CalSAWS |

Date: 6/8/2022	Location: MS Teams
Time: 1:30pm-5:00pm	Meeting called by: CalWORKs CalFresh Facilitators

Attendees:

	1			
1	Monterey	Maria Pacheco for Liza Lopez		
1	Napa	Alli Muller		
1	Santa Clara	Michelle Demetrius		
1		Sharon Dotson		
2	Placer	Marna Stock		
2	Sacramento	Laura Hodges		
2	Sutter	Michelle Wattell		
2	Yuba	Elizabeth Corniel		
3	Shasta	Mark Bueb		
3	Tehama	Matthew Sanchez		
4	Kern	Martha Esparza		
4	Merced	Michelle L. Smith		
4	Tulare	Lori Catuto		
5	Orange	Ana Arevalo		
5	Riverside	Jessica Gomez		
5	San Bernardino	Andrea Brayboy		
5	San Diego	Tammy Gains for Linda Sevilla		
5	Santa Barbara	Maria Guerrero		
6	Los Angeles	Ken Cho Anna Chebishian		
6	Los Angeles	Lilit Afrikyan		
6	Los Angeles	Itza Girgis		

Topic

Discussion Item

 Mark SAR7 as Received for anything submitted from BenefitsCal as a SAR 7

Important Points

- Shared SCR CA 245231 Update imaging API for No Touch SAR 7 Logic when barcode is not available.
- The imaging team received a request from leadership for this functionality.
- SAR 7s must have a barcode for them to be marked as received. The request is to change this so that when a SAR 7 comes in through BenefitsCal, it does not need a barcode to mark it as received.
- This would be for both Change and No Change SAR 7s
- Suggestion was made to limit the functionality to a specific date range when looking for a SAR 7 that is due (example, Month of generation + 2 months)
- Question asked: if a county has opted out of the No Change logic, will that change with this SCR? Action Item identified to take the question back and a request that an assumption be added to the SCR

Торіс	Important Points
Discussion Item	
	SCR CA-245231 will be updated to include functionality to ensure a task can still be generated for counties that have opted out of the No Change logic.
SCRs for Review and Vote	
 CA 50776 CalFresh WTW Eligibility Non- Compliance Updates 	 Went over updates that were made to the SCR SCR 240872 will correct issues with the Sanction NOAs and is scheduled to be released at the same time as this SCR Vote: Region 1 – Approve x 2 Region 2 – Approve x 1 Region 3 – Approve x 1 Region 4 – Approve x 2 Region 5 – Approve x 3
SCRs for Review	
 CA 245431 SB 1065 – Remove Valid Financial Hardship requirement for Permanent Homeless Assistance 	 Design was not included in the meeting materials. It will be sent out via email and give a 2-week review period. The field will be removed as of 9/2022 implementation. The field will display as a non-editable field for historical records The SCR is scheduled for the 22.09 release. EDBC will no take the field into consideration from 9/1 through the release date. This information will be added to the SCR
Discussion Items	
 CA 233168 – Guaranteed Income Pilot Program 	 Due to ACL 21-137, Errata to ACL 21-137 and ACIN I-22- 35, Region 6 is asking for 6 additional income types to be added to the Income page. The SCR CA 233168 has been written as a placeholder SCR. Region 6 will submit a County Design Input (CDI) form Request was made to ensure the MC and GA/GR committees are informed of these proposed changes Currently, LA is doing workarounds for these income types. They are entering "Guaranteed Income" in the Source field when the workaround is utilized. LA is still working to finalize their process. Once their process is finalized they will share it.
 Restaurant Meals Program and Authorized Rep 	 Region 6 had a question regarding a client that is eligible to the RMP and has an AR. Currently the ARs card is not flagged as eligible to the RMP.

Торіс	Important Points		
Discussion Item			
	 Region 6 has submitted a question to the State and wil wait for a response from them. 		
	 This item was also discussed at the CTS meeting and a response is pending. 		
• Employment Services registration for CFET clients	• CalWIN counties are preparing for migration and Region 1 wanted to know which CalSAWS counties are doing to address registering clients. It appears the worker must select the client as a mandatory participant, but the program is voluntary.		
	• Kern went over the process their county follows. They screen every applicant to determine whether or not they are a mandatory participant because the requirement is still there for them to screen. They then give an exemption since participation is voluntary. She did indicate they have gone through several ME reviews and have not had any issues in regards to their process.		

(Optional Items)

#	Action Item	Assigned To	Assigned Date	Due Date	Status