List, and Verification Detail pages.
 - The validation will prevent a blank SSN from being verified
 - Generate list- counties will have the option to use. It will not impact EDBC.
 - Blank SSN with N/A will not have an impact to eHit or MAGI.
 - The system has allowed this, but the validation will prevent the user from verifying a blank SSN with future updates.
 - A list of cases with no SSN (with a Verified status) will be provided. Counties can decide if they want to fix the cases on the list.
 - R6 had a recommendation to make the “reason for no SSN” a required field when the SSN is blank. Matt: it is on a separate page; we will move the field to make it required (22.03). Santa Clara seconds the request. CalSAWS and MEDS must synchronize.
 - R1- 2 Yes
 - R2 – Yes
 - R3 – Yes
 - R4 – 2 Yes
 - R5 – 3 Yes
 - R6 – 3 Yes
 - **SCR Approved.**

Matthew
Lower

1:35

SCRs for Review

- CA-226779/CIV-108815: ACL 21-23 Golden State Grant Program: Add New Issuance Category for Customer Non-Benefit (Phase I)- Received emergency approval. **No votes needed.**
 - Introducing a new issuance category – Customer NON-Benefits- so, it does not count as a cash assistance payment. This solution will be implemented in phases.
 - This is Phase 1 to introduce the category. Claiming batch update to map the new category.
 - DSR to update Time Limit Aid summary page to ensure it does not count as cash assistance.
 - No claiming code changes.
 - Fiscal interfaces are not triggered to pick-up these types of issuances. The user would have to select RUSH to release benefits once the Issuance category
 - No Journal entry – No action to the user needed – R2, R4 and R1 support R6 request for Journal. **JOURNAL Entry approved.**
 - R4: Can we get a list to of cases that had payment made and had an adjustment made?
 - More to come. Receive Emergency approval, no votes needed.

Duke Vang

2:00

Topic	Lead	Time
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CA-228323/CIV-108979 ACL 21-XX Cost of Living Adjustment (COLA) Increase to the Minimum Basic Standards of Adequate Care (MBSAC) and Income In Kind (IIK) for 2021-2022 CA-228338/CIV-108983 ACL 21-XX - Batch EDBC for Cost-of-Living Adjustment (COLA) for Income In-Kind (IIK) for 2021-2022 No votes needed. ○ COLA for increase MBSAC and Income In-Kind (IIK) value changes. ○ The project will be end-dating the previous amount as of 6/30/2021 and adding new amounts effective 7/1/2021. ○ Counties will receive a list of cases that were denied due to failing due to old values. ○ Targeted on 6/10/2021 ○ Batch SCR will identify all the cases that have IIK as of 6/2020. Targeted 6/19/2021. Committee will receive an invitation for the Batch EDBC COLA call. ○ Batch will not run cases with due or pending Periodic Reports ○ Journal for IIK Cola change ○ As with past batch runs, lists will be provided for counties to review and take appropriate action, if needed. ○ Will C-IV be down? It might not as it is a small population (1,000) 	Sridhar Mullapudi	2:30
Discussion Items		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Design Gap in Setting Aid Codes for Non-PACF (Mixed HHs) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Discussed the design gap between C-IV and Los Angeles County. ○ At migration, in September 2021, C-IV's 09 aid codes will come over but will switch to CW aid code when they run EDBC for the first time. In March 2022, the new programming will be applied to all cases and the mixed HHs will change from the CW aid code to 09. ○ Some analysis was made. It does not affect the CF 296 or the STAT 47. The NACF and PACF is not impacted by the aid code. The CF 296 uses the PA indicator on the EDBC page. ○ DFA 256 will not be impacted ○ It will impact aid code indicator reports. ○ MEDS Alerts should not have a negative impact, the current aid codes are accepted aid codes. ○ The project will confirm any impact to MEDS, as it is not anticipated (alerts or holds). ○ No manual work is expected. ○ Operation manual citing indicates that we need the aid code. ○ Independent county AdHoc Report may be impacted. ○ CalFresh claiming will not be impacted. ○ Aid code does not impact eligibility 	Caroline Bui	3:00
Break		3:15

Topic	Lead	Time
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CA-227714: Update IEVS Tracking Functionality <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Discussion came out of the NSF – PA 2494 Contact Letter – CDSS stated to replace the PA 2494 with the CW 63. ○ The PA 2494 was a tracked form, and the CW 63 is not tracked ○ Do we want the same functionality added as the PA 2494 had to the CW 63? Does the form need to be tracked? ○ CDSS modified the CW 63 to include more information than the PA 2494. ○ At the IEVS Quarterly meeting on 5/5/21 they said new forms will be released in a couple of months and will be required forms. They will be called the Gen 201 client verification request form and the Gen 202 Employer verification request form. They said a letter will be released in the next few months with this information and these forms will replace the CW 63, CW 2200 or any other verification form used for IEVS. Will this have any impact on this topic regarding the CW 63 and PA 2494 or should it be considered in the future. (separate forms) ○ How will the form be controlled? ○ What NOA should be generated? ○ Do we want a Task to be generated to match the same date that is indicated on the CW 63? ○ Journal entry? ○ DO we generate the form, without control? Can we update the data collection page? Or add a button to the page? About 200 do not respond. What is the recommended business process? ○ Submit suggestions to RCM, will be added to next June Agenda Action Item: how to program? Intake and RC 	Amy Gill	3:25
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ACL 21-24 AB 79 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Martha: AB79 and the policy that needs to be implemented ○ Request for most current text messages (in C-IV and CalSAWS) that are being sent. What is the updated list of all the messages? How is the IVR/email and text working to meet section #37? Related to SAR7 and RE. Also, what are the reports for opted in and out. We need to develop a new process for the opted out. ○ CalSAWS will have the new text messaging framework with the 21.05 Build ○ Amy will share with Caroline and the group ○ Section #36: income verification for the last 30 days from the date of the CW 2200. Request for direction on how to enter income when it expands into two months. If we enter the previous month, does the system average correctly? Do we enter the income in one page? How does the income page work? ○ Section #90: DDID 2280, automates the population to Medi-Cal to send a pre-populated CalFresh application (CF 285). ○ Intake or MC Renewal, a pre-populated CF 285 is sent. In LA, the solicitation letter is sent. The project opened an SCR/TBD 7/1/2022. 	R4	3:55
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CalFresh federally aiding U-Visa Applicants <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ CalSAWS is aiding CFAP individuals with a disability as federally eligible instead of State. The system is using a MEDS code erroneously. Los Angeles is being cited for aiding individuals incorrectly. An SCR will be created to fix the incorrect code. Riverside county also noticed the same. 	R6	4:20

Topic	Lead	Time
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CA-218060: Read Only EDBC being created for the following month after the SAR Due Month within 10-day Negative Action <ul style="list-style-type: none"> SCR was created, the earliest implementation will be March 2022. Potential workaround: do not change data collection, release benefits at same level, enter data, rerun, and authorize OI, if applicable. Then run the additional future months at the reduced benefit amount. This is a CalSAWS issue due to multi-month EDBC. RE should not follow the same functionality. Action Item to get more info on the Work around and research RE issues Martha will escalate to get resolved prior to migration. 	R6	4:30
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CA-227118/CIV-108857: SB 80 - Changes to Resource Asset Limits-No votes needed. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Property limit is \$10,000, with elderly is \$15,000 New amount \$10,211 and \$15,317 SAWS2A SAR will be updated List will be provided for cases denied/discontinued for excess property limit but eligible with new limits. NOA fragment regression testing. Also, forms will have regression testing List will be provided, TCF cases should be included. What is the impact to converted TCF cases? 	Thomas Lazio	4:40

Open Discussion	Caroline Bui
------------------------	--------------

#	Action Item	Assigned To	Assigned Date	Due Date	Status
	Work Around for CA-218060 and research Read Only issue for REs	Caroline Bui	05/12/2021		
	CA-227714 Provide recommendations for the programming of the new CW 63	Committee members	05/12/2021		

2021 Next Meetings:

- June 9th
- July 14th

