

## CalSAWS | Foster Care Programs Committee (July 2022)

Date: 7/26/2022	Location: Call in Meeting Only
Time: 1:30 PM – 3:30 PM	Meeting Called by: Ignacio Lázaro
<b>Attendees:</b> Region 1: Selia Johnson, Maria Pacheco, Teri Howe Region 2: Stacey Jackson, Julie Sato-Ruzich, Rhonda Solus, Holly Hashimoto Region 3: Maria Oliver, Sam Hart, Brandy Foushee, Kari Plank (Carla Anderson) (Rebecca Fratus) Region 4: Pam Townsend, Michelle Hernandez, Frank Hernandez, Adam Bacon Region 5: Wendy Marshall, Teresa Sims, Kali Sorrels-Goode, Bridget Salazar, Cathleen Orr, Region 6: Kimberly Wilkerson, Ana Adame, Latrice Anderson, Odet Tahmassian, Avery Santos, Ophelia DeLeon (Temp. Out), CalSAWS: Laura Ould, Paul Galloway, Srinivasa Meenavalli, Tom Lazio, Corey Morris	

### Meeting Notes: Region 3 Tehama Co is responsible

Topic	Lead
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li> <b>Converted Manual EDBC –AAP</b>  Make sure AAP cases are being updated ASAP. High dated will skip and must run manually if cases are not built prior to batch run for CNIs on 08/04/2022. </li> <li> <b>Kali</b>-difference between C-IV and CalSAWS functionality. Per batch memo, doesn't list the past fall out scenarios like C-IV such as, will skip if would result in OP, pending individuals, relationships not existing, no active placement. All will be looked into and communicated with batch team and brought forward to group. If you have any additional questions, email project to investigate. It was mentioned that any validations that would stop a worker from running EDBC would likely stop batch run too. </li> </ul>	Claudia Pinto
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li> CA-243475: Facility Types to include in ARC Logic  Question: how impactful is this for the regions to have this released?  Regions prefer sooner rather than later for 2023 release.  Court specific, tribal specific relative to include ARC logic. </li> </ul>	Ignacio Lazaro
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li> CER CA-244986- ISCO ISFC County" rate table to be updated  <b>R6 to have Fiscal person explain</b>  Would like to allow for multiple ISFC options. Options to pay more than the standard way to FFA and splice out payment. A lot of counties may not be providing feedback since this is new and is needed in order to allow for ISFC at county levels. LA is the 1<sup>st</sup> to lead the way with this new ISFC option and to open facilities to allow for ISFC and county can claim remaining. Resource Family Homes to receive payment \$2,946.00 and county claim difference \$3,682.00.  <b>R1- Voted YES- on 8/3/22, R2-No Vote Provided, R3- Voted Yes on 8/3/22, R4- Yes, R5- Yes, R6- Yes</b>  <b>SCR CA-249117 has been opened for this effort</b> </li> </ul>	Ignacio Lazaro

Topic	Lead
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CER- CA-218983 Batch Negative Action for Apps Opened in Error Region 6-Requested a one-time DCR. Batch EDBC to close out approximately 2,500 FC/AAP cases that opened in error in LRS. Project assistance to close out. <b>SCR CA-249128 Opened for this effort</b></li> </ul>	Randall Salisbury
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CER CA-245166- NA 1261 (Add Overpayment Invoice form) SCR 239989 implementation in release 22.03 the NA 1261 stopped generating the OP Invoice and OP Detail Form. Regions determined if they wish to utilize these notices or if only LA wanted it. Request to view invoice and obtain electronic copy of the form to review with their fiscal department. If it goes to SCR include all necessary committees to review. R1, R2, R3, R4, R6, approved to move forward with an SCR. R5 was unsure. <b>SCR CA-249119 Opened for this effort</b></li> </ul>	Tiffany Huckaby
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CER- CA-217378- AAP Intake NOA LRS has an outdated version from 2016 mostly suppressed by SCR 49009. Marta Platt recommendation that the NA791 be updated to the current version. If updated, this will allow for universal usage. Per Ignacio-Will need Marta to send okay to the project. Joanna Genet- Stated would likely need approval from Steve Shields the monitoring manager of AAP. This CER was approved by all counties to move forward. <i>Being Added to SCR CA-214330</i></li> </ul>	LA-Edgardo Tayag
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CER- CA-217381- AAP Change NOA This CER same as above (AAP Intake, Change, Termination). All three were addressed that they need to be updated and modified. CER approved by all counties to move forward. <i>Being Added to SCR CA-214330</i></li> </ul>	LA-Edgardo Tayag
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CER CA-217382- AAP Termination NOA This CER same as above (AAP Intake, Change, Termination). All three were addressed that they need to be updated and modified. CER approved by all counties to move forward. <i>Being Added to SCR CA-214330</i></li> </ul>	LA-Edgardo Tayag
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>CER-CA-247912- AAP NOA if 2 Adoptive Parents with Different Addresses (ACL 16-29) This request comes due to errors during audit/monitoring to AAP cases. All parents who sign adoption agreement/contract are to be informed of the ongoing status of AAP case. All communications due to changes, terminations, overpayments, etc are required to be informed by NOA (NA 791). Currently, if parents are living in separate residence, we are required to notify both parties. This request is to address both/all adoptive parents. Concern was with the CNI batch run and NOAs not going to be generating to the second address of another parent. Currently manual NOAs are the only option from CDSS website to address the second party.</li> </ul>	Ruthann Erickson

**Topic****Lead**

Was approved by all Regions to move forward with SCR.

**SCR CA-249116 was opened for this effort.**

**Open Region Discussion**

Suzanne Noeller

- Batch to run CNI 08/06/2022 this includes AAP. There was concern due to ACL not being released yet. Project has been in contact with the state and confirmed that the CNI amount is the same for AAP and state approved the project to move forward with batch run. They understand AAP is always delayed, but numbers are the same and ACL will be released soon.

#	Discussion Items	Assigned To	Due Date	Status
---	------------------	-------------	----------	--------

1				
---	--	--	--	--

#	Decision Made	Who Made the Decision	Date
---	---------------	-----------------------	------

1			
---	--	--	--