



PROJECT APPROACH AND COST (PAC)

60678 CD FRS IEVS PVS Status Change –
SQL

COUNTY DIRECT AGREEMENT

Project Sponsor: Marcus Cox
Document Owner: Marcus Cox
Project Manager: Bryon Maxwell

Version 1.0
January 11, 2022



Statement of Confidentiality

This document contains confidential Gainwell Technologies. In consideration of the receipt of this document, recipient agrees not to reproduce or make this information available in any manner to persons outside the group directly responsible for evaluation of its contents.

1 SCOPE OF WORK

The following document outlines the County Direct Agreement between Fresno County and Gainwell Technologies, as provided under the Separate Services and Products provision (Section 13) in the CalWIN Contract.

2 PROJECT RESOURCES FRAMEWORK

Throughout the lifecycle of this project, several County and Vendor resources will be utilized. Precise titles are not as important here as understanding the level of involvement and roles that are necessary to maximize the effectiveness of the defined project methodology and approach. It would be possible for one person to be involved with multiple roles.

2.1 VENDOR ROLES

Vendor Program Managers	Contractual and executive management representative for Gainwell Technologies
Vendor Project Manager	Delivery oversight and the focal point to the County
Vendor Order Tracking Manager	Individual responsible for order placement and tracking
Vendor Lead Architect	Technical leadership of the project team
Vendor Engineers and Consultants	Lead technologists, subject matter experts, project workforce

2.2 COUNTY ROLES

County Project Sponsor	Management representative for the County and designated project acceptor
County Project Liaison	Project focal point for all Vendor resources
County Site Manager(s)	Contact individual for each site. Assists with scheduling and site surveys. Familiar with site layout, workgroups, and able to obtain necessary technical/logistical information from groups within the County

2.3 WCDS ROLES

WCDS Administrative Deputy Director	Management representative for the County Direct requests
WCDS Project Liaison	Project focal point for all WCDS communications

PROJECT DELIVERABLE CHANGE LOG

The Project Deliverable Change Log is used to reflect changes to this project deliverable throughout the deliverable management lifecycle.

Version	Brief Description of Change	Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Author/ Contributor/ Reviewer
0.1.0	Document Creation	12/16/2021	Marcus Cox
0.1.1	Review and update PAC	12/21/2021	Lindsey Mitchell
0.1.2	Review and updated for Application DBA	12/21/2021	Wajeed Ahamad
0.1.3	Project Manager Review	12/23/2021	Bryon Maxwell
0.1.4	Updated for Management Review	01/04/2022	Bryon Maxwell
1.0	Delivery to Fresno for review and approval	01/06/2022	Bryon Maxwell

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Scope of Work	1
2	Project Resources Framework.....	1
2.1	Vendor Roles.....	1
2.2	County Roles	1
2.3	WCDS Roles.....	2
3	Executive Summary	1
4	Project Approach and Scope of Change	2
4.1	Project Stakeholders.....	2
4.2	Business Problem or Business Drivers	2
4.3	Current Functionality, If Applicable	2
4.4	Required Functionality	3
4.4.1	Population Impacted	3
4.4.2	Counties Impacted.....	4
4.4.3	County Staff Impacted	4
4.4.4	Workgroups Impacted	4
4.4.5	Programs Impacted	4
4.4.6	Related Impacts	5
4.4.7	Affected Groups.....	6
5	Critical Success Factors.....	10
6	Training.....	10
7	Assumptions	12
7.1	General Assumptions.....	12
7.2	Deliverable Assumptions	13
8	Constraints.....	15
9	Benefits.....	15
10	Risks	15
11	Alternative Approaches, If Applicable	15
11.1	Alternate Approach Overview Identified During BSM/ROM Phase, If Applicable	15
11.2	Implementation Alternatives.....	16
11.3	Business Environment Design Strategy (BENDS)	16
12	Executive Financial Summary	17
12.1	Financial Summary.....	17

PROJECT APPROACH AND COST (PAC) 60678 CD FRS IEVS PVS Status Change – SQL County Direct Agreement

12.2	Targeted Release Timeframe.....	18
13	QA Checklist.....	19
13.1	Areas of Impact Checklist	19
13.2	Deliverable Checklist	20
14	Requirements Traceability Matrix	22
14.1	New Modified or Retired Requirements	22
14.2	Implemented Requirements not Modified.....	23
15	Acceptance Signoff	23
16	Appendix A – Design Notes	24
16.1	CIS Checklist.....	24
16.2	Database Changes	25
16.3	Reference Tables	26
16.3.1	Table Information	26
16.3.2	County-Updatable Columns and Default Values	26
16.3.3	Action Information	27
16.3.4	Structure Information.....	29
16.3.5	Data Information	30
16.3.6	Program List.....	31
16.4	Cloud Architecture Design.....	31
17	Appendix B – Hours Summary	32
18	Appendix C – Benefit Lines	32
19	Project Costs	34
20	Cost Assumptions	34
21	Payment Schedule – Terms and Conditions	34
22	County Direct Approval	35

3 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Project Approach and Cost (PAC) combines the elements of the Definitions Document (DD) and Cost Feasibility Study (CFS). This document has been developed to define the project approach and incorporate the appropriate elements of cost. It includes the following major components:

- Scope including identified business requirements
- Cost (Price)
- Assumptions, Risks, Constraints
- Benefits
- Workarounds
- Alternatives, if applicable
- Critical Success Factors
- Training
- Quality Assurance Checklist
- Requirements Traceability Matrix
- Type of Change – Full or Minimal Governance

Minimal Governance.

Fresno County is requesting CalWIN to run a SQL pack to update unprocessed R-IEVS (Recipient IEVS) abstracts created from June 01, 2019 to July 01, 2021 and automatically disposition the report status from Pending status to “Processed, No Discrepancy.” The SQL will include IFD, NHR, NPM, AVP and PVS IEVS for all programs and program statuses (approved and discontinued). Fresno County found that from a recent IEVS audit that IEVS PVS alerts were not processed timely within the CalWIN system. The error brought to light an operational gap between checking-off assignments in the Task Management ancillary system vs. the CalWIN core system (actions were applied to cases according to R-IEVS reports, but actions were not taken to disposition the appropriate windows in CalWIN). After review of current R-IEVS reports and alerts in CalWIN, the backlog poses a business problem when considering the availability of staff, operational hours, and the continuous flow of incoming workload.

4 PROJECT APPROACH AND SCOPE OF CHANGE

This section provides detailed information regarding the nature of the change, project outcomes, business drivers and other relevant information as defined in the following sections.

4.1 PROJECT STAKEHOLDERS

Role	Name
Executive Sponsor	Ingrid Mock
Deputy Director	Ingrid Mock
Product Owner	Marcus Cox
Project Manager	Bryon Maxwell
SMEs	Lindsey Mitchell, Tim Strong, Wajeed Ahamad, Bhogiraju Komara
Project Management Office <i>For projects with cloud related changes</i>	N/A

4.2 BUSINESS PROBLEM OR BUSINESS DRIVERS

Fresno County found from a recent IEVS audit that IEVS PVS alerts were not processed timely within the CalWIN system. The error brought to light an operational gap between checking-off assignments in the Task Management ancillary system vs. the CalWIN core system (actions were applied to cases according to R-IEVS reports, but actions were not taken to disposition the appropriate windows in CalWIN). After review of current R-IEVS reports and alerts in CalWIN, the backlog poses a business problem when considering the availability of staff, operational hours, and the continuous flow of incoming workload.

4.3 CURRENT FUNCTIONALITY, IF APPLICABLE

Recipient IEVS reports are loaded into CalWIN via a batch process when received from CDSS and DHCS, either monthly or quarterly. With the exception of NHR (New Hire Registry) reports that meet certain criteria, recipient IEVS reports are always initially loaded in Pending status. It is the responsibility of the worker to update the status of the reports in CalWIN once they have been reviewed and appropriate action taken. The various Recipient IEVS reports also generate case and/or functional alerts when loaded into CalWIN if the alert is active in the county.

4.4 REQUIRED FUNCTIONALITY

CalWIN must run a SQL pack to update IEVS PVS Recipient Reports with a create date from June 01, 2019 – July 01, 2021 for reports still in Pending status only. Status information will be updated on the IN_IEVS_RSP table and on the IN_IEVS_PVS_CS_RCV and IN_IEVS_IFD_CS_RCV tables as applicable.

- NHR
 - Status – change from “Pending” to “Processed, No Discrepancy” (PR)
- IFD
 - Did IEVS IFD match result in the discontinuance [Y/N] – select “No”
 - Status – change from “Pending” to “Processed, No Discrepancy” (PR)
- PVS
 - Did IEVS PVS match result in the discontinuance [Y/N] – select “No”
 - Status – change from “Pending” to “Processed, No Discrepancy” (PR)
- NPM
 - Status – change from “Pending” to “Processed, No Discrepancy” (PR)
- AVP
 - Status – change from “Pending” to “Processed, No Discrepancy” (PR)

CalWIN must run a SQL pack to update IEVS Alerts created from June 01, 2019 – July 01, 2021 for the following Alert Definition Codes and update disposition with Resolution of “Action Taken” (2A) and current date for the disposition date. Only alerts not previously dispositioned (no disposition date exists) will be updated.

- 523 (IEVS AVP Report Received)
- 316 (PVS Report Received)
- 065 (New Hire Report Received)

CalWIN must log a case comment with a Type of SY (System Generated) with the verbiage “PPM # County Direct for one-time clean-up of Recipient IEVS reports.” for each case affected. Cases where the IEVS report status was updated, the alert was dispositioned, or both will have the case comment inserted.

CalWIN must post a standard case list of affected cases to Change Order 4668 after the SQL run.

4.4.1 Population Impacted

Eligibility Programs	Population Impacted and a Description of the Effect	% of Population or Cases Impacted
CalFresh	A SQL pack will be run to update IEVS Recipient Reports and IEVS Alerts from June 01, 2019 – July 01, 2021 per Fresno County requirements. A case comment will be added.	100%

PROJECT APPROACH AND COST (PAC) 60678 CD FRS IEVS PVS Status Change – SQL County Direct Agreement

Eligibility Programs	Population Impacted and a Description of the Effect	% of Population or Cases Impacted
CalWORKs	A SQL pack will be run to update IEVS Recipient Reports and IEVS Alerts from June 01, 2019 – July 01, 2021 per Fresno County requirements. A case comment will be added.	100%
Medi-Cal	A SQL pack will be run to update IEVS Recipient Reports and IEVS Alerts from June 01, 2019 – July 01, 2021 per Fresno County requirements. A case comment will be added.	100%

4.4.2 Counties Impacted

County	Population Impacted and a Description of the Effect	% of Population Impacted
Fresno County	R-IEVS reports and alerts in CalWIN from June 01, 2019 – July 01, 2021 will be updated and dispositioned per Fresno County requirements. A case comment will be added.	100%

4.4.3 County Staff Impacted

County Position	Population Impacted and a Description of the Effect	% of Population Impacted
Eligibility Workers and IEVS Unit Staff	R-IEVS reports and alerts in CalWIN from June 01, 2019 – July 01, 2021 will be updated and dispositioned per Fresno County requirements. A case comment will be added.	100%

4.4.4 Workgroups Impacted

County Position	Population Impacted and a Description of the Effect	% of Population Impacted
N/A		

4.4.5 Programs Impacted

Program	Y/N	Description of the Affect
Adoption Assistance Program	N	No expected impact.
Approved Relative Caretaker (ARC)	N	No expected impact.
CalWORKs/RCA	Y	A SQL pack will be run to update IEVS Recipient Reports and IEVS Alerts from June

PROJECT APPROACH AND COST (PAC) 60678 CD FRS IEVS PVS Status Change – SQL County Direct Agreement

Program	Y/N	Description of the Affect
		01, 2019 – July 01, 2021 per Fresno County requirements. A case comment will be added.
CalFresh	Y	A SQL pack will be run to update IEVS Recipient Reports and IEVS Alerts from June 01, 2019 – July 01, 2021 per Fresno County requirements. A case comment will be added.
CAPI	N	No expected impact.
Child Care	N	No expected impact.
CMSP	N	No expected impact.
County Specific	N	No expected impact.
Employment Services	N	No expected impact.
Foster Care	N	No expected impact.
General Assistance/General Relief	Y	A SQL pack will be run to update IEVS Recipient Reports and IEVS Alerts from June 01, 2019 – July 01, 2021 per Fresno County requirements. A case comment will be added.
KinGap	N	No expected impact.
Medi-Cal	Y	A SQL pack will be run to update IEVS Recipient Reports and IEVS Alerts from June 01, 2019 – July 01, 2021 per Fresno County requirements. A case comment will be added.
Other (specify):	N	No expected impact.

4.4.6 Related Impacts

Proposals and Projects	Description of Potential Impacts to Counties
N/A	
County Direct	
Fresno County	This change applies to Fresno County only.
Service Requests	

Proposals and Projects	Description of Potential Impacts to Counties
Change Order 4668	This Change Order will be used for tracking and communication purposes only.

4.4.7 Affected Groups

This section contains the Affected Groups for this project identified at the time of submission of this deliverable. This section will not be changed if there are changes identified in future phases of the project. If there is a Technical System Design (TSD), this deliverable will contain the final Affected Groups for this project.

Application Services	
Group	Effect Description
Data Access Services (DAS)	No expected impact.
Rules Engine (includes Corticon)	No expected impact.
Group – Eligibility	Effect Description
Authorization	No expected impact.
Benefit Issuance/Foster Care Issuance	No expected impact.
Benefit Recovery – BV	No expected impact.
EDBC – ARC	No expected impact.
EDBC – CalWORKs	No expected impact.
EDBC – CAPI	No expected impact.
EDBC – CalFresh	No expected impact.
EDBC – Foster Care/KinGap	No expected impact.
EDBC – GA/GR	No expected impact.
EDBC – Medi-Cal	No expected impact.
EDBC – Other	No expected impact.
EDBC – RCA	No expected impact.
Error-Prone	No expected impact.
Kiosk	No expected impact.

PROJECT APPROACH AND COST (PAC) 60678 CD FRS IEVS PVS Status Change – SQL County Direct Agreement

Mass Update	No expected impact.
Redetermination – RRR	No expected impact.
Simulation	No expected impact.
Wrap-up	No expected impact.
Group – Input, Interfaces, and Inquiry	Effect Description
ACCESS CalWIN/IVR/VRU/FRTS	No expected impact.
Alerts & Tracking	No expected impact.
Application Architecture	No expected impact.
Application Registration	No expected impact.
Appointment Scheduling	No expected impact.
Case Assignment	No expected impact.
Client Referral	No expected impact.
CalWIN Web Application (CWA) – Inquiry	No expected impact.
Contact CalWIN	No expected impact.
Data Collection	No expected impact.
Employment Services	No expected impact.
General System	No expected impact.
Hearings	No expected impact.
History Maintenance	No expected impact.
Identity and Access Management	No expected impact.
Index Clearance	No expected impact.
Inquiry	No expected impact.
Inter-County Transfer (ICT)	No expected impact.
Interfaces	A SQL pack will be run in FRS county only to update status and disposition information for IEVS Recipient reports (PVS, NHR, NPM, AVP, IFD), disposition the associated alerts, and insert a case comment. The SQL will apply to IEVS reports received (create date in CalWIN) from June 01, 2019 – July

PROJECT APPROACH AND COST (PAC) 60678 CD FRS IEVS PVS Status Change – SQL County Direct Agreement

	01, 2021, as well as alerts created within the same date range.
Interfaces – Floating Views	No expected impact.
Interfaces – OSB	No expected impact.
Interfaces – Summary View	No expected impact.
Providers	No expected impact.
Reference Tables Maintenance (App Svcs)	No expected impact.
Security (App Svcs)	No expected impact.
Traffic Log	No expected impact.
Group – Information Reporting	Effect Description
Business Intelligence	No expected impact.
Client Correspondence <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Notices b. Triggers c. Reason Code d. Exstream including Enterprise Content Management (ECM) e. Translations Required? f. Impact to CC Maintenance Modules? 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. No expected impact. b. No expected impact. c. No expected impact. d. No expected impact. e. No expected impact. f. No expected impact.
Fraud Referral Tracking System (San Diego County Only)	No expected impact.
Management Reporting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Extracts b. Detail c. Summary 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. No expected impact. b. No expected impact. c. No expected impact.
Periodic Reporting	No expected impact.
Group – DBA	Effect Description
CalWIN Database – SQL Server	No expected impact.
Case Copy/Replication (DBA)	No expected impact.

PROJECT APPROACH AND COST (PAC) 60678 CD FRS IEVS PVS Status Change – SQL County Direct Agreement

CIS (County Information Server) a. CIS Data base schema changes b. RT changes c. MR Extract changes	a. No expected impact. b. No expected impact. c. No expected impact.
Database Objects (DBA) a. Database tables/columns b. Conversion SQLs c. Code SQL reviews d. Production SQL packs	a. No Expected Impact. b. No Expected Impact. c. No Expected Impact. d. One (1) SQL pack for Fresno County will be reviewed and scheduled.
Reference Tables Maintenance (DBA) a. New RTs b. Columns c. Rows d. Logic e. Refresh SYS TST	a. No Expected Impact. b. No Expected Impact. c. No Expected Impact. d. No Expected Impact. e. One (1) refresh may be required.
Group – Customer Experience	Effect Description
MyBenefits CalWIN	No expected impact.
MyBenefits CalWIN Mobile App	No expected impact.
General	
Group – Quality	Effect Description
Technical Writer	No expected impact.
Testing – System	No expected impact.
Testing – Integration	No expected impact.
Operations	
Group – Operations	Effect Description
Batch & Production Support	No expected impact.
Capacity Planning	No expected impact.
Configuration Management	No expected impact.
System DBA Support	No expected impact.

Engineering	No expected impact.
Management	No expected impact.
Middleware & SW Support	No expected impact.
Network Services a. CalWIN on PREM Changes b. Cloud Related Network Changes	a. No expected impact. b. No expected impact.
Cloud a. Security b. Monitoring and Performance c. Disaster Recovery d. Storage and Capacity Planning	a. No expected impact. b. No expected impact. c. No expected impact. d. No expected impact.
Security	No expected impact.
Storage	No expected impact.
Tuxedo	No expected impact.
UNIX/Linux Services	No expected impact.
Windows Services	No expected impact.

5 CRITICAL SUCCESS FACTORS

Critical Success Factor	Description of Expected Measurable Result
IEVS Recipient Reports and IEVS Alerts from June 01, 2019 – July 01, 2021 identified in audit will be updated and dispositioned correctly.	A SQL pack will be run to update IEVS Recipient Reports and IEVS Alerts from June 01, 2019 – July 01, 2021 per Fresno County requirements. A case comment will be added.

6 TRAINING

PROJECT APPROACH AND COST (PAC) 60678 CD FRS IEVS PVS Status Change – SQL County Direct Agreement

Training Artifact	Training Type	High-Level Description of Training		
Release Notes and Highlights	Documentation	N/A		
Online User Manual (OLUM)	Manual Update	N/A		
Best Practice Guides (BPG)/User Guides (UG)	Manual Update	N/A		
Systems Operation Plan 35-19 County Responsibilities	Manual Update	Y/N	New or Changing County Responsibilities due to the following Project Change:	Description – Detail actions for any Yes
		N	Reference Table	
		N	CalWIN Security Overview	
		N	Maintenance CalWIN User Accounts	
		N	Mobile/Portal User Support	
		N	Business Intelligence User Accounts	
		N	Employment Services	
		N	Providers	
		N	Case Assignments	
		N	Appointment Scheduling	
		N	Benefit Issuance Setup	
Other	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Documentation and County Calls Additional County Calls 	N/A		

Training Artifact	Training Type	High-Level Description of Training
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scenario Workflows • Renewal Workflow Charts • Medi-Cal Renewal Guide • As defined during BSM 	

7 ASSUMPTIONS

7.1 GENERAL ASSUMPTIONS

This section documents the known assumptions (as of the submission of this document) associated with the delivery of the proposal/project. The following table provides a list of the assumptions:

Assumption Identifier	Assumption Category	Assumption Description
1.0	Timing	The implementation is not related to a CalWIN release. The timeline will be determined between Gainwell and the County upon approval of the PAC and the County's readiness.
2.0	County Direct	County Direct Service Requests will not impact the M&O and the Counties Change Requests of the Systems for the Counties.
3.0	Staff	Adequate staffing will be available to define the scope, requirements, design, development, testing, and deployment.
4.0	Technical	No infrastructure software, hardware, and network upgrades or additions have been scoped as part of this change. They will need to be addressed in a separate change or the estimates; both cost and schedule, on this change will need to be revised to include any such changes.
5.0	Technical	No new environments will be added or set up as a result of this change.
6.0	Technical	No cloud environment created or impacted as a result of this change.

Assumption Identifier	Assumption Category	Assumption Description
7.0	County	Fresno will take action to update Alert Definition RT for Alert Definition Codes 523 (IEVS AVP Report Received) and 316 (PVS Report Received) with value of “Yes” for Auto Resolve Switch.
8.0	Technical	No Database tables or Reference table changes as part of this project.
9.0	Technical	No Conversion SQLs or SQL reviews are required except one production SQL Pack.

7.2 DELIVERABLE ASSUMPTIONS

The following is a list of deliverables to be completed during the delivery of this project.

Included (Yes/No)	Deliverable Name	Deliverable Assumption/Exception
No	Project Plan and Work Plan (PWP) – the Work Plan is the PPM schedule	SQL Pack only.
No	Functional Business Requirements (FBR) – includes requirements and Acceptance Criteria from ALM	No changes to business requirements in this project.
No	Technical Specifications Document (TSD)	No changes to CalWIN application.
No	System Test Plan (STP) – includes reference to test scripts in ALM	No changes to CalWIN application.
No	System Test Results (STR) – includes reference to test runs and artifacts in ALM	No changes to CalWIN application. Validation only that case has been updated.
No	Training Documentation – includes updates to BPGs, User Guides, and any training materials. The Training Documentation for the Release Project will include the Release Notes, Release Highlights, Technical Specifications Worksheet, and OLUM updates.	No changes to requirements or the CalWIN application.
No	Delivery Documentation – includes delivery documentation such as updates to Reference Table changes and the RRS Worksheets	No changes being implemented.
No	Post Implementation Report (PIR)	No changes being implemented.

8 CONSTRAINTS

The following table provides a list of the known business and technical constraints at the time of the submission of this document.

Constraint ID	Type of Constraint	Constraint Description
N/A		

9 BENEFITS

Is there a cost if the change is not implemented?	YES	NO
		X

10 RISKS

This section documents high-level risks identified during the Business Strategy Meeting(s). If a risk identified in the proposal phase is still open when the project is triggered, the risk will be entered into the project in PPM. Throughout the project lifecycle, the project management team will maintain a list of risks in the Project and Portfolio Management (PPM) tool for the project. This list of risks will be reviewed and updated during the course of the project.

The following table summarizes the risks associated with this proposal at the time of submission of this document.

ID	Risk Title	Brief Description of Risk Impact	Overview of the Risk Response Strategy
N/A			

11 ALTERNATIVE APPROACHES, IF APPLICABLE

This section includes a listing of any alternative approaches, if applicable, implementation alternatives and BENDS.

11.1 ALTERNATE APPROACH OVERVIEW IDENTIFIED DURING BSM/ROM PHASE, IF APPLICABLE

N/A

11.2 IMPLEMENTATION ALTERNATIVES

Implementation Alternatives Definition:

1. **Full Automation** – The application is modified to implement the change. Example: Counties are required to un-tick the time on aid clock for child support reimbursement. Full automation would provide a means to receive and record total child support collected, identify the months that would be affected, and un-tick the clock due to child support reimbursement when appropriate.
2. **Partial Automation** – A portion of the change is implemented by modifying CalWIN. This strategy is used in combination with other strategies such as Adaptation of Application or Manual Implementation. Example: Counties are required to un-tick the time on aid clock for child support reimbursement. Partial automation provides users with the ability to un-tick the clock in CalWIN for prior months using a reason of child support. **Note:** There would be a manual process to identify the applicable months.
3. **No Automation** – A non-automated method, such as a paper process, is used to implement the change. Example: Counties are required to un-tick the time on aid clock for child support reimbursement. A manual process is used to identify the month in which child support reimbursement was received and the clock should be un-ticked.

Alternatives	Price	Benefit*	Negative Impacts
1. Full Automation	N/A		
2. Partial Automation	N/A		
3. No Automation (Manual)	N/A		

11.3 BUSINESS ENVIRONMENT DESIGN STRATEGY (BENDS)

BENDS Required (Y/N)	Brief Description of BENDS Need	Responsible Party for BENDS Development	Comments
N			

County	Contact
N/A	

12 EXECUTIVE FINANCIAL SUMMARY

12.1 FINANCIAL SUMMARY

The following outlines the cost (price) associated with the scope of changes for this request in the pricing format. This represents a fixed price unless otherwise noted. This price will expire 90 days from submission and may require revision if the project inception is delayed. Once a project is started, invoicing will, however, occur based on the billing table shown in Appendix C.

Work	Cost Subtotals	Cost Totals
Project Planning: Project Plan and Work Plan (PWP) <i>(Includes: Initiation of the project, Project Plan and Work plan creation through formal approval, project management oversight through planning phase.)</i>	\$1,424	
Requirements Analysis: Functional Business Requirements (FBR) <i>(Includes: Document creation through to formal approval, any JAD, JRP, etc., project management oversight through FBR phase.)</i>	\$0	
Design: Technical System Design (TSD) <i>(Includes: Design, Design Walkthrough and Design document creation through to formal approval, project management oversight through the TSD phase.)</i>	\$0	
Test Planning: System Test Plan (STP) <i>(Includes: Test Plan document creation through to formal approval, code and unit test, unit test walkthrough, system test script creation, regression test script updates, and refinement through formal approval, project management oversight through Test Plan phase.)</i>	\$0	
Testing: System Test Results (STR) <i>(Includes: Integrated test, system test, and regression test execution and the capture of test results, development of test results documentation through formal approval, development of training and delivery document through to formal approval, project management oversight through the implementation phase.)</i>	\$0	
Post Implementation Report (PIR): <i>(Includes: Development and delivery of the post-implementation report deliverable.)</i>	\$23,677	
GAINWELL EFFORT <i>(Develop IEVS PVS Status Change SQL, Test and Execute.)</i>	\$25,101	
Gainwell Effort Subtotal		\$25,101
OTHER	\$0	
Operational Support <i>(Ongoing, post-Release)</i>	\$0	

PROJECT APPROACH AND COST (PAC) 60678 CD FRS IEVS PVS Status Change – SQL County Direct Agreement

Work	Cost Subtotals	Cost Totals
<u>Non-Effort Subtotal</u>		\$0
<u>Grand Total</u>		\$25,101

ROM was waived for this proposal by Fresno County; therefore, the 25% cost variance is not applicable.

12.2 TARGETED RELEASE TIMEFRAME

The following is the targeted release timeframe based on the current schedule, scope, and budget. This timeframe may need to be revised if the project inception is delayed.

Suggested Target Release ID	Suggested Target Release Date	Comments and Rationale for Target Release
Non-App	TBD	SQL Target date will be based on approval of the PAC.

13 QA CHECKLIST

13.1 AREAS OF IMPACT CHECKLIST

Question	Y/N	Notes								
1. Does CalWIN do this today?	N									
2. Would this change be the same for all eligibility programs? If 'No', what eligibility programs are impacted?	N	No eligibility impact.								
3. Would this change be the same for all employment services programs? If 'No', what employment services programs are impacted?	N	No ES impact.								
4. Would there be any changes to how the windows currently look?	N									
5. Would the new information need to be collected on a new or existing window? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> If yes, has confidentiality been assessed? Follow the confidentiality guidelines listed: <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>New Information</th><th>Security On</th></tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Child window</td><td>No</td></tr> <tr> <td>Search window</td><td>No</td></tr> <tr> <td>Contains Client Information</td><td>Yes</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	New Information	Security On	Child window	No	Search window	No	Contains Client Information	Yes	N	
New Information	Security On									
Child window	No									
Search window	No									
Contains Client Information	Yes									
6. Would the change affect the functionality of the window's icons?	N									
7. Do any interface partners receive this information? If Yes, note which partners.	N									
8. Are any Management Reports impacted by this change? If yes, which ones and what would change?	N	The status and outcome of IFD reports are reported to the state via the DPA 482, which is the MRS056R report in CalWIN. The report will not be modified as part of this proposal.								
9. Does the change affect Business Intelligence? If yes, which page and what would change?	N									

Question	Y/N	Notes
10. Would the existing correspondence be affected or would new correspondence be required? If so, verify that correspondence is identified in the impacts.	N	
11. Does this change how eligibility is determined?	N	
12. Would the new information need to display on the CalWIN Web application?	N	
13. Are there end-user references to Food Stamps in the system components impacted by this CR?	N	
14. Were the WCDS Guiding Principles for Standardization considered in developing this change?	Y	
15. Is there an impact to County Direct services?	Y	FRS only.
16. Will this require changes to the Software Report?	N	

13.2 DELIVERABLE CHECKLIST

QA Activity	Requirement Verified/Not Verified	Notes
The correct deliverable template is used.	Verified	
No sections were removed from deliverable.	Verified	
Check the title page for the title, project reference, sponsor, owner, version, and date.	Verified	
Headers/footers are correct.	Verified	
Table of Contents updated.	Verified	
Sections/fields are completed (except the Approval section) or N/A entered if the section is not applicable.	Verified	
Template instructions removed.	Verified	

PROJECT APPROACH AND COST (PAC) 60678 CD FRS IEVS PVS Status Change – SQL County Direct Agreement

QA Activity	Requirement Verified/Not Verified	Notes
Correct any obvious formatting inconsistencies.	Verified	
Perform grammar/spell check.	Verified	
If any clarifications needed, enter comments via Comment Log. If there were any QA comments requiring rework, re-review deliverable after updates are made.	Verified	

14 REQUIREMENTS TRACEABILITY MATRIX

The following table list Business Requirements included in the scope of this Project Approach and Cost. If there are existing business requirements that are being modified or retired, the source for these business requirements is ALM. If the business requirement is new, the ALM reference information is N/A.

14.1 NEW MODIFIED OR RETIRED REQUIREMENTS

This table includes a list of Business Requirements impacted by this change that are known at the time of submission of this PAC. The source for these Business Requirements is ALM if there are existing Business Requirements that are being modified or retired.

Line Number	Business Requirement	ALM Source Project	ALM Requirement Reference	The Scope of Change for ALM Requirement
1	CalWIN must run a one-time SQL pack to update the status of IEVS Recipient Reports (PVS, NPM, NHR, AVP, IFD) with a create date from June 01, 2019 – July 01, 2021 from 'Pending' to 'Processed, No Discrepancy'.	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	CalWIN must run a one-time SQL pack to update IEVS PVS and IFD reports to indicate the match did not result in discontinuance.	N/A	N/A	N/A
3	CalWIN must run a one-time SQL pack to disposition IEVS Alerts created from June 01, 2019 – July 01, 2021 with a Resolution of "Action Taken" and current date for disposition date for the following Alert Definition Codes: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 523 (IEVS AVP Report Received) • 316 (PVS Report Received) • 065 (New Hire Report Received) 	N/A	N/A	N/A
4	CalWIN must log a System Generated case comment with the verbiage "PPM # County Direct for one-time clean-up of Recipient IEVS reports." for each case affected.	N/A	N/A	N/A

Line Number	Business Requirement	ALM Source Project	ALM Requirement Reference	The Scope of Change for ALM Requirement
5	CalWIN must post a standard case list of affected cases to Change Order 4668 after the SQL run.	N/A	N/A	N/A

Any additional requirements identified during the development of the PAC are identified in the following table.

Line Number	Business Requirement (During PAC phase)	ALM Source Project	ALM Requirement Reference	The Scope of Change for ALM Requirement
N/A				

14.2 IMPLEMENTED REQUIREMENTS NOT MODIFIED

This table includes a list of Business Requirements impacted but not modified by this change known at the time of submission of this PAC. The source for these business requirements is ALM. Implemented requirements are included to help define business process areas impacted by the change yet do not have direct requirements changes.

Line Number	Business Requirement	ALM Source Project	ALM Requirement Reference
N/A			

15 ACCEPTANCE SIGNOFF

Acceptance Signoff for this deliverable 60768 is captured in the Project and Portfolio Management (PPM) tool via the Deliverable Management process.

16 APPENDIX A – DESIGN NOTES

This Appendix includes design notes related to Reference Table (RT) Only changes.

16.1 CIS CHECKLIST

Will the Implementation of the Project be reasonably expected to:	Y/N?	If Y, then specify the impact description
1. Change the way the data is being saved, deleted, updated or stored in any table?	N	
2. Change the cardinality of any table relationships?	N	
3. Create new tables?	N	
4. Create new columns in existing tables?	N	
5. Change column attributes in existing tables?	N	
6. Obsolete existing tables?	N	
7. Obsolete existing columns?	N	
8. Change the use of existing columns?	N	
9. Change the way data in a column is calculated (for calculated fields)?	N	
10. Update existing records to accommodate the change?	Y	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Run SQL pack for Fresno County to update. • IEVS report status, alerts and add case comment.
11. Change the meaning of existing RT values?	N	
12. Use hard-coded values entered in the databases that are not based on reference table values?	N	
13. Change the standard retention of data in any table?	N	

Will the Implementation of the Project be reasonably expected to:	Y/N?	If Y, then specify the impact description
14. Require change to existing queries used to produce CalWIN management reporting extracts?	N	
15. Require change to existing queries used to retrieve and display data on CalWIN screens?	N	
16. Affect the CIS daily and/or monthly file delivery process and timing?	N	
17. Change the format or structure of the CIS daily or monthly files?	N	
18. Change CIS daily or monthly file names at any level in the compression?	N	
19. Affect the standard Oracle-based CIS load process?	N	
20. Affect the typical growth of the database size?	N	
21. Are there Reference Table changes?	N	
22. Are tables being added to the CIS Subject Area?	N	
23. CIS Deliverables (DDL/DML, RT Views, and PDM) and CIS Training Manual package generated or updated?	N	

16.2 DATABASE CHANGES

The Database Services team completes this section. Complete the Database Changes table only for **approved** exceptions to the PAC usage criteria that include database changes. Otherwise, enter N/A.

Table	Column	Data Type	Service	Window ID	Window Title	Window Field	Calculated Field Detail *
N/A							

16.3 REFERENCE TABLES

The following RT sections only need to be completed for RT Only and COLA RT changes. Full project Reference Table information will continue to be documented in the FBR (if available at the time) and TSD per the typical process.

The following six sections are completed for each reference table affected by the change.

In the event that this proposal is accepted and becomes a project:

- The RT Documentation template for each reference table affected by the change is also completed as part of the design deliverables.
- For existing tables, complete the reference request spreadsheet [RRS] for EACH reference table affected by the change as part of the design deliverables.
- For new tables, the RRS will be generated during the construction phase.

16.3.1 Table Information

```
SELECT
'TABLE NAME - ' || REFR_TBL_PHY_NM || CHR(13) || CHR(10) ||
'LOGICAL NAME - ' || REFR_TBL_LGCL_NM || CHR(13) || CHR(10) ||
'SHORT DESC - ' || REFR_TBL_DESC || CHR(13) || CHR(10) ||
'MAINT LEVEL - ' || DECODE(MAINT_LVL_CD,
                        'C','COUNTY', 'N','CONSORTIUM',
                        'B','BOTH CONSORTIUM AND COUNTY',
                        'S','SYSTEM') || CHR(13) || CHR(10) ||
'LEAD TRACK - ' || SUBSYS_NM || CHR(13) || CHR(10)
FROM RT_REFR_TBL A, RT_SUBSYS B
WHERE REFR_TBL_PHY_NM = 'RT_XXX'
AND A.MAINT_SUB_SYS_CD = B.SUBSYS_CD(+);
```

Table Name	N/A
Logical Name	N/A
Short Description	N/A
Maint. Level	N/A
Lead Subsystem	N/A

16.3.2 County-Updatable Columns and Default Values

```
SELECT
B.COL_LGCL_NM || ' - ' || B.COL_PHY_NM
FROM RT_REFR_TBL A, RT_COL B
WHERE A.REFR_TBL_PHY_NM = 'RT_XXXX'
```


PROJECT APPROACH AND COST (PAC) 60678 CD FRS IEVS PVS Status Change – SQL County Direct Agreement

AND A.REFR_TBL_ID = B.REFR_TBL_ID AND B.COL_UPD_SW = 'Y';

County Updateable Column Name	Row Key Value	Value (PRD Value when updating existing data, Default value when completely new rows)	Counties (All or county codes when value is county specific)	RT Query Date (or indicate N/A when 'Completely new row')
N/A				

16.3.3 Action Information

Actions to Be Taken on the Table		Explanatory Notes
1	If inserting or updating rows, give <i>an</i> approximate number of rows to be inserted or updated.	N/A
2	If a new table is to be created, list the key fields and the attributes pertinent to the functionality being requested.	N/A
3	If an existing table is having its structure changed (new column, change existing column length or type, change the primary key, etc.), describe the structure change.	N/A
4	If data is going to be inserted or updated, specify the effective begin date to be used for the new rows.	N/A
5	The standard for RTs is: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All updates will be accompanied by a change of effective begin date. • No updates will be done by overlaying / overwriting existing records or data. • If a deviation from this standard is planned, note that in the FBR along with the reasons for the deviation. 	N/A
6	If any data conversion will be needed, note the requirement. Generally, data conversion is only needed if key values are being replaced.	N/A

PROJECT APPROACH AND COST (PAC) 60678 CD FRS IEVS PVS Status Change – SQL County Direct Agreement

Actions to Be Taken on the Table		Explanatory Notes
7	If any SQLs will need to be created for the counties to execute – for example, to identify impacted cases – indicate the need.	N/A
8	If the counties will need to take any action in conjunction with this change request, note the requirement. (Some examples of county actions would include: making updates to consortium/county tables, scheduling an extra batch job run, running SQLs to identify the impacted cases, etc.) Note if these changes are required for the User Acceptance Testing (UAT) release, for the Production release, or both. This information must also be included in the Release Notes prior to the release.	N/A
9	For RTs that have “year” or “date” fields other than effective begin and end dates, and those date fields are used in the program logic to select the appropriate RT row, make a note of the special logic and document if/how this affects the RT change, the coding, and/or the testing.	N/A

16.3.4 Structure Information

- RT structure changes are typically not permitted in the Project Approach and Cost (PAC) Process. In rare instances, an exception can be approved allowing a structure change to be completed with a PAC. If a structural change was approved, this structure information section will be completed. If there are no structure changes planned for this project, this section will be indicated as N/A.

Column Action (Add Change Delete)	Key Column (Yes/No)	Physical Column Name (24 Characters Maximum)	Logical Column (Attribute Name - 50 Characters Maximum)	Column Description for RT Help (4000 Characters Maximum)	Candidate Data Type / Length	Null/Not Null	Display Order	County Update-able (Yes/No)
N/A	No	USR_SELECT_SW	User Select Switch	Yes/No switch signifying if the user can select the entry.	CHAR(1)	Not Null		No
N/A	No	EFF_BGN_DT	Effective Begin Date	Date from which the information in the instance of this entity is effective.	DATE	Not Null		No
N/A	No	EFF_END_DT	Effective End Date	Date up to which the information in the instance of the entity is effective.	DATE	Null		No

16.3.5 Data Information



Only Consortium rows can be added or updated. Some reference tables are only maintained by the Counties; some reference tables have rows maintained by the Consortium and also rows maintained by the Counties. In order to verify that a particular row is a Consortium row, do any one of the following:

1. Execute the following SQL to retrieve the county maint. Switch for the table rows. If the switch value is Y, only the counties can maintain the data; if the value is N, it is a consortium row and you can update it.

```
SELECT A.REFR_TBL_PHY_NM, B.CNTY_MAINT_SW, B.DATA_KEY,
B.ROW_DATA
FROM RT_REFR_TBL A, RT_DATA B
WHERE A.REFR_TBL_ID = B.REFR_TBL_ID
AND A.MAINT_LVL_CD = 'B'
AND A.REFR_TBL_PHY_NM = 'RT_NCMP_GDCS_RSN';
```

2. Access the reference table in CubeD and click the download button to download the data in a spreadsheet. Check the County Value column on the Reference Table Data tab.
3. Access the reference table in the CalWIN application and view the data. Check the CNTY VAL SW column.

Updated Rows Table:

Row Action (Change, Delete)	Key Value (if composite key specify all key column values)	Column Name and/or Row Key	Old Value	New Value
N/A				

New Rows Table:

If the RT has county specific columns with differing values across county please copy this following table section for each county with differing values. If there are no county updateable columns or all counties have the same values, then please use 'All Counties' and only one table section is needed.

All counties/county name (code)

Value 1	Value 2	Value n	County Action
N/A			

PROJECT APPROACH AND COST (PAC) 60678 CD FRS IEVS PVS Status Change – SQL County Direct Agreement

Pgm Cd	Actn Cd	Rsn Cd	NOA Rsn Cd	EDBC Sw	Usr Selct Sw	Eff Bgn Dt	Eff End Dt
N/A							

16.3.6 Program List

Program Name	Action Required ("No Action", "Recompile", or "Changed")
N/A	

16.4 CLOUD ARCHITECTURE DESIGN

Full Project Cloud Architecture information will continue to be documented in the FBR (if available at the time) and TSD per the typical process. For CalSAWS projects, these updates are also subject to CalSAWS Governance.

17 APPENDIX B – HOURS SUMMARY

This Appendix includes the summary of hours upon which the Financial Summary was based. The hours allocated in each area will be further refined during the creation of the work plan and are therefore subject to change.

ITEM	HOURS
Project and Work Plan (PWP)	10
Functional Business Requirements (FBR)	0
Technical System Design (TSD)	0
Coding and Unit Testing (CUT)	166.2
System Test Plan (STP)	0
System Test Results (STR)	0
Training Documentation (TRN)	0
Delivery Documentation	0
Post Implementation Report (PIR)	0
CalHEERS Release B	0
TOTAL*	176.2

*Footnote: 8.5 contingency hours are included in the estimated total.

18 APPENDIX C – BENEFIT LINES

This Appendix includes the financial summary used to enter the Benefit Lines in PPM.

The following outlines the cost (price) associated with the scope of changes for this request in the billing format. This represents a fixed price unless otherwise noted. This price will expire 90 days from submission and may require revision if the project inception is delayed.

Work	Cost Subtotals	Cost Totals
Project Plan and Work Plan (PWP) (10% of total price) <i>(Includes: Initiation of the project, Project Plan and Work plan creation through formal approval, project management oversight through planning phase.)</i>	\$2,510	
Functional Business Requirements (FBR) (0% of total price)	\$0	

PROJECT APPROACH AND COST (PAC) 60678 CD FRS IEVS PVS Status Change – SQL County Direct Agreement

Work	Cost Subtotals	Cost Totals
<i>(Includes: Document creation through to formal approval, any JAD, JRP, etc., project management oversight through FBR phase.)</i>		
Technical System Design (TSD) (0% of total price) <i>(Includes: Design, Design Walkthrough and Design document creation through to formal approval, project management oversight through the TSD phase.)</i>	\$0	
System Test Plan (STP) (0% of total price) <i>(Includes: Test Plan document creation through to formal approval, code and unit test, unit test walkthrough, system test script creation, regression test script updates, and refinement through formal approval, project management oversight through Test Plan phase.)</i>	\$0	
System Test Results (STR) (0% of total price) <i>(Includes: Integrated test, system test, and regression test execution and the capture of test results, development of test results documentation through formal approval, development of training and delivery document through to formal approval, project management oversight through the implementation phase.)</i>	\$0	
Post Implementation Report (PIR) (0% of total price) <i>(Includes: Document creation through to formal approval, project management oversight through Post Implementation Phase.)</i>	\$0	
GAINWELL EFFORT (90% of total price) <i>Develop IEVS PVS Status Change SQL, Test and Execute.)</i>	\$22,591	
<u>Gainwell Effort Subtotal</u>		\$25,101
OTHER	\$0	
Operational Support <i>(Ongoing, post-Release)</i>	\$0	
<u>Non-Effort Subtotal</u>		\$0
<u>Grand Total</u>		\$25,101

19 PROJECT COSTS

Please see Appendix C for detailed project costs.

20 COST ASSUMPTIONS

The following assumptions were made by the Vendor, or developed in conjunction with the County, for the creation of this document. Any decisions made by the County that may modify these assumptions may affect the cost, schedule or outcome of this project.

N/A

21 PAYMENT SCHEDULE – TERMS AND CONDITIONS

Fresno County will be invoiced upon completion of the services. Payment is due within thirty days.

PROJECT APPROACH AND COST (PAC) 60678 CD FRS IEVS PVS Status Change – SQL County
Direct Agreement

22 COUNTY DIRECT APPROVAL

Fresno County agrees to the terms and conditions detailed in this County Direct Agreement.

Proposal Number - Title	60678 CD FRS IEVS PVS Status Change - SQL	Total	\$25,101
----------------------------	-------------------------------------------	-------	----------


County Authorized Signature	Date
Angie Flores	1/24/2022
Approver's Name	
Angie Flores	
Approver's Title	
CalWIN Manager	
Approver's Division/Department	
CalWIN HD Division / CalSAWS	
Approver's Phone Number	(559) 600-2305

Michael Cox

1/24/2022

Business Office Review	Date
<i>Dawn Wilder</i>	1/31/22
Gainwell Technologies Authorized Signature	Date
Dawn Wilder, Account General Manager	
Approver's Name - Title	
1 (626) 625-0691	
Approver's Phone Number	

PROJECT APPROACH AND COST (PAC) 60678 CD FRS IEVS PVS Status Change – SQL County
Direct Agreement

CalSAWS Authorized Signature	Date
CalSAWS Executive Director or Designee	2/7/22
Approver's Name - Title	
 PMO Director	
Approver's Phone Number	916-549-5696

PROJECT APPROACH AND COST (PAC) 60678 CD FRS IEVS PVS Status Change – SQL County
Direct Agreement

Template Version Number: 11.0

Template Effective Date 08/20/2021