

California Statewide Automated Welfare System

# **Design Document**

## CA-213615

Updates to the Reception Log and Lobby Devices

	DOCUMENT APPROVAL HISTORY			
CalSAWS	Prepared By Erika Kusnadi, Shining Liu			
	Reviewed By	Michael Wu, Himanshu Jain, Chitra Barsagade, Kali Jackson, Sivagami Nachiyappan, Naga Chinduluru, William Baretsky		

DOCUMENT VERSION	<b>REVISION DESCRIPTION</b>	AUTHOR
1.0	Initial draft	Erika Kusnadi, Shining Liu
2.0	<ol> <li>Content Revision 1:</li> <li>Remove the requirement to update Page Mapping from Section 2.2.5 as this is incorrect.</li> <li>Added Section 3 for Supporting Document for the Security Matrix</li> </ol>	Kusnadi.E
	VERSION 1.0	VERSION         1.0       Initial draft         2.0       Content Revision 1:         1. Remove the requirement to update Page Mapping from Section 2.2.5 as this is incorrect.         2. Added Section 3 for Supporting Document for the

## Table of Contents

1	Ove	erview.		5
	1.1	Currer	nt Design	5
	1.2	Reque	ests	5
	1.3	Overv	iew of Recommendations	5
	1.4	Assum	ptions	5
2	Rec	comme	ndations	5
	2.1	e Assignment Detail page	5	
		2.1.1	Overview	5
		2.1.2	Device Assignment Detail page Mockup	6
		2.1.3	Description of Changes	7
		2.1.4	Page Location	7
		2.1.5	Security Updates	7
		2.1.6	Page Mapping	7
		2.1.7	Accessibility	7
		2.1.8	Page Usage/Data Volume Impacts	7
	2.2	Updat	e validation messages to use Lobby Tablet and Lobby Kiosk	3
		2.2.1	Overview	3
		2.2.2	Description of Changes	3
		2.2.3	Page Location	3
		2.2.4	Security Updates	3
		2.2.5	Page Mapping	3
		2.2.6	Accessibility	3
		2.2.7	Page Usage/Data Volume Impacts	3
	2.3	Batch	Job to Change Reception Log Visit Status to Complete or No Response S	9
		2.3.1	Overview	9
		2.3.2	Description of Change	9
		2.3.3	Execution Frequency	9
		2.3.4	Key Scheduling Dependencies	9
		2.3.5	Counties Impacted	9
		2.3.6	Category	9
		2.3.7	Data Volume/Performance	9
		2.3.8	Failure Procedure/Operational Instructions	С

	2.4 Secu	urity Group Updates	10
	2.4.1	Overview	10
	2.4.2	Description of Change	10
3	Requiren	nents	11
	3.1 Proje	ect Requirements	11

## **1 OVERVIEW**

In CalSAWS, reception log pages and lobby pages specifically are used to support and manage the overall Lobby Management process in various aspects. This SCR will make updates to CalSAWS so that information that is displayed are consistent throughout the application.

## 1.1 Current Design

With multiple changes being done to CalSAWS related to Lobby Management functionalities, information that is displayed are not consistent throughout. As such, some validation messages, security group name and description are still referencing Kiosk and FACT and an old functionality that is only being used in limited county offices are still being displayed on the page. Lastly, since reception log records can only be edited/updated on the same day that it got created; any reception log visit records that are still open (latest visit status is not Complete or No Response) at the end of the day will continue to remain open.

## 1.2 Requests

Update CalSAWS so that any references to Kiosk or FACT are updated to Lobby Kiosk or Lobby Tablet respectively, hide the WBR section from the Device Assignment Detail page, update any security group and security description to no longer reference Kiosk or FACT, and create a new nightly batch job that will close (latest visit status is Complete or No Response) Reception Log Visit records that are still open (latest visit status is not Complete or No Response) at the end of the day.

#### 1.3 Overview of Recommendations

- 1. Hide the WBR section from being displayed on the Device Assignment Detail page.
- 2. Create a new nightly batch job that will close (latest visit status is Complete or No Response) Reception Log Visit records that are still open (latest visit status is not Complete or No Response) at the end of the day.
- 3. Replace the word 'FACT' to 'Lobby Tablet' to an existing validation message.
- 4. Update multiple security group name and security group descriptions to no longer use Kiosk or FACT.

## 1.4 Assumptions

- 1. All existing functionalities will remain unchanged unless called out as part of the SCR.
- 2. If a new visit status for reception log visit records is added in the future, the batch job will update the status to No Response unless otherwise specified.
- 3. Hiding the WBR section on the Device Assignment Detail page will not impact users from continuing using the WBR functionality for those offices that are equipped with devices that have the WBR functionality set up prior to the SCR going into production.

- 4. The Visit Status tooltip will continue to track/display all visit status records that are created for that specific Reception Log Visit. This will include visit status records that are created by the new batch job.
  - a. The visit status information that will be displayed on the Visit Status tooltip for visit status records that's created by the new batch job will be the Time (this will be the time that the visit record was created), Status (this will be the status type and in this case it will be either Complete or No Response) and Created by (this will display the name of the staff that created the record and in this case it will the staff name for the new batch job).

## **2 RECOMMENDATIONS**

In CalSAWS, reception log pages and lobby pages specifically are used to support and manage the overall Lobby Management process in various aspects. This SCR will make updates to CalSAWS so that information that is displayed are consistent throughout the application.

## 2.1 Device Assignment Detail page

#### 2.1.1 Overview

The Device Assignment Detail page allows users to search for a device and assign the device to an Office or change the device's office assignment. As part of the Device Assignment Detail page, there's a WBR (Wireless Barcode Reader) section that will allow user to customize the Receipt information that get's printed and whether an appointment notification should be sent to the worker when users are using the WBR hardware. This SCR will hide the WBR section from being displayed on the Device Assignment Detail page, as the WBR hardware is no longer being used in any of the counties. As such, the WBR section is no longer necessary.

## 2.1.2 Device Assignment Detail page Mockup

<ul> <li>Indicates required fields</li> </ul>			
Office: C-IV	v	Device In Use: 🔽	Search
Lobby Devices			
Device Number	Device Name 🏶	Assigned Office <sup>8</sup>	Device In Use
Lobby Tablet 254021	testing	C-IV	No
Lobby Tablet 254022	test	C-IV 💌	No
	check	C-IV v	No
Lobby Kiosk 240203			



## 2.1.3 Description of Changes

- 1. Update the Device Assignment Detail page to no longer display the WBR section.
  - a. Hide the WBR section so that it no longer displays on the Device Assignment Detail page.

**Note:** Currently, the WBR section will not display when the when the user select "All" or "Unassigned Devices" from the Office drop down list.

**Note:** For offices that have information inputted on the WBR section, data will continue to be retained in the database. This SCR will only hide the WBR section so that it will no longer display on the page (this means that information that was entered on the WBR section will no longer be editable through the page, as the whole WBR section will no longer be displayed on the page). Once the SCR is in production, users will no longer be able to access the WBR section (existing data under the WBR section will not be editable). This will not impact the Lobby Devices section.

#### 2.1.4 Page Location

- Global: Admin Tools
- Local: Office Admin
- Task: Device Assignment

## 2.1.5 Security Updates

N/A

#### 2.1.6 Page Mapping

Update page mapping to remove all references related to the WBR section.

#### 2.1.7 Accessibility

The following Accessibility enhancements have been identified:

- Images must have alternate text.
- Form elements must have labels.
- Select element must have an accessible name.
- html element must have a lang attribute.

## 2.1.8 Page Usage/Data Volume Impacts

N/A

#### 2.2 Update validation messages to use Lobby Tablet and Lobby Kiosk.

#### 2.2.1 Overview

As part of a previous SCR the name of the following lobby devices, Kiosk and FACT was rebranded to Lobby Kiosk and Lobby Tablet respectively. This SCR will replace Kiosk to Lobby Kiosk and FACT to Lobby Tablet to all validation messages that still have the old lobby devices name as part of the validation message.

## 2.2.2 Description of Changes

- 1. Replace FACT to Lobby Tablet for the below validation message.
  - a. Update the validation message "A flow of Device Type 'FACT' cannot contain a Button Action with an Action Type from 'Document Upload'." to "A flow of Device Type 'Lobby Tablet' cannot contain a Button Action with an Action Type from 'Document Upload'."

**Note:** There is no change in the logic on when the validation message will be displayed.

#### 2.2.3 Page Location

- Global: Admin Tools
- Local: Office Admin
- Task: Device Flow Mgmt.

#### 2.2.4 Security Updates

N/A

#### 2.2.5 Page Mapping

<mark>Update page mapping to remove all references related to the WBR</mark> section.

2.2.6 Accessibility

N/A

## 2.2.7 Page Usage/Data Volume Impacts

N/A

## 2.3 Batch Job to Change Reception Log Visit Status to Complete or No Response

#### 2.3.1 Overview

Reception Log Visit records that do not have a Visit Status of Complete or No Response are left open indefinitely. Create a new batch job to autoclose such records.

#### 2.3.2 Description of Change

- Create a new batch job that updates the Visit Status of Reception Log Visit records to Complete or No Response if the latest Visit Status is not Complete or No Response by the time the batch job runs.
  - a. If the latest Visit Status is Meeting Started, update the Visit Status of the Reception Log Visit to Complete. If the latest Visit Status is anything else, such as Worker Notified or Waiting, including any status that does not yet exist, update the Visit Status of the Reception Log Visit to No Response.
    - i. Visit Status that is added to the Reception Log Visit through the batch job will be captured on the Visit Status Tooltip, which should display the name of the batch job.
  - b. Reception log visits do not need to be retroactively marked Complete or No Response. Only records created on or after the batch job runs for the first time should be updated.
- 2. Create a BPCR and BSCR for the batch job.

#### 2.3.3 Execution Frequency

Daily (M-F)

## 2.3.4 Key Scheduling Dependencies

N/A

#### 2.3.5 Counties Impacted

All

2.3.6 Category

Core

## 2.3.7 Data Volume/Performance

N/A

#### 2.3.8 Failure Procedure/Operational Instructions

Batch Support Operations staff will evaluate transmission errors and failures to determine the appropriate resolution (i.e., manually retrieving the file from the directory and contacting the external partner if there is an account or password issue, etc.).

## 2.4 Security Group Updates

#### 2.4.1 Overview

As part of a previous SCR the name of the following lobby devices, Kiosk and FACT was rebranded to Lobby Kiosk and Lobby Tablet respectively. This SCR will update the security group name and the security group description to no longer reference Kiosk or FACT.

## 2.4.2 Description of Change

- 1. Update the following security group name to no longer reference Kiosk.
  - a. Update the security group name "Kiosk Assignment" to "Device Assignment".
  - b. Update the security group name "Kiosk Flow Management" to Device Flow Management".

Note: The above change is to just update the security group name. It does not change on how the above security group works or impact security rights and roles that are associated to the above security groups listed above.

- 2. Update the following security group description to no longer reference Kiosk or FACT.
  - a. For the security group name of Lobby Device Admin, update the group description from "Add and edit Kiosks and FACT tablets." to "Add and edit Lobby Kiosk, Self Service Kiosk or Lobby Tablets."
  - b. For the security group name of Remote Kiosk Configuration, update the group description from "Provides access to configure the Kiosk from the Facilitated Application Control Tablet (FACT)." to "Provides access to configure the Lobby Kiosk from the Lobby Tablet."
  - c. For the security group name of Kiosk Flow Override, update the group description from "Allows the Worker to save changes to Button Actions for a Flow assigned to Kiosks in multiple Offices." to "Allows the Worker to save changes to Button Actions for a Flow assigned to Lobby Kiosks in multiple Offices."
  - d. For the security group name of Kiosk Flow Management, update the group description from "Provide access to the Kiosk

Flow Management Application." to "Provide access to the Lobby Kiosk Flow Management Application."

e. For the security group name of Kiosk Assignment, update the group description from "Provides access to the Kiosk Assignment Application." to "Provides access to the Device Assignment page."

**Note:** The updates to the security group name and security group description listed above will be reflected on the Select Security Group page when the above security group name is returned on the Search Results Summary section.

## **3 SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS**

Number Functional Area		Description	Attachment	
1.0	Online	Security Matrix	CA-213615 Security Matrix.xls	

## **4 REQUIREMENTS**

## 4.1 Project Requirements

REQ #	REQUIREMENT TEXT	How Requirement Met
2.2.1.4	The LRS shall record the date and time of the traffic log entry, and a record of each contact shall be retained and be viewable from the individual or case record to be retrieved and reviewed at any time.	CalSAWS will be updated to replace any references to Kiosk and FACT to Lobby Kiosk or Lobby Tablet as applicable. The WBR section will also be hidden as this functionality is only being used in limited offices in the counties. Lastly, a new batch job will be created in order to close out any "open" Reception Log Visit record at the end of the day.

Calsaws

California Statewide Automated Welfare System

# **Design Document**

## CA-234219

Close CW Program When a Person Refused UIB

	DOCUMENT APPROVAL HISTORY		
CalSAWS	Prepared By	Yale Yee	
	Reviewed By	Business Analyst, Build/Test teams, CW/CF Committee	

DATE	DOCUMENT VERSION	<b>REVISION DESCRIPTION</b>	AUTHOR
11/18/2022	1.0	Initial Document	Yale Yee
<mark>9/20/2023</mark>	<mark>1.1</mark>	Content Revision 1: Update variable population to reflect correct page	<mark>Tiffany</mark> Huckaby

## Table of Contents

1	Ove	erview					
	1.1	Current Design					
	1.2	2 Requests					
	1.3	.3 Overview of Recommendations					
	1.4	Assum	ptions				
2	Rec	comme	endations6				
	2.1	Upda	te CW EDBC to close the program for 'Refused UIB'6				
		2.1.1	Overview				
		2.1.2	Description of Changes				
		2.1.3	Programs Impacted7				
		2.1.4	Performance Impacts7				
	2.2	Upda	te CW UIB Noncooperation Discontinuance NOA7				
		2.2.1	Overview				
		2.2.2	Update CW UIB Noncooperation Discontinuance NOA Verbiage7				
		2.2.3	Update CW UIB Discontinuance NOA Variable Population				
		2.2.4	Update Regulations for CW UIB NonCooperation Disc NOA9				
		2.2.5 Cond	Update CW UIB Noncooperation Discontinuance NOA Generation itions				
		Upda	tes to Fragment Generation9				
	2.3	Upda	te CW UIB Noncooperation Denial NOA10				
		2.3.1	Overview10				
		2.3.2	Update CW UIB Noncooperation Denial NOA Verbiage11				
		2.3.3	Update CW UIB Denial NOA Variable Population12				
		2.3.4	Update Regulations for CW UIB NonCooperation Denial NOA13				
		2.3.5	Update CW UIB Noncooperation Denial NOA Generation Conditions13				
		Upda	tes to Fragment Generation				
	2.4	Turn o	ff CW UIB Noncooperation Change NOA15				
		2.4.1	Overview15				
		2.4.2	Turn off CW UIB Noncooperation Change NOA15				
	2.5	Auton	nated Regression Test15				
		2.5.1	Overview15				

2.5.2	Description of	Changes	15
-------	----------------	---------	----

## **1 OVERVIEW**

Counties must deny the CalWORKs (CW) program when a mandatory household member fails to apply for Unemployment Insurance Benefits (UIB) at intake; or discontinue the CW program at the next reporting period when an active household member fails to apply for UIB mid-period.

## 1.1 Current Design

When a mandatory household member fails to apply for UIB and a noncompliance record for failure to apply for Unconditionally Available Income – Apply/Accept UIB is entered, CW EDBC applies the 'Refused UIB' Status Reason to the applicable household member and the remaining household members are given a different status reason i.e. 'No Appl – Req Person'. Because 'Refused UIB' is currently a person level status reason only, the Program Status Reason populates with another closure reason i.e. No Eligible Mem. The CW UIB Non-Cooperation notices are triggered at the individual level when a CW member has the 'Refused UIB' status reason.

Both the CW UIB Non-Cooperation Discontinuance and Denial notices do not have updated state provided verbiage. Additionally, because Refused UIB fails the CW program, the CW UIB Non-Cooperation Change notice will not be triggered by EDBC.

## 1.2 Requests

Update CW EDBC to assign the person level failure reason of 'Refused UIB' to all household members when a mandatory household member fails due to noncompliance for failure to apply for Unconditionally Available Income – Apply/Accept UIB.

Update CW EDBC to add the Program Status Reason of 'Refused UIB' when it is the highest priority failure reason for the CW program.

Update CW EDBC to apply 'Refused UIB' failure reason at Intake, SAR 7 and RE. Do not apply 'Refused UIB' mid-period.

The CW UIB Non-Cooperation notices will be triggered at the program level when the CW program fails with the Program Status Reason of 'Refused UIB'. Update the CW UIB Non-Cooperation Discontinuance and Denial notices with state provided verbiage and remove the CW UIB Non-Cooperation Change NOA.

## **1.3 Overview of Recommendations**

- 1. Updated EDBC to add 'Refused UIB' as a CW program-level closure reason. The status reason of 'Refused UIB' will not be applied mid-period.
- 2. Update the CW UIB Non-Cooperation Discontinuance NOA to generate at program level and update the fragment to the latest verbiage.
- 3. Update the CW UIB Non-Cooperation Denial NOA to generate at program level and update the fragment to the latest verbiage.
- 4. Turn off the CW UIB Non-Cooperation Change NOA.

## 1.4 Assumptions

- The updates to the UIB non-cooperation denial and discontinuance NOA will not be effective dated, i.e., if the EDBC is run for retro months after this SCR goes to production, the UIB non-cooperation NOA will still have the new verbiage.
- 2. A non-compliance record of failure to apply for Unconditionally Available Income – Apply/Accept UIB must be entered for EDBC to apply the 'Refused UIB' status reason.
- 3. When multiple person level status reasons exist on the failing CW program, a hierarchy will determine the status reason used to populate the Program Status Reason.

## **2 RECOMMENDATIONS**

#### 2.1 Update EDBC to add 'Refused UIB' as a CW program-level closure reason

#### 2.1.1 Overview

When a mandatory CalWORKs (CW) individual refuses UIB, the household and the CW program will be denied or discontinued with the Status Reason of 'Refused UIB'. The Status Reason of Refused UIB is not a midperiod closure reason.

#### 2.1.2 Description of Changes

Update CW EDBC to close (deny/discontinue) the program when a mandatory household member has a Non Compliance for Unconditionally Available Income – Apply/Accept UIB. The program closure reason on the EDBC is 'Refused UIB' and the Status Reason is **NOT** applied mid-period.

CW EDBC will apply 'Refused UIB' when a Non Compliance for Unconditionally Available Income – Apply/Accept UIB record is active during Intake, Redetermination or SAR7 processing.

**Note**: EDBC applies the CW person status reason of 'Refused UIB' to all household members when the program fails for 'Refused UIB'.

Program Configurati	Program Configuration							
System Determinati	System Determination							
EDBC Source: Online	EDBC Source: Online EDBC Rules							
Aid Code:	Aid Code:							
Program Status: De	enied							
Program Status Rea	ison: Refused UIB							
Note: Overridden row	vs are in bold.							
Name	DOB	Role	Role Reason	Status	Status Reason			
Test, Spouse 57F	01/01/1966	MEM		Denied	Refused UIB			
<u>Test, Uib 58M</u>	01/01/1965	MEM		Denied	Refused UIB			
Test, Child 3M	01/01/2020	MEM		Denied	Refused UIB			
				Ove	erride Program Configuration			

Technical Note: Set the priority to 1400.

Figure 2.1.1 – Update EDBC to add 'Refused UIB' as a CW program-level closure reason

#### 2.1.3 Programs Impacted

CalWORKs

#### 2.1.4 Performance Impacts

N/A

#### 2.2 Update CW UIB Noncooperation Discontinuance NOA

#### 2.2.1 Overview

Currently, the UIB Noncooperation Discontinuance NOA is triggered at the Person level. This change will update the NOA to trigger at the Program level, as well as updating the NOA's verbiage to the latest state-provided text.

The updated fragment verbiage provided by the state has the variable for populating the verification request date (refer to section 2.2.3 for variable population). This notice will not generate if there is no valid verification request date.

#### **Reason Fragment Name and ID:**

CW\_TN\_UIB\_NOT\_ACC\_A047 ID = 6197 Current NOA Template: CW\_NOA\_TEMPLATE (NA 290, Fragment ID: 3026) Current Program(s): CalWORKs Current Action Type: Discontinuance Current Fragment Level: Person Currently Repeatable: Y Include NA Back 9: Y Current Forms/NOAs Generated with this NOA: N/A Existing Languages: English, Spanish

## 2.2.2 Update CW UIB Noncooperation Discontinuance NOA Verbiage

#### Update Fragment XDP

Update the CW UIB Noncooperation discontinuance NOA verbiage to the following.

Description	Existing Text	Updated Text
Static	The principal earner in your family has not applied for and/or accepted Unemployment Insurance Benefits, or did all that EDD asked. A principal earner is the parent who has earned the most money in the 24 months before your application for the CalWORKs Unemployed Parent Program. The principal earner named by you or the County is <person></person>	We needed certain facts to check your eligibility. On <verif_request_date> we asked you, and/or a member of your household to apply for Unemployment Insurance Benefits (UIB). The rules say you must apply for UIB when the county refers you to the Employment Development Department (EDD), accept benefits when eligible and meet the conditions of eligibility for UIB. The following household member(s) • did not apply for and/or accept (UIB) benefits; or • provide proof of application; and/or • did not ask the County for help getting this proof. <list of="" persons=""></list></verif_request_date>
Static	El asalariado principal de su familia no ha solicitado y/o aceptado Beneficios del Seguro Contra Desempleo (UIB) o no cumplió con los requisitos del Departamento de Desarrollo de Empleos (EDD). El asalariado principal es el padre o madre que ganó más dinero en el período de 24 meses anteriores a su solicitud para el programa CalWORKs de Padre Desempleado. El asalariado principal nombrado por usted o el Condado es <person></person>	Necesitamos cierta información para verificar su elegibilidad. En <verif_request_date> le pedimos a usted, y/o un miembro de su familia que solicitará(n) beneficios de Seguro por Desempleo (UIB). La regla indica que usted tiene que solicitar UIB cuando el Condado la refiere al Departamento de Desarollo de Empleo (EDD), aceptar beneficios cuando es elegible y cumplir con las condiciones de elegibilidad para UIB. El/Los siguientes miembros del hogar: • no aplicaron y/o aceptaron beneficios de (UIB); o • obtener pruebas de la solicitud; y/o • no pidió ayuda al Condado para obtener éstas pruebas. <list_of_persons></list_of_persons></verif_request_date>

## Updated Languages: English, Spanish

Note: Format the English and Spanish fragments with Arial font size 10.

## 2.2.3 Update CW UIB Discontinuance NOA Variable Population

Add the verif\_request\_date and list\_of\_persons variable population listed below.

Variable Name	Population
<verif_request_ Date&gt;</verif_request_ 	Scenario 1:

	If the Non-Compliance list page has only one person with the "Apply/Accept UIB" reason/instance, populate the "Apply For Unconditionally Available Income" verification requested date (associated to the person with the non- compliance) if the verification is still in 'Pending' or 'Refused' status. The 'verification request date' and 'verification status' will be pulled from the Verification Page.		
	Scenario 2:		
	If the Non-Compliance list page has multiple persons with the "Apply/Accept UIB" reason/instance, find the latest "Apply For Unconditionally Available Income" verification request date for the persons with the non-compliance if the verification is still in 'Pending' or 'Refused' status. The 'verification request date' and 'verification status' will be pulled from the Verification Page.		
	Example: Non-Compliance exists for Person A and Person B.		
	"Apply For Unconditionally Available Income" verification was requested for Person A on 05/20/2023 and for person B it was requested on 05/25/2023, the <verif_request_date> should populate 05/25/2023</verif_request_date>		
	Note: If there a Person C with a pending or refused "Apply For Unconditionally Available Income" verification but there is no "Apply/Accept UIB" Non- Compliance tied this Person, then the verification request date for this person will not be considered.		
	Note for both scenarios:		
	<ol> <li>The UIB discontinuance NOA will not generate if there is no pending or refused verification record for the person(s) with the non-compliance.</li> </ol>		
<list of="" person(s)=""></list>	Populate the person(s) with the Non-Compliance of "Apply/Accept UIB" if this person(s) is a member of CalWORKs program.		
	(i.e., ROLE_CODE = 'ME' in PGM_PERS_DETL table)		

Note: Format the English and Spanish fragments with Arial font size 10.

Variables Requiring Translations: N/A

## 2.2.4 Update Regulations for CW UIB NonCooperation Disc NOA

The CW UIB Noncooperation discontinuance NOA has new associated Regulations. The following Regulations will be added when the CW UIB Noncooperation discontinuance Reason is generated on a NOA:

Existing Regulations: EAS: 41-401, 41-440.1 (a), (c), 41-440.2

Updated Regulations: MPP: 40-105.1, 40-181.2; .3, 82-612

## 2.2.5 Update CW UIB Noncooperation Discontinuance NOA Generation Conditions

#### **Updates to Fragment Generation**

1. Update the UIB Noncooperation Discontinuance NOA fragment's generation conditions to trigger at the Program level.

 Generate this NOA only if there is a valid <verif\_request\_date> to populate on the UIB discontinuance reason fragment. Note: Refer to section 2.2.3 for <verif\_request\_date> variable population and 2.2.2 for fragment verbiage. Note: The existing trigger conditions for the CW UIB Noncooperation discontinuance NOA will remain the same unless explicitly mentioned in this SCR.

New NOA Template: N New Program Generation: N New Action Type: N Update to Fragment Level: Y - Program Repeatable: N New Forms/NOAs Generated with this NOA: N

Generation Type	Does this NOA reason currently generate prior to this effort?	Is this generation type being updated with this effort?
EDBC	Yes	Yes, see above.
Negative Action EDBC	No	No
Batch	No	No

#### 2.3 Update CW UIB Noncooperation Denial NOA

#### 2.3.1 Overview

Currently, the UIB Noncooperation Denial NOA is triggered at the Person level. This change will update the NOA to trigger at the Program level, as well as updating the NOA's verbiage to the latest state-provided text.

The updated fragment verbiage provided by the state has the variable for populating the verification request date (refer to section 2.2.3 for variable population). This notice will not generate if there is no valid verification request date.

#### Reason Fragment Name and ID:

CW\_DN\_UNEMP\_INS\_BEN\_NOT\_ACCP\_A047

ID = 6130

Current NOA Template: CW\_NOA\_TEMPLATE (NOA 290, Fragment ID: 3026)

Current Program(s): CalWORKs Current Action Type: Denial Current Fragment Level: Person Currently Repeatable: Y Include NA Back 9: Y Current Forms/NOAs Generated with this NOA: N/A Existing Languages: English, Spanish

## 2.3.2 Update CW UIB Noncooperation Denial NOA Verbiage

#### Update Fragment XDP

Update the CW UIB Noncooperation denial NOA verbiage to the following.

#### Updated Languages: English, Spanish

Description	Existing Text	Updated Text
Static	The principal earner in your family has not applied for and/or accepted Unemployment Insurance Benefits, or did all that EDD asked.	You must give us facts and proof we need and do the other things we need you to, as best you can. You did not do as we asked.
		On <verif_request_date> we asked you, and/or a member of your household to apply for Unemployment Insurance Benefits (UIB).</verif_request_date>
	A principal earner is the parent who has earned the most money in the 24 months before your application for the CalWORKs Unemployed Parent Program. The principal earner named by you or the County is <person></person>	The rules say you must apply for UIB when the county refers you to the Employment Development Department (EDD), accept benefits when eligible and meet the conditions of eligibility for UIB.
		The following household member(s) • did not apply for and/or accept (UIB) benefits; or • provide proof of application; and/or • did not ask the County for help getting this proof.
		<pre></pre> <pre></pre> <pre></pre>
		If you are having problems getting the proof we need, call the County and we can help you try to get it. If you do what we ask and give us the proof and facts we need or ask the County for help before <due_date>, we will take another look at your application.</due_date>
	El asalariado principal de su familia califica para Beneficios del Seguro Contra Desempleo (UIB) pero no los ha solicitado y/o aceptado, o no cumplió con los requisitos del	Usted tiene que darnos los datos y las pruebas que necesitamos, y tiene que hacer las otras cosas que necesitamos que haga lo mejor que pueda. Usted no ha hecho lo que le pedimos.

Departamento de Desarrollo de Empleos (EDD).	En <verif_request_date> le pedimos a usted, y/o un miembro de su familia que solicitará(n) beneficios de</verif_request_date>
El asalariado principal es el padre o madre que ganó más dinero en el período de 24 meses anteriores a su solicitud para el programa CalWORKs de Padre Desempleado.	Seguro por Desempleo (UIB). La regla indica que usted tiene que solicitar UIB cuando el Condado la refiere al Departamento de Desarollo de Empleo (EDD), aceptar beneficios cuando es elegible y cumplir con las condiciones de elegibilidad para UIB.
El asalariado principal nombrado por usted o el Condado es <person></person>	<ul> <li>El/Los siguientes miembros del hogar:</li> <li>no aplicaron y/o aceptaron beneficios de (UIB); o</li> <li>obtener pruebas de la solicitud; y/o</li> <li>no pidió ayuda al Condado para obtener éstas pruebas.</li> </ul>
	<list_of_persons></list_of_persons>
	Si está teniendo problemas para obtener las pruebas que necesitamos, llame al Condado y nosotros le ayudaremos a tratar de obtenerlas. Si hace lo que le pedimos, y nos da todas las pruebas y datos que necesitamos antes de < Due_Date>, revisaremos su solicitud nuevamente.

Note: Format the English and Spanish fragments with Arial font size 10.

## 2.3.3 Update CW UIB Denial NOA Variable Population

Add the verif\_request\_date, list\_of\_persons and due\_date variable population listed below.

Variable Name	Population
<verif_request_date></verif_request_date>	Scenario 1: If the Non-Compliance list page has only one person with the "Apply/Accept UIB" reason/instance, populate the "Apply For Unconditionally Available Income" verification requested date (associated to the person with the non-compliance) if the verification is still in 'Pending' or 'Refused' status. The 'verification request date' and 'verification status' will be pulled from the Verification Page.
	Scenario 2: If the Non-Compliance list page has multiple persons with the "Apply/Accept UIB" reason/instance, find the latest "Apply For Unconditionally Available Income" verification request date for the persons with the non-compliance if the verification is still in 'Pending' status. The 'verification request date' and 'verification status' will be pulled from the Verification Page.
	Example: Non-Compliance exists for Person A and Person B. "Apply For Unconditionally Available Income" verification was requested for Person A on 5/20/2023 and for person B it was requested on 5/25/2023, the <verif_request_date> should populate 5/25/2023 Note: If there a Person C with a pending or refused "Apply For Unconditionally Available Income" verification but there is no "Apply/Accept UIB" Non-Compliance</verif_request_date>
	tied this Person, then the verification request date for this person will not be considered.

	<ul> <li>Note for both scenarios:</li> <li>1. The UIB denial NOA will not generate if there is no pending verification record for the person(s) with the non-compliance.</li> </ul>	
<list of="" person(s)=""></list>	Populate the person(s) with the Non-Compliance of "Apply/Accept UIB.	
<due_date></due_date>	Populate this date by adding 30 days to the CalWORKs application date. Example: If the application date (APP_DATE from C4Y_APP table) is May 1 <sup>st</sup> , the due date will be May 31 <sup>st</sup>	

\*English only, Spanish and threshold will generate based on project standards for that language.

#### Variables Requiring Translations: N/A

#### 2.3.4 Update Regulations for CW UIB NonCooperation Denial NOA

The CW UIB Noncooperation denial NOA has new associated Regulations. The following Regulations will be added when the CW UIB Noncooperation denial reason is generated on a NOA:

Existing Regulations: EAS: 41-401, 41-440.1 (a), (c) 41-440.2

Updated Regulations: MPP: 40-126.341, 40-171.221(i), 82-612

## 2.3.5 Update CW UIB Noncooperation Denial NOA Generation Conditions

#### **Updates to Fragment Generation**

- 1. Update the UIB Noncooperation Denial NOA fragment's generation conditions to trigger at the Program level.
- Generate this NOA only if there is a valid <verif\_request\_date> to populate on the UIB denial reason fragment. Note: Refer to section 2.3.3 for <verif\_request\_date> variable population and 2.3.2 for fragment verbiage. Note: The existing trigger conditions for the CW UIB Noncooperation denial NOA will remain the same unless explicitly mentioned in this SCR.

New NOA Template: N

New Program Generation: N

New Action Type: N

Update to Fragment Level: Y - Program

Repeatable: N

New Forms/NOAs Generated with this NOA:  $\ensuremath{\mathbb{N}}$ 

Generation Type	Does this NOA reason currently generate prior to this effort?	Is this generation type being updated with this effort?	
EDBC	Yes	Yes, see above.	
Negative Action EDBC	No	No	
Batch	No	No	

#### 2.4 Turn off CW UIB Noncooperation Change NOA

#### 2.4.1 Overview

Turn off the CW UIB Change notice, as a refusal to accept UIB by a member of the household will result in discontinuance.

Reason Fragment Name and ID: CW\_CH\_UNEMP\_BFTS\_NOT\_ACC\_A047 ID = 6198 Current NOA Template: NA 290 Current Program(s): CalWORKs Current Action Type: Change Current Fragment Level: Person Currently Repeatable: Y Include NA Back 9: Y Current Forms/NOAs Generated with this NOA: N/A Existing Languages: English, Spanish

## 2.4.2 Turn off CW UIB Noncooperation Change NOA

Turn off CW Change NOA for UIB Noncooperation.

ID	Fragment Name	Languages
6198	CW_CH_UNEMP_BFTS_NOT_ACC_A047	English, Spanish

#### 2.5 Automated Regression Test

#### 2.5.1 Overview

Create new ART scripts to confirm the changes in this SCR.

## 2.5.2 Description of Changes

Create a CW case. Have one person Refuse UIB. Run EDBC and confirm that the entire program goes from Active to Discontinued and Pending to Denied, and that the correct NOAs generate.

Calsaws

California Statewide Automated Welfare System

# **Design Document**

## CA-241306

## Add Missing Translations for CF 377.7D

© 2021 CalSAWS. All Rights Reserved.

	DOCUMENT APPROVAL HISTORY		
CalSAWS	Prepared By	Deepika Gajendra Babu	
	Reviewed By	Lianel Richwin	

DATE	DOCUMENT VERSION	REVISION DESCRIPTION	AUTHOR
08/07/2023	1.0	Initial Draft	Deepika G
09/26/2023	1.1	Content Revision – Updated Request and recommendation to update existing English and Spanish languages to match the state version	Lianel Richwin

## © 2021 CalSAWS. All Rights Reserved.

## Table of Contents

1	Overview	4
	1.1 Current Design	4
	1.2 Requests	4
	1.3 Overview of Recommendations	4
	1.4 Assumptions	4
2	Recommendations	5
	2.1 Add Available Threshold Languages of CF 377.7D to CalSAWS	5
	2.1.1 Overview	5
	2.1.2 Form Verbiage	5
	2.1.3 Form Variable Population	5
	2.1.4 Form Generation Conditions	6
3	Supporting Documents	7
4	Requirements	8
	4.1 Project Requirements	8

## **1 OVERVIEW**

This effort will update and add available threshold languages to CF 377.7D in CalSAWS.

#### 1.1 Current Design

Currently, CF 377.7D (1/14) is only available in English and Spanish Languages in CalSAWS.

#### 1.2 Requests

Update and add CF 377.7D (1/14) – "CalFresh Overissuance Notice for Administrative Errors (AE) Only" in English and available threshold languages to CalSAWS Template Repository.

**Languages Include**: English, Spanish, Arabic, Armenian, Cambodian, Chinese, Farsi, Hmong, Korean, Lao, Russian, Tagalog, and Vietnamese<mark>.</mark>

#### 1.3 Overview of Recommendations

Update and add CF 377.7D (1/14) – "CalFresh Overissuance Notice for Administrative Errors (AE) Only" in English and available threshold languages to CalSAWS Template Repository.

**Languages Include**: English, Spanish, Arabic, Armenian, Cambodian, Chinese, Farsi, Hmong, Korean, Lao, Russian, Tagalog, and Vietnamese<mark>.</mark>

#### 1.4 Assumptions

- 1. Print options for threshold forms will remain the same as the print options for English and Spanish forms.
- 2. There are no changes to the generation logic of these forms. All triggers for the new threshold forms will be the same as the existing English and Spanish forms.
- 3. All fields (blank or prepopulated) will be editable.
- 4. Supporting Documents section references attachments found on Jira.

## **2 RECOMMENDATIONS**

#### 2.1 Update and Add Available Threshold Languages of CF 377.7D to CalSAWS.

#### 2.1.1 Overview

This section will cover the requirements for updating and adding the CF 377.7D forms in available threshold languages.

State Form: CF 377.7D (1/14)

Current Programs: CalFresh

Current Forms Category: NOA

Current Template Repository Visibility: All counties

Existing Languages: English, Spanish

**Template Description:** This form is sent to the participant to notify them that there were too many CalFresh benefits issued due to an error. It provides the participant with the error reason and the calculations of the error.

Imaging Form Name: CF OI Notice for AE Only

Imaging Document Type: Overpayment/Overissuance (OP/OI)

#### 2.1.2 Form Verbiage

Create CF 377.7D XDP's for Threshold Languages

**Threshold Languages:** Arabic, Armenian, Cambodian, Chinese\*, Farsi, Hmong, Korean, Lao, Russian, Tagalog, and Vietnamese.

\*One translation is provided to support the three Chinese threshold languages: Cantonese, Chinese, and Mandarin.

Form Mockups/Examples: See Supporting Documents #1 Form Header: CalSAWS Standard Header #1 Form Number: CF 377.7D Include NA BACK 9: Yes

#### 2.1.3 Form Variable Population

**Field Mappings:** Use the same field mappings as the English and Spanish Forms for population logic.

© 2021 CalSAWS. All Rights Reserved.

## 2.1.4 Form Generation Conditions

CF 377.7D is available from Template Repository.

#### Form Print/Mailing Options

Threshold forms will have the same Form Print/Mailing Options as their corresponding English/Spanish forms.

#### Print Options:

Blank Template		Print Local and Save		Reprint Local	Reprint Central
Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

#### Mailing Options:

Mailing Options	Option for CF 377.7D Form
Mail-To (Recipient)	Applicant selected on the document parameters page.
Mailed From (Return)	Worker's Office Address
Mail-back-to Address	N/A
Outgoing Envelope Type	Standard
Return Envelope Type	N/A
Special Paper Stock	N/A

#### Form Control:

Tracking Barcode	BRM Barcode	Imaging Barcode
Ν	Ν	Y

## Additional Options:

Requirement	Option for CF 377.7D Form	
Post to Self-Service Portal	Y	

## **3 SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS**

Number	Functional Area	Description	Attachment
1	Correspondence	CF 377.7D Threshold Languages	CF3777D_EN.pdf CF3777D_SP.pdf CF3777D_AR.pdf CF3777D_AE.pdf CF3777D_CA.pdf CF3777D_CA.pdf CF3777D_CH.pdf CF3777D_FA.pdf CF3777D_HM.pdf CF3777D_KO.pdf CF3777D_LA.pdf CF3777D_RU.pdf CF3777D_RU.pdf CF3777D_TG.pdf

## **4 REQUIREMENTS**

## 4.1 Project Requirements

REQ #	REQUIREMENT TEXT	How Requirement Met
2.18.3.3 CAR-1239	The LRS shall produce various notices, NOAs, forms, letters, stuffers, and flyers, including: a. Appointment notices; b. Redetermination, Recertification, and/or Annual Agreement notices and forms; c. Other scheduling notices (e.g., quality control, GR hearings, and appeals); d. Periodic reporting notices; e. Contact letters; f. Notices informing the applicant, participant, caregiver, sponsor or authorized representative of a change in worker, telephone hours or Local Office Site; g. Information notices and stuffers; h. Case-specific verification/referral forms; i. GR Vendor notices; k. Court-mandated notices, including Balderas notices; l. SSIAP appointment notices; m. Withdrawal forms; n. COLA notices; o. Time limit notices; g. Interface triggered forms and notices (e.g., IFDS, IEVS); r. Non-compliance and sanction notices; s. Benefit issuance and benefit recovery forms and notices, including reminder notices; t. Corrective NOAs on State Fair Hearing decisions; u. CSC paper ID cards with LRS-generated access information; and v. CSC PIN notices.	CF 377.7D is being added in available threshold Languages.

© 2021 CalSAWS. All Rights Reserved.



California Statewide Automated Welfare System

# **Design Document**

CA-241864

Generate the MC 359R for ICT MAGI Approval

	DOCUMENT APPROVAL HISTORY		
CalSAWS	Prepared By	Sahithi Pabba	
	Reviewed By		

DATE	DOCUMENT VERSION	<b>REVISION DESCRIPTION</b>	AUTHOR
07/15/2023	1.0	Initial draft	Sahithi Pabba
09/21/2023	<mark>1.1</mark>	Content Revision: Updating to suppress existing Approval NOAs when ICT NOA is applicable	Tiffany Huckaby

# Table of Contents

1 Overview					
	1.1	Curre	nt Design		
	1.2	Reque	ests4		
	1.3	Overv	iew of Recommendations4		
	1.4	Assum	nptions		
2	Rec	omme	endations		
			a new MAGI Full Scope Approval/Retro Approval NOA Reason for ICT		
		2.1.1	Overview		
		2.1.2	Form/NOA Verbiage		
		2.1.3	Form/NOA Variable Population		
		2.1.4	Form/NOA Generation Conditions		
	2.2	Add c	a new MAGI Restricted Scope Approval NOA Reason for ICT Case		
		2.2.1	Overview		
		2.2.2	Form/NOA Verbiage		
		2.2.3	Form/NOA Variable Population		
		2.2.4	Form/NOA Generation Conditions9		
	<mark>2.3</mark>	Suppr	ess Existing MAGI Approval NOAs for MC 359R10		
		<mark>2.3.1</mark>	Overview		
		<mark>2.3.2</mark>	Form/NOA Verbiage11		
		<mark>2.3.3</mark>	Form/NOA Variable Population11		
		<mark>2.3.4</mark>	Form/NOA Generation Conditions11		
	2.4	Auton	nated Regression Test11		
		2.4.1	Overview11		
		2.4.2	Description of Changes11		
3	SUPP	ORTING	SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS		

### **1 OVERVIEW**

#### 1.1 Current Design

When an ICT is approved by the receiving county, on a MAGI approval/retro approval case it should generate the regular MAGI approval NOA and missing additional verbiage for ICT.

#### 1.2 Requests

When an ICT is approved by the receiving county, on a MAGI approval/retro approval case for full scope MAGI primary aid code or on a MAGI approval case for restricted scope MAGI primary aid code it should generate the regular MAGI approval NOA and include additional verbiage for ICT.

#### 1.3 Overview of Recommendations

Generate a NOA based on the MC 359R for the Medi-Cal program (MAGI approval/retro approval) when an ICT is approved by the receiving county.

#### 1.4 Assumptions

- 1. Only Full Scope/Restricted scope without SOC will be including for MAGI. Limited Scope will be added with CA-268367.
- 2. This does not change the MC 359R that exist in template repository.
- 3. This new reason fragments will generate in English and Spanish with this effort.
- 4. This SCR does not change the functionality for Mixed MAGI MC 359 R generation.
- 5. SCR CA-266846 is created to update the message fragment verbiage MC\_AP\_CH\_MCAP\_MESSAGE in English and Threshold languages.

### **2 RECOMMENDATIONS**

# 2.1 Add a new MAGI Full Scope Approval/Retro Approval NOA Reason for ICT Case.

#### 2.1.1 Overview

Create a new MAGI Full Scope Approval/Retro Approval NOA Reason for ICT cases approved in receiving county. The verbiage was taken from existing county forms.

State Form/NOA: MC 359 R (05/07) NOA Template: MC\_NOA\_TEMPLATE (ID: 3028) Program(s): Medi-Cal Action Type(s): Approval/Retro Approval Fragment Level: Program level Repeatable: No Include a NA Back 9: Yes (NA\_BACK\_9\_MAGI\_FRAGMENT, Snippet Id: 672) o Includes standard NA Back 9 variable population: Yes Forms/NOAs generated with this NOA: N/A

Languages: English and Spanish

#### 2.1.2 Form/NOA Verbiage

#### Create Fragment XDP

Create a new reason fragment with text like the existing reason fragment MC\_AP\_ICT\_FULL\_AID\_NO\_SOC\_M185 (Reason fragment Id:6591)

#### NOA Mockups/Examples: See Supporting Documents #1

Description	Text	Formatting*
Static	Full benefits with no share-of-cost for <person></person>	Arial Font Size 10

\*English only, Spanish will generate based on project standards for that language.

#### 2.1.3 Form/NOA Variable Population

#### 1. Add Fragment Variable Population

Use the existing reason fragment variable population logic MC\_AP\_ICT\_FULL\_AID\_NO\_SOC\_M185

(Reason fragment Id:6591)

#### Variables Requiring Translations: N/A.

#### 2. Add Fragment Regulations

Add the following Regulations when this new NOA Fragment generates on an NOA:

**English:** Rules: These rules apply; you may review them at your local welfare office: Title 22, C.C.R. §§50120, 50136(a)(2);

**Spanish:** Reglas: Las siguientes reglas, las cuales puede revisar en la oficina de bienestar público, son pertinentes: Código de Regulaciones de California, Título 22, Sección(es): 50120 y 50136(a)(2).

REGULATIONS\_SECTION - Snippet Id: 1308

#### 3. Add NOA Title and Footer References for new Reason.

The new MAGI Full Scope Approval/Retro Approval NOA Reason for ICT Case:

#### NOA Reference on Document List Page: MC 359 R

Note: The document will use standard NOA naming scheme, for example: NOA-MC-HA-MC 359 R

- **NOA Title:** NOTICE OF ACTION MEDI-CAL APPROVAL (existing MC\_AP\_NOA\_TYPE) NOA Title Requires Translations: No, already exists in Spanish.
- **NOA Footer:** MC 359 R (05/07)

NOA Footer Requires Translations: N/A

#### 2.1.4 Form/NOA Generation Conditions

#### Add Fragment Generation

This new Fragment will trigger when the following conditions are met: The case has an Active Medi-Cal program and should have an approval/retro approval action in the current EDBC. There should be an ICT indicator in the receiving county (as part of the program application) and the Medi-Cal program person(s) should have the primary aid code as MAGI full scope aid code. The case should not have Non-MAGI Aid codes for any

of the Person(s) in the current EDBC. This will generate on a MAGI Approval NOA with below action and message fragments.

#### Note:

- 1. Currently in CalSAWS, Cases with NON-MAGI we are already generating MC 359 R.
- 2. If another NOA generates for the MAGI and MAGI ICT Approval NOA also generates there should be two separates NOA's generated. This will not merge with any other notice.
- 3. If this MAGI ICT Approval NOA is generating, any other Approval will be suppressed. See Recommendation 2.3.
- 4. While the non-MAGI NOA generates with a MC 239A3 budget, this budget will not generate for the MAGI version as this budget does not apply for MAGI and is not a part of the State version of the MC 359R.

#### Action Fragment: MC\_AP\_ACTION8(4046)

Message Fragment: MC\_AP\_CH\_DEEMED\_MESSAGE (5121)

MC\_AP\_CH\_BIC\_MESSAGE (5125) MC\_AP\_CH\_MCAP\_MESSAGE (5127) MC\_H\_AP\_CH\_STATIC\_FOOTER (5104)

**Note:** These are the current message fragments that will get generate for NON-MAGI MC 359R and these fragments should generate for MAGI MC 359 R as well.

#### 2.2 Add a new MAGI Restricted Scope Approval NOA Reason for ICT Case.

#### 2.2.1 Overview

Create a new MAGI Restricted Scope Approval NOA Reason for ICT cases approved in receiving county. The verbiage was taken from existing county forms.

State Form/NOA: MC 359 R (05/07) NOA Template: MC\_NOA\_TEMPLATE (ID: 3028) Program(s): Medi-Cal Action Type(s): Approval Fragment Level: Program level Repeatable: No Include a NA Back 9: Yes (NA\_BACK\_9\_MAGI\_FRAGMENT, Snippet Id: 672) o Includes standard NA Back 9 variable population: Yes. Forms/NOAs generated with this NOA: N/A

Languages: English and Spanish

#### 2.2.2 Form/NOA Verbiage

#### Create Fragment XDP

Use the existing reason fragment MC\_AP\_ICT\_RESTRICT\_AID\_NO\_SOC\_M184 (Reason fragment Id:6590)

#### NOA Mockups/Examples: See Supporting Documents #1

Description	Text	Formatting*
Static	Emergency and pregnancy-related services for <person></person>	Arial Font Size 10

\*English only, Spanish will generate based on project standards for that language.

#### 2.2.3 Form/NOA Variable Population

#### 4. Add Fragment Variable Population

Use the existing reason fragment variable population logic MC\_AP\_ICT\_RESTRICT\_AID\_NO\_SOC\_M184 (Reason fragment Id:6590

#### Variables Requiring Translations: N/A.

#### 5. Add Fragment Regulations

Add the following Regulations when this new NOA Fragment generates on an NOA:

**English:** Rules: These rules apply; you may review them at your local welfare office: Title 22, C.C.R. §§50120, 50136(a)(2);

**Spanish:** Reglas: Las siguientes reglas, las cuales puede revisar en la oficina de bienestar público, son pertinentes: Código de Regulaciones de California, Título 22, Sección(es): 50120 y 50136(a)(2).

REGULATIONS\_SECTION - Snippet Id: 1308

Note: The above Regulations will be also used for the Spanish version.

#### 6. Add NOA Title and Footer References for new Reason.

The new MAGI Restricted Scope Approval NOA Reason for ICT Case:

#### NOA Reference on Document List Page: MC 359 R

Note: The document will use standard NOA naming scheme, for example: NOA-MC-HA-MC 359 R

**NOA Title:** NOTICE OF ACTION MEDI-CAL APPROVAL (existing MC\_AP\_NOA\_TYPE) NOA Title Requires Translations: No, already exists in Spanish.

#### NOA Footer: MC 359 R (05/07)

NOA Footer Requires Translations: N/A

#### 2.2.4 Form/NOA Generation Conditions

#### Add Fragment Generation

This new Fragment will trigger when the following conditions are met: The case has an Active Medi-Cal program and have an approval action in the current EDBC. There should be an ICT indicator in the receiving county (as part of the program application) and the Medi-Cal program person(s) should have the primary aid code as MAGI restricted scope aid code. The case should not have Non-MAGI Aid codes for any of the Person(s) in the current EDBC. This will generate on a MAGI Approval NOA with below action and message fragments.

#### Note:

- 1. Currently in CalSAWS, Cases with NON-MAGI we are already generating MC 359 R.
- 2. If another NOA generates for the MAGI and MAGI ICT Approval NOA also generates there should be two separates NOA's generated. This will not merge with any other notice.
- 3. While the non-MAGI NOA generates with a MC 239A3 budget, this budget will not generate for the MAGI version as this budget does not apply for MAGI and is not a part of the State version of the MC 359R.

Action Fragment:MC\_AP\_ACTION8(4046)

**Message Fragment:** MC\_AP\_CH\_DEEMED\_MESSAGE (5121)

MC\_AP\_CH\_BIC\_MESSAGE (5125) MC\_AP\_CH\_MCAP\_MESSAGE (5127) MC\_H\_AP\_CH\_STATIC\_FOOTER (5104)

#### Note:

- 1. These are the current message fragments that will get generate for NON-MAGI MC 359R and these fragments should generate for MAGI MC 359 R as well.
- 2. If this MAGI ICT Approval NOA is generating, any other Approval will be suppressed. See Recommendation 2.3.

#### 2.3 Suppress Existing MAGI Approval NOAs for MC 359R

#### 2.3.1 Overview

Currently the MAGI Approval NOA generates when the approval is due to ICT. Recommendations 2.1 and 2.2 will generate the MC 359R NOA for a MAGI ICT. To prevent both a MAGI approval (MC-MAGI-A) and MC 359R from generating suppression logic will be added to the existing MAGI Approval NOAs when approval is due to ICT.

#### Reason Fragment Name and ID: All MAGI Approval Reasons that generate on a MC-MAGI-A:

Fragment Name	<mark>ID</mark>
H_AP_FULL_SCOPE_RETRO_H901	<mark>7003</mark>
H_AP_FULL_SCOPE_APP_H902	<mark>7004</mark>
H_AP_LIMITED_SCOPE_RETRO_H904	<mark>7006</mark>
H_AP_LIMITED_SCOPE_APP_H905	<mark>7007</mark>
H_AP_RESTRICTED_SCOPE_RETRO_H906	<mark>7008</mark>
H_AP_RESTRICTED_SCOPE_APP_H907	<mark>7009</mark>
H_AP_ACCELERATED_ENROLLMENT_APP_H908	<mark>7010</mark>
H_AP_FULL_SCOPE_APPROVAL_WITH_PREMIUM_APP_H909	<mark>7011</mark>
H_AP_RESTRICTED_SCOPE_APPROVAL_W_PREMIUM_APP_H910	<mark>7026</mark>
H_AP_PREG_TEEN_INC_DISREGARD_H406	<mark>7453</mark>
H_AP_RETRO_PREG_TEEN_INC_DISREGARD_H407	<mark>7454</mark>
H_AP_RESTRICTED_SCOPE_RETRO_H911	<mark>7625</mark>
H_AP_RESTRICTED_SCOPE_APP_H912	<mark>7626</mark>
H_AP_RESTRICTED_SCOPE_APP_H912_NEW	<mark>9525</mark>
H_AP_RESTRICTED_SCOPE_RETRO_H911_NEW	<mark>9526</mark>
H_AP_FULL_SCOPE_APPROVAL_WITH_PREMIUM_APP_NEW_H809	<mark>10331</mark>

State Form/NOA: MAGI Approval NOAs provided by various CH Policy Current NOA Template: MAGI Template (H\_NOA\_TEMPLATE) and mixed non-MAGI/MAGI template (MH\_NOA\_TEMPLATE) Current Program(s): Medi-Cal (MAGI) Current Action Type: Approval Current Fragment Level: Person Currently Repeatable: Yes Include NA Back 9: Yes Current Forms/NOAs Generated with this NOA: N/A Existing Languages: See Supporting Documents #3

#### 2.3.2 Form/NOA Verbiage

# There will be no updates to the verbiage of any of the existing MAGI Approval NOAs.

#### 2.3.3 Form/NOA Variable Population

There will be no updates to the variable population of the existing MAGI Approval NOAs.

#### 2.3.4 Form/NOA Generation Conditions

#### Updates to Fragment Generation

Update the existing MAGI Approval NOA reasons to be suppressed when all the following is true:

- All Program Persons on the program are part of the ICT.
- None of the program persons on the program are receiving a Limited Scope aid code or a SOC.
- The MC 359R is generating or there is the ICT indicator for the program application is yes.

Generation Type	Does this NOA reason currently generate prior to this effort?	Is this generation type being updated with this effort?
EDBC	Yes .	Yes, there will be new suppression logic when Approval is due to ICT. See conditions above under "Updates to Fragment Generation".
Negative Action EDBC Batch	No No	No No

#### 2.4 Automated Regression Test

#### 2.4.1 Overview

Create new ART scripts to confirm the changes in this SCR.

#### 2.4.2 Description of Changes

For both full scope and restricted scope MAGI aid codes, process an ICT for a MC case. Approve the program in the receiving county and confirm that the new NOA fragments generate correctly.

# **3 SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS**

Number	Functional Area	Description	Attachment
1	NOA	Mockup of new NOA for full scope ICT MAGI approval	Mockup - NOA - MC - MA - ICT FULL MED WITH NO SOC.pdf
2	NOA	Mockup of new NOA for restricted scope ICT MAGI approval	Mockup - NOA - MC - MA - ICT RESTRICTD SVCS -EN.pdf
3	NOA	Spreadsheet of available MAGI Approval Fragment languages	MAGI Approval Fragments Languages.xlsx



California Statewide Automated Welfare System

# **Design Document**

CA-243213

Add the DHCS approved BIC language to the English and threshold versions of the NOA.

	DOCUMENT APPROVAL HISTORY	
Cal <b>SAWS</b>	Prepared By	Sahithi Pabba
	Reviewed By	

DATE	DOCUMENT VERSION	<b>REVISION DESCRIPTION</b>	AUTHOR
06/22/2023	1.0	Initial draft	Sahithi Pabba
<mark>9/14/2023</mark>	<mark>1.1</mark>	Content Revision to update list of impacted NOA reasons	<mark>Tiffany</mark> Huckaby

# Table of Contents

	1.1	Currei	nt Design
	1.2	Reque	ests
	1.3	Overv	iew of Recommendations
		1.3.1	Add new common text program-level message fragment for denial/disc
	1.4	Assum	nptions
2	Rec	comme	endations Error! Bookmark not defined.
	2.1	Add c	a new BIC message fragment for Denial
		2.1.1	Overview
		2.1.2	Form/NOA Verbiage
		2.1.3	Form/NOA Variable Population5
		2.1.4	Form/NOA Generation Conditions
	2.2	Add c	a new BIC message fragment for Discontinuance
		2.2.1	Overview
		2.2.2	Form/NOA Verbiage7
		2.2.3	Form/NOA Variable Population7
		2.2.4	Form/NOA Generation Conditions7
	2.3	Obsol	ete existing BIC message fragment generation for Denials/Disc
		2.3.1	Overview
		2.3.2	Description of Change9
3	Sup	porting	g Documents

## **1 OVERVIEW**

ACWDL 18-12 provided the update English language verbiage for Denial/Discontinuance of common text Program-Level BIC message. The translations were provided as part of MEDIL I 19-09.

#### 1.1 Current Design

Existing non-MAGI/MAGI/ mixed non-MAGI generates a common text program Level BIC message fragment for Denial/Discontinuance NOA's. will be replaced with the new common Text program- level BIC message fragments for Denial and Discontinuance as per ACWDL 18-12.

#### 1.2 Requests

Add new message fragments for non-MAGI/MAGI/ mixed non-MAGI common text program level BIC message fragment for Denial/Discontinuance NOA's as per ACWDL 18.12.

Obsolete existing message fragment MC\_DN\_TN\_BIC\_MESSAGE.

#### 1.3 Overview of Recommendations

#### 1.3.1 Add a new Common Text Program-Level Message Fragment for Denial

Existing non-MAGI/ mixed non-MAGI/MAGI NOA common Text Program-Level BIC message fragment for Denial/Discontinuance will be replaced with new common Text Program-Level message fragment for Denial/Discontinuance Verbiage as per ACWDL 18-12.

#### 1.4 Assumptions

- 1. The trigger conditions for existing reason fragments to which the updated message fragment verbiage will be added will not change.
- 2. No changes to NOA regulations are required.
- 3. No changes to the text, generation, or population of existing NOA fragments will be required outside of those listed specifically in this design.
- 4. No updates are required to the NOA title generated on the Document List page.
- 5. Existing NOA fragments will continue to generate in all currently available languages. No new translations will be added with this effort for existing fragments.

### **2 RECOMMENDATIONS**

#### 2.1 Add a New BIC message fragment for Denial

#### 2.1.1 Overview

Add a new non-MAGI/ mixed non-MAGI/MAGI common text Program-Level Denial BIC message fragment.

Known State Verbiage: ACWDL 18-12(English), Medil I 19-09(Threshold Translations)
Program(s): Medical (Magi/Non-MAGI/ mixed non-MAGI)
Action Type(s): Denial
Fragment Level: Program
Repeatable: No
Languages: English, Spanish, Armenian, Cambodian, Chinese, Farsi, Hmong, Lao, Korean, Russian, Spanish, Tagalog, Vietnamese.

#### 2.1.2 Form/NOA Verbiage

#### Create Medical Denial NOA Message Fragment XDP

Add a new non-MAGI/ mixed non-MAGI/MAGI Denial BIC Message. **Note:** Threshold translations are attached in JIRA.

Description	Text	Formatting*
Static	Keep your Benefits Identification Card (BIC)	Arial Font Size 10
	If you have a plastic Benefits Identification Card (BIC), be sure to keep it. You will use your BIC number again if you qualify for Medi-Cal in the future.	

\*English only, Spanish and threshold will generate based on project standards for that language.

#### 2.1.3 Form/NOA Variable Population

N/A, this new message fragment does not require any variable population.

#### 2.1.4 Form/NOA Generation Condition

Generate this new fragment when a NOA is being generated and one of the following fragments is triggered

- 1. MC\_DN\_RETRO\_FAIL\_DETER\_VERIF\_M411
- 2. MC\_DN\_LONGTERM\_CARE\_INS\_VERIF\_FAIL\_M011
- 3. MC\_DN\_MC\_SSI\_DEN\_NOA\_M024\_EN
- 4. MC\_DN\_NO\_ELIG\_PERS\_M312
- 5. MC\_DN\_NO\_LINKAGE\_M325
- 6. MC\_DN\_NOT\_MEET\_BLINDNESS\_RULES\_M327
- 7. MC\_DN\_FAIL\_DETER\_VERIF\_M410
- 8. MC\_DN\_REFUSED\_DIB\_NON\_COMP\_M414
- 9. MC\_DN\_REFUSED\_MILITARY\_BENEFITS\_NON\_COMP\_M415
- 10. MC\_DN\_REFUSED\_RETIREMENT\_NON\_COMP\_M416
- 11. MC\_DN\_REFUSED\_SDI\_NON\_COMP\_M417
- 12. MC\_DN\_REFUSED\_UIB\_NON\_COMP\_M418
- 13. MC\_DN\_REFUSED\_VA\_NON\_COMP\_M419
- 14. MC\_DN\_REFUSED\_WORKER\_COMP\_NON\_COMP\_M420
- 15. MC\_DN\_FTP\_THIRD\_PARTY\_LIABILITY\_NON\_COMP\_M421
- 16. MC\_DN\_DID\_NOT\_APPLY\_MEDICARE\_NON\_COMP\_M422
- 17. MC\_DN\_NAME\_IDENTITY\_NON\_COMP\_M423
- 18. MC\_DN\_NON\_COOP\_CHILD\_NON\_COMP\_M424
- 19. MC\_DN\_FTP\_ELIG\_FORMS\_M426
- 20. MC\_DN\_WRITTEN\_WITHDRAWAL\_M908
- 21. MC\_DN\_NOT\_CA\_RESIDENT\_M905
- 22. MC\_DN\_CHILD\_APPLIED\_FOR\_SELF\_M909
- 23. MC\_DN\_SSI\_SSP\_DENIED\_M911
- 24. MC\_DN\_DECEASED\_M912
- 25. MC\_DN\_TN\_FAIL\_COMPLETE\_DETER\_M904

Note: Existing Reason Fragment Verbiage can be found in Supporting

Document #1.

**Ordering on NOA:** The program-level fragments will generate in a specific and consistent order. It follows the hierarchy from the SCR CA-204496 section 2.8.4.1.

#### 2.2 Add a New BIC message fragment for Discontinuance.

#### 2.2.1 Overview

Add a new non-MAGI/ mixed non-MAGI/MAGI common text Program-Level Discontinuance BIC message fragment.

Known State Verbiage: ACWDL 18-12(English), Medil I 19-09(Threshold Translations)
 Program(s): Medical (Magi/Non-MAGI/ mixed non-MAGI)
 Action Type(s): Discontinuance

Fragment Level: Program

Repeatable: No

Languages: English, Spanish, Armenian, Cambodian, Chinese, Farsi, Hmong, Lao, Korean, Russian, Spanish, Tagalog, Vietnamese.

#### 2.2.2 Form/NOA Verbiage

#### Create Medical Denial NOA Message Fragment XDP

Add a new non-MAGI/ mixed non-MAGI/MAGI Discontinuance BIC Message.

Note: Threshold translations are attached in JIRA.

Description	Text	Formatting*
Static	Keep your Benefits Identification Card (BIC)	Arial Font Size 10
	If you have a plastic Benefits Identification Card (BIC), be sure to keep it. You can use your BIC number until your Medi-Cal ends. You will also use it again if you qualify for Medi-Cal in the future.	

\*English only, Spanish and threshold will generate based on project standards for that language.

#### 2.2.3 Form/NOA Variable Population

N/A, this new Message Fragment does not require any Variable Population.

#### 2.2.4 Form/NOA Generation Conditions

Generate this new fragment when a NOA is being generated and one of the following fragments is triggered.

- 1. MC\_TN\_BLINDNESS\_VERIF\_FAIL\_M014
- 2. MC\_TN\_DISCON\_SENTENCED\_JAIL\_OR\_INSTITUTION\_M020
- 3. MC\_TN\_SSI\_RCPNT\_M024
- 4. MC\_TN\_WHRABTS\_UNKNWN\_M032
- 5. MC\_TN\_ICT\_OUT\_TERM\_M033
- 6. MC\_TN\_STMNT\_OF\_FACT\_NOT\_SIGNED\_M035
- 7. MC\_TN\_MC13\_NOT\_SIGNED\_M038\_EN
- 8. MC\_TN\_RSRCS\_EXCEED\_LIMIT\_M052
- 9. MC\_TN\_COUNTY\_RES\_VERIF\_FAIL\_M080
- 10. MC\_TN\_FAIL\_LTCRP\_TRANSFER\_M095
- 11. MC\_TN\_TMC\_NO\_ELIG\_CHILD\_IN\_HOME\_M169

12. MC\_TN\_TMC\_GROSS\_INC\_EXD\_LIMIT\_M170 13. MC\_TN\_TMC\_PWE\_NOT\_EMPLOYED\_M171 14. MC\_TN\_4\_MONTH\_CONT\_NO\_ELIG\_CHILD\_M174 15. MC TN A AND D FPL INCOME OVER LIMIT M177 16. MC\_TN\_HIC\_NUM\_VERIF\_M301 17. MC\_TN\_OUT\_OF\_STATE\_M319 18. MC\_TN\_MC\_SUPP\_COOP\_FAIL\_M320\_EN 19. MC\_TN\_NOT\_MEET\_BLINDNESS\_RULES\_M327 20. MC\_TN\_NO\_CHILD\_UNDER\_21\_M331 21. MC TN FAIL RETURN STATUS REPORT M342 22. MC\_TN\_CEC\_CHILD\_TURNS\_19\_YRS\_M352 23. MC TN CEC ENDS CHILD MOVES M358 24. MC\_TN\_FAIL\_IFDS\_WITH\_NO\_SOC\_M366 25. MC TN FAIL IFDS WITH SOC M367 26. MC\_TN\_FAIL\_NHR\_NO\_SOC\_M370 27. MC\_TN\_FAIL\_NHR\_WITH\_SOC\_M371 28. MC\_TN\_FAIL\_PVS\_CHILD\_UNDER\_19\_M385 29. MC\_TN\_FAIL\_PVS\_M386 30. MC\_TN\_CLIENT\_REQ\_TERMINATION\_M706\_EN 31. MC\_TN\_FAIL\_DETER\_VERIF\_M410 32. MC TN FAIL REDETER RESPONSE M400 33. MC\_TN\_IC\_MINOR\_IN\_JUVI\_M395 34. MC\_TN\_JUVI\_MINOR\_OVER\_21\_M398 35. MC TN MINOR JUVI OVER 12 MONTHS M399 36. MC\_TN\_LONGTERM\_CARE\_INS\_VERIF\_FAIL\_M011 37. MC TN NO ELIG PERS M312 38. MC TN PERS NOT LNKD PROG M028 EN 39. MC\_TN\_FTP\_ELIG\_FORMS\_M426 40. MC TN NOT CA RESIDENT M906 41. MC\_TN\_EDWARDS\_FAILURE\_TO\_COOPERATE\_M910 42. MC\_TN\_SSI\_SSP\_DENIED\_M911 43. MC\_DN\_TN\_FAIL\_COMPLETE\_DETER\_M904

**Note:** Existing Reason Fragment Verbiage can be found in Supporting Document #1

**Ordering on NOA:** The program-level fragments will generate in a specific and consistent order. It follows the hierarchy from the SCR CA-204496 section 2.8.4.1.

# 2.3 Obsolete existing common text Program-Level BIC message fragment generation for Denial/Discontinuance

#### 2.3.1 Overview

As per the above recommendation new message fragment were added for common text program-Level BIC Denial and Discontinuance, Obsolete the existing one.

#### 2.3.2 Description of Change

Update the logic to no longer generate the existing non-MAGI/MAGI/Mixed-MAGI common text Program-Level BIC message fragment.

ID	Fragment Name	Available Languages*
5126	MC_DN_TN_BIC_MESSAGE	EN, SP, AE, CA, CH, KO, RU, TG, VI, FA, AR, LA, HM

## **3 SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS**

Number	Functional Area	Description	Attachment
1	NOA	Existing Reason Fragment Verbiage for Denial/Discontinuance.	CA-243213 -Existing Reason Fragments Verbiage

Calsaws

California Statewide Automated Welfare System

# **Design Document**

CA-248014

Update the CA 1037 Report for San Mateo and Sacramento County

	DOCUMENT APPROVAL HISTORY				
CalSAWS	Prepared By	Esequiel Herrera-Ortiz			
	Reviewed By	Ravneet Bhatia			

DATE	DOCUMENT VERSION	<b>REVISION DESCRIPTION</b>	AUTHOR
12/06/2022	1.0	Initial Version	Esequiel Herrera-Ortiz
02/02/2023	1.1	Updated the design to account for cases that change residency within two counties that are administered by the same CAPI administering county.	Esequiel Herrera-Ortiz
02/14/2023	1.2	Updated Part A logic to account for cases that change residency in the report month.	Esequiel Herrera-Ortiz
03/17/2023	1.3	Updated Overview of Recommendation to make clear that the original CA 1037 will be disabled for Sacramento and San Mateo.	Esequiel Herrera-Ortiz
05/24/2023	2.1	Added assumption #3 and #4 Updated design to account for programs persons that do not have a county of residency.	Esequiel Herrera-Ortiz
08/11/2023	3.1	Updating the template to remove links from the 'SOC 808' sheet due to a Qlik defect which is causing the report to not generate. Updated requirement 4 to clarify that counties that transfer between San Mateo and San Mateo are considered ICTs and the existing logic already accounts for this scenario.	Esequiel Herrera-Ortiz

# Table of Contents

1	Ove	erview	
	1.1	Curre	nt Design
	1.2	Reque	əsts5
	1.3	Overv	iew of Recommendations
	1.4	Assum	ptions
2	Rec	comme	endations
	2.1	CA 10	37 Multicounty
		2.1.1	Overview
		2.1.2	CA 1037 (Multicounty) Screenshot
		Descr	iption of Change
		2.1.3	Report Location
		2.1.4	Counties Impacted13
		2.1.5	Security Updates
		2.1.6	Report Usage/Performance13
3	Sup	porting	g Documents15
4	Rec	quireme	ents16
	4.1	Projec	t Requirements16
	4.2	Migra	tion Requirements16
5	Mig	gration	Impacts
6	Outreach		
7	Ap	oendix.	

# **1 OVERVIEW**

Sacramento and San Mateo administer the CAPI program for several counties. A modified version of the CA 1037 will be created for Sacramento and San Mateo to allow them to distinguish the counts for each of their administered counties.

Currently Sacramento and San Mateo administer the CAPI cases for the following counites:

Sacramento	San Mateo				
<ul> <li>Alpine</li> <li>Amador</li> <li>Placer</li> <li>Plumas</li> <li>Calaveras</li> <li>Colusa</li> <li>Del Norte</li> <li>El Dorado</li> <li>Glenn</li> <li>Humboldt</li> <li>Lake</li> <li>Lassen</li> <li>Mendocino</li> <li>Nevada</li> <li>Orange</li> <li>Placer</li> <li>Plumas</li> <li>Sacramento</li> <li>Sacramento</li> <li>San Joaquin</li> <li>San Joaquin</li> <li>Santa Barbara</li> <li>Santa Barbara</li> <li>Siskiyou</li> <li>Siskiyou</li> <li>Sutter</li> <li>Tehama</li> <li>Trinity</li> <li>Yolo Yuba</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Alameda</li> <li>Contra Costa</li> <li>Marin</li> <li>Merced</li> <li>Monterey</li> <li>San Mateo</li> <li>Santa Cruz</li> <li>Solano</li> <li>Sonoma</li> <li>Stanislaus</li> </ul>				

For example, if a person that resides in Alpine applies for CAPI Sacramento will create a case within their county where the case has the county set to Sacramento, but the person's residency will be equal to Alpine.

Technical Note: CASE.COUNTY\_CODE = 34 (Sacramento) RECOV\_ACCT.COUNTY\_CODE = 34 (Sacramento) RES.COUNTY\_CODE = 02 (Alpine)

#### 1.1 Current Design

The CA 1037 (CAPI Monthly Statistical Report) is designed to generate in CalSAWS for the county administering its CAPI program. The CA 1037 is not generated for the county that administers CAPI programs for other counties.

#### 1.2 Requests

Create a modified version of the CA 1037 for Sacramento and San Mateo that distinguishes the data for each of their administered counties. This change will not impact the version of the CA 1037 used by all other counties.

#### 1.3 Overview of Recommendations

- 1. Create a modified version of the CA 1037 for Sacramento and San Mateo. The report will account for cases which change residency between two counties that are both administered by the same administering county within the report month.
- 2. Update the 'State' (sheet) to display a separate copy of the state form, to identify the CAPI programs they administer for each county.
- 3. Update all the detail sheets to include a 'County of Residence' column which will allow the counties to filter the data by an administered county.
- 4. Disable the original version of the CA 1037 for San Mateo and Sacramento County.

#### 1.4 Assumptions

- With CA-248019 CAPI Update Residency Detail page 'County of Residence', San Mateo and Sacramento will have the ability to store the county of residency which will allow the CA 1037 to generate for the administered counties.
- 2. The changes outlined in this SCR will not impact the version of the CA 1037 used by all other counties. A new multicounty version of the CA 1037 will be created for Sacramento and San Mateo which filter the data by each county a case resides in. The existing logic for the base population logic and column logic will remain the same unless otherwise specified in this document.
- 3. If a CAPI case administered by Sacramento or San Mateo does not have a county of residence, then it will be reported as having a county of 'Other'. If San Mateo and Sacramento assign a county of residence to a person for a county they do not administer, then a state form will be generated for that county as well. There is nothing that prevents Sacramento or San Mateo from assigning a person any county of residency.
- 4. Sacramento and San Mateo will populate the Residency page for people who reside within their own county. This allows the report to generate a 'State' (sheet) for their own county.

### **2 RECOMMENDATIONS**

#### 2.1 CA 1037 Multicounty

#### 2.1.1 Overview

The Cash Assistance Program for Immigrants (CAPI) Monthly Caseload Movement Statistical Report includes application information such as applications received, applications approved, applications denied, requests for restoration, cases approved, cases restored, cases discontinued, and the number of paid cases during the report month.

The multicounty version of the CA 1037 captures data for counties which administer CAPI for other counties and distinguishes the counts for each of the administered counties.

In ACL 00-11 page 1 under the Content section, counties are instructed to report the status of a case at the end of the report month. For this reason, the report will capture a case based on the residency as of the last day of the report month.

	2.1.2	CA	1037	(Multicounty)	Screenshot
--	-------	----	------	---------------	------------

STATE OF CALIFORNIA - HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES AGENCY		1	1	1	1		1			DEPART	MENT OF SOCIAL SERVIC
		+		-							
		+		1	Sei	nd o	ne copy of this	form	n to:		
				-			ifornia Departm			ces	
Cash Assistance Program for	Immigrants						a Systems and				M.S. 9-081
Monthly Caseload Movemen		rt		-			). Box 944243				
	· · · · ·						ramento, CA 94	4424	4-2430		
							(: (916) 657-203				
		+		-		Fra-	.: (910) 037-23.	14		1	1
		+		_							
COUNTY NAME	CONSORTIUM			cou	JNTY CODE		REPORT MON	TH/	YEAR		
Alameda		_		1			10/2022	_		_	_
PART A. APPLICATIONS										1	
1. Application(s) brought forward from last report n										2	-
2. Application(s) received during the report month (	Sum of Items 2a. and 2b. below	w )									
a. Application(s) Received										2	
b. Request(s) for Restoration										4	
3. Total Applications during the report month (Sum	of Items 1 and 2 above)									5	
4. Application(s) Disposed of during the report mon	th (Sum of Items 4a., 4b., 4c., a	and 4c	i. Below)							6	Γ
a. Application(s) Approved										7	
b. Restorations										8	
										9	
c. Application(s) Denied or Withdrawn										10	
d. Other Approval(s)										11	
<ol><li>Application(s) carried forward to the next report</li></ol>	month( Item 3 minus Item 4 apo	ove)			ALIEN	STA.	TIIS	_			
PART B. CASELOAD			Qualified	No	on-qualified		Sponsored	Lir	mited Term		TOTAL
<ol> <li>Case(s) brought forward from last report month (</li> </ol>		12	(1A)	0 13	(6K)	14	(6M)	15	(6T)	16	
<ol> <li>Case(s) brought forward from last report month ( previous report month)</li></ol>	Item 9 from						U		U		
7. Case(s) added during the report month (Sum of It	ems 7a-c below)			0 18	C		U	20	u	21	[
a. Case(s) Approved		22		0 23	c	24	0	25	0		<u> </u>
b. Case(s) Restored		27		0 28	C	29	0	30	0	31	
c. Other Case Approval(s)		32		0 33	C	34	0	35	0	36	
8. Case(s) Discontinued during the report month (Su	um of Items 8a.	37	0	38	C	39	0	40	0	41	
and 8b. below)		42	6	D 43	C	44	0	45	0	9 46	
a. Discontinued due to receiving Federal SSI/SSP				0 48	C		0	50	c	51	
<ul> <li>b. Other Discontinuance(s)</li> <li>9. Case(s) carried forward to the next report month</li> </ul>				0 53	0			5		56	
(Items 6 + 7 minus Item 8 above)		Ľ		1		1		-		-	
PART C. PAID RECIPIENTS		57	· · · ·	0 58	C	59	0	60	c c	61	
10. Total Recipient(s) paid during the report month.		<u>.</u>		1							
Comments: Item 5 from previous month											
Item 9 from previous report month (Qualified) Item 9 from previous report month (Non-qualified)											
Item 9 from previous report month (Non-Qualited) Item 9 from previous report month (Sponsored) Item 9 from previous report month (Limited Term)											
REPORT PREPARED BY:		TE	LEPHONE						DATE		
		(	)								
CA 1037 (1/2000)		-	· ·		1						
		+		-							
		+		-							
		-									
STATE OF CALIFORNIA - HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES AGENCY		+-		-		-				DEPART	MENT OF SOCIAL SERVI
		+-					- Cabin	_		-	
		+		-	Ser		ne copy of this ifornia Departm			ces	
Cash Assistance Program for	Immigrants						a Systems and				M.S. 9-081
Monthly Caseload Movement		rt		-			). Box 944243			-	
		Ť		+	1		ramento, CA 94	4424	4-7430		
					l		(: (916) 657-203				
										Γ	
COUNTY NAME	CONSORTIUM		4	ςοι	JNTY CODE		REPORT MON	ITH/	YEAR	·	
Contra Costa		_		7		_	10/2022				
PART A. APPLICATIONS										1	
<ol> <li>Application(s) brought forward from last report m</li> <li>Application(s) received during the report month (</li> </ol>										2	
a. Application(s) Received										3	
b. Request(s) for Restoration										4	

See the complete mockup attached in the Supporting Document section.

**Note**: For Sacramento and San Mateo, the State sheet scrolls vertically to show a copy of the state form for each of their administered counties.

#### **Description of Change**

- 1. Create a multicounty version of the CA 1037. The report will inherit the same base population logic and column definitions as the original CA 1037 used by all other counties, unless otherwise mentioned below. The report is titled CA 1037 (Multicounty). The report will generate for only Sacramento and San Mateo.
- 2. Update the 'State' sheet to dynamically create separate form with case level counts for each of the administered counties. See the attached mockup in the Supporting Documents section below.
- 3. Update the COUNTY NAME and COUNTY CODE on each form within the 'State' sheet to display the resident county of the CAPI cases. Currently, only the administering county name and code are displayed, for either Sacramento or San Mateo.

Field	Description
COUNTY NAME	Display in COUNTY NAME, on the state form, the county of residency for the CAPI cases. The field will display 'Other' for cases which do not have a county of residence.
COUNTY CODE	Display in COUNTY CODE, on the state form, code of the county of residency for the CAPI cases (CT-15). The field will be blank for cases which do not have a county of residence.

4. Update the report logic to account for cases which change residency from one CAPI administered county to another CAPI administered county where both counties are both administered by the same administering county. An example of this is if a case changes residency from Alpine which is administered by Sacramento to Amador which is also administered by Sacramento. This does not apply for cases which transfer from a county administered by Sacramento to existing logic already accounts for this.

#### Part A

- a. If an application is reported on Line 5. Application(s) carried forward to the next report month in the prior report month for County A and the case then changes residency to County B as of the last day of the report month, then the application will be reported as follows:
  - i. County A The application will not be counted under Line 1. Applications(s) brought forward from last report month. This will cause a discrepancy between Line 5 of the previous report month and Line 1 of the current

report month. The application is captured in the '**Item 5 from previous month**' total in the comments section. The application will also appear in the 'Adjustment to Line 1' detail sheet.

- ii. County B Reports the application under Line 1.
   Applications(s) brought forward from last report month. This will cause a discrepancy between Line 5 of the previous report month and Line 1 of the current report month. The application will not appear under the 'Item 5 from previous month' total in the comments section. The application will appear in the 'Adjustment to Line 1' detail sheet.
- b. If the application was received in the report month, and there was a change in residency to County B as of the last day of the report month, then the application will be reported as received for County B using the existing logic.

#### Part B

- a. If a case is reported by county A on Line 9. Cases(s) carried forward to the next report month in the prior report month and the case then changes residency to county B during the report month the case will be reported as follows:
  - i. County A Report the case on Line 8b. Other Discontinuance(s) to remove the case from the caseload. This is regardless of if the case remains Active in County B.
  - ii. County B Report the case under line **7.c. Other Case** Approval(s) to add the case into the caseload.
  - iii. All other caseload movement events will follow the existing logic and will be reported by the county in which the case resides in as of the last day of the report month.
- 5. Update all the totals on the 'State' sheet to capture data for only cases residing in the CAPI administered county as of the last day of the report month. The totals filter data based on the CAPI administering county administering the case, followed by the county in which the program person (with a role of Member) resides in as of the last day of the report month. Currently the totals capture all records based on the county administering the case.

Total	Description
1. Application(s) brought forward from last report month (Item 5 from previous report month)	Displays the number of applications carried forward by the administered county from Item 5 of the previous report month. Note: If a CAPI administered county (County A) reported an application on

	Line 5 in the prior report month, and the case changes residency in the report month to County B where both counties are administered by the same county, then the application will be counted on Line 1 for County B but not for County A.
2. Application(s) received during the report month (Sum of Items 2a. and 2b. below)	Equal to the sum of Line 2.a and 2. b.
2.a. Application(s) Received	Displays the number of applications received during the report month for the administered county.
2.b. Request(s) for Restoration	Displays the number of requests for restoration for the administered county.
3. Total Applications during the report month (Sum of Items 1 and 2 above)	Equal to the sum of Line 1 and 2.
4. Application(s) Disposed of during the report month (Sum of Items 4a., 4b., 4c., and 4d. Below)	Equal to the sum of Lines 4a thru 4d.
4.a. Application(s) Approved	Displays the number of applications approved during the report month by the administered county.
4.b. Restorations	Displays the number of restorations approved in the report month by the administered county.
4.c. Application(s) Denied or Withdrawn	Displays the number of applications and restorations denied in the report month by the administered county.
4. d. Other Approval(s)	Displays the number of other approvals processed in the report month by the administered county.
5. Application(s) carried forward to the next	Equal to the sum of Line 3 minus 4.

report month (Item 3 minus Item 4 above)	
6. Case(s) brought forward from last report month (Item 9 from previous report month)	Displays the number of cases brought forward from Item 9 of the previous report month by the administered county.
7. Case(s) added during the report month (Sum of Items 7a-c below)	Equal to the sum of Line 7a, 7b, and 7c.
7.a. Case(s) Approved	Displays the number of cases approved by the administered county that are assigned one of the following aid codes in the report month: 1A, 5K, 6M, 6T
7.b. Case(s) Restored	Displays the number of cases restored by the administered county and assigned one of the following aid codes in the report month: 1A, 5K, 6M, 6T
7.c. Other Case Approval(s)	Displays the number of other approved cases by the administered county and assigned one of the following aid codes in the report month: 1A, 5K, 6M, 6T This include cases reported on Line 9 in the prior report month by other counties that are administered by the same CAPI administering county, but the case changes residency as of the last day of the report month. The case is considered Other Case Approval by the receiving county.
8. Case(s) Discontinued during the report month (Sum of Items 8a. and 8b. below)	Displays the number of other approved cases by the administered county and assigned one of the following aid codes for the report month: 1A, 5K, 6M, 6T
8.a. Discontinued due to receiving Federal SSI/SSP	Displays the number of CAPI cases discontinued due to receiving Federal SSI/SSP.
8.b. Other Discontinuance(s)	Displays the number of other discontinuances in the report month. This include cases reported on Line 9 in the prior report month by other counties that are administered by the same CAPI

	administering county, but the case changes residency as of the last day of the report month. The case is considered Other Discontinuance(s) by the sending county.			
9. Case(s) carried forward to the next report month (Items 6 + 7 minus Item 8 above)	Equal to the sum of Lines 6 plus 7 minus 8.			
10. Total Recipient(s) paid during the report month	Displays the number of cases that are paid by the administered during the report month.			
Comments:				
Item 5 from previous month	Displays the total adjustments from Line 5 of the previous report month by the administered county.			
Item 9 from previous report month (Qualified)	Displays the total adjustments from Line 9 (Qualified) of the previous report month by the administered county.			
Item 9 from previous report month (Non- qualified)	Displays the total adjustments from Line 9 (Non-qualified) of the previous report month by the administered county.			
Item 9 from previous report month (Sponsored)	Displays the total adjustments from Line 9 (Sponsored) of the previous report month by the administered county by the administered county.			
Item 9 from previous report month (Limited Term)	Displays the total adjustments from Line 9 (Limited Term) of the previous report month by the administered.			

- 6. Update the following sheets to include a 'County of Residence' column:
  - a. Line 1
  - b. Adjustment to Line 1
  - c. Line 2a
  - d. Line 2b
  - e. Line 4a
  - f. Line 4b
  - g. Line 4c
  - h. Line 5

- i. Adjustment 1A
- j. Adjustment 6K
- k. Adjustment 6M
- I. Adjustment 6T
- m. Adjustment 7c
- n. Line 8
- o. Line 9

Column Name	Description	
County of Residence	Displays the county name of residency for the <b>Member</b> on the CAPI program as of the last day of the report month. The column will display 'Other' if the case does not have a county of residency.	
	Technical Note: This is the decoded value of RES.COUNTY_CODE.	

7. Disable the original version of the CA 1037 for San Mateo and Sacramento County. All historical version of the report will remain accessible if any exist.

#### 2.1.3 Report Location

- Global: Reports
- Local: Scheduled
- Task: State

#### 2.1.4 Counties Impacted

San Mateo and Sacramento County are directly impacted by the changes outlined in this section, with another 35 counties indirectly impacted.

#### 2.1.5 Security Updates

The CA 1037 (Multicounty) report version will use the same rights as the original CA 1037. No new security rights, groups or roles will be created.

#### 2.1.6 Report Usage/Performance

No notable impact to the system's performance is expected with the implementation of this section.

## **3 SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS**

Number	Functional Area	Description	Attachment
1	Reports	CA 1037 (Multicounty)	CA 1037 (Multicounty) Mockup

## **4 REQUIREMENTS**

# 4.1 Project Requirements

REQ #	REQUIREMENT TEXT	How Requirement Met
2.24.2.2	The LRS shall produce reports that provide the detail LRS Data that will be used to complete the reports required by federal, State, and local laws, rules, regulations, ordinances, guidelines, directives, policies, and procedures.	This SCR will provide Sacramento and San Mateo the summary and detail data for the CA 1037 which is a state mandated report.

# 4.2 Migration Requirements

DDID #	REQUIREMENT TEXT	Contractor Assumptions	How Requirement Met

# **5 MIGRATION IMPACTS**

SCR Number	Description	Impact	Priority	Address Prior to Migration?
CA- 248014	This is a joint 58 county design where there is regional approval aligning to the governance model.	No Impact		

## OUTREACH

None

## 7 APPENDIX

None

Calsaws

California Statewide Automated Welfare System

# **Design Document**

CA-248015

Update SOC 808 Quarterly Report for San Mateo and Sacramento County

	DOCUMENT APPROVAL HISTORY	
CalSAWS	Prepared By	Esequiel Herrera-Ortiz
	Reviewed By	Ravneet Bhatia; Gokul Suresh

DATE	DOCUMENT VERSION	<b>REVISION DESCRIPTION</b>	AUTHOR
05/15/2023	1.0	Initial version	Esequiel Herrera-Ortiz
08/11/2023	<mark>2.0</mark>	Updating the template to remove links from the 'SOC 808' sheet due to a Qlik defect which is causing the report to not generate. Updated requirement 4 to clarify that counties that transfer between San Mateo and San Mateo are considered ICTs and the existing logic already accounts for this scenario.	<mark>Esequiel</mark> Herrera-Ortiz

## Table of Contents

1					
	1.1	Currei	nt Design4		
	1.2	1.2 Requests			
	1.3	1.3 Overview of Recommendations			
	1.4	Assum	ptions5		
2	Rec	comme	endations		
	2.1	SOC 8			
		2.1.1	Overview		
		2.1.2	SOC 808 (Multicounty) Screenshot		
		2.1.3	Description of Change7		
		2.1.4	Report Location		
		2.1.5	Counties Impacted10		
		2.1.6	Security Updates11		
		2.1.7	Report Usage/Performance11		
3	Sup	porting	g Documents12		
4	Requirements				
	4.1	Projec	t Requirements		
	4.2 Migration Requirements				
5	Mig	ration	Impacts14		
6	Appendix15				

## **1 OVERVIEW**

Sacramento and San Mateo administer the CAPI program for several counties. A modified version of the SOC 808 will be created for Sacramento and San Mateo to allow them to distinguish the counts for each of their administered counties.

Currently Sacramento and San Mateo administer the CAPI cases for the following counties:

Sacramento	San Mateo
<ul> <li>Alpine</li> <li>Amador</li> <li>Placer</li> <li>Plumas</li> <li>Calaveras</li> <li>Colusa</li> <li>Del Norte</li> <li>El Dorado</li> <li>Glenn</li> <li>Humboldt</li> <li>Lake</li> <li>Lassen</li> <li>Mendocino</li> <li>Nevada</li> <li>Orange</li> <li>Placer</li> <li>Plumas</li> <li>Sacramento</li> <li>Sacramento</li> <li>San Joaquin</li> <li>San Joaquin</li> <li>Santa Barbara</li> <li>Santa Barbara</li> <li>Siskiyou</li> <li>Siskiyou</li> <li>Sutter</li> <li>Tehama</li> <li>Trinity</li> <li>Yolo Yuba</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Alameda</li> <li>Contra Costa</li> <li>Marin</li> <li>Merced</li> <li>Monterey</li> <li>San Mateo</li> <li>Santa Cruz</li> <li>Solano</li> <li>Sonoma</li> <li>Stanislaus</li> </ul>

For example, if a person that resides in Alpine applies for CAPI Sacramento will create a case within their county where the case has the county set to Sacramento, but the person's residency will be equal to Alpine. This applies to Recovery Accounts.

Technical Note: CASE.COUNTY\_CODE = 34 (Sacramento) RECOV\_ACCT.COUNTY\_CODE = 34 (Sacramento) RES.COUNTY\_CODE = 02 (Alpine)

#### 1.1 Current Design

The SOC 808 is designed to generate in CalSAWS for the county administering its CAPI program. The SOC 808 is not generated for the county that administers CAPI programs for other counties.

#### 1.2 Requests

Create a modified version of the SOC 808 for Sacramento and San Mateo that distinguishes the data for each of their administered counties. This change will not impact the version of the SOC 808 used by all other counties.

#### **1.3 Overview of Recommendations**

- Create a modified version of the SOC 808 for Sacramento and San Mateo. The report is a combined version of the SOC 808 and the SOC 808 Backup Report. The report is modified to account for cases which change residency between two counties that are both administered by the same administering county within the report quarter.
  - a. The report includes a 'SOC 808' (sheet) which displays a separate copy of the state form for each county that Sacramento or San Mateo administers.
  - b. All the detail sheets include a county of residence column which will allow the counties to filter the data by an administered county.
- 2. Disable the original version of the SOC 808 and the SOC 808 Backup Report for San Mateo and Sacramento County.

#### 1.4 Assumptions

- With CA-248019 CAPI Update Residency Detail page 'County of Residence', San Mateo and Sacramento will have the ability to store the county of residency which will allow the SOC 808 (Multicounty) to generate for the administered counties.
- 2. The changes outlined in this SCR will not impact the version of the SOC 808 and SOC 808 Backup Report used by all other counties. A new multicounty version of the SOC 808 will be created for Sacramento and San Mateo which can filter the data by each county a case resides in. The existing logic for the base population logic and column logic will remain the same unless otherwise specified in this document.
- 3. If a CAPI case administered by Sacramento or San Mateo does not have a county of residence, then it will be reported as having a county of 'Other'. If San Mateo and Sacramento assign a county of residence to a person for a county they do not administer, then a state form will be generated for that county as well. There is nothing that prevents Sacramento or San Mateo from assigning a person any county of residency.
- 4. Sacramento and San Mateo will populate the Residency page for people who reside within their own county. This allows the report to generate a 'SOC 808' (sheet) for their own county.

### **2 RECOMMENDATIONS**

#### 2.1 SOC 808

#### 2.1.1 Overview

The SOC 808 is a quarterly report of overpayments and collection for the Cash Assistance Program for Immigrants (CAPI).

The multicounty version of the SOC 808 is generated for Sacramento and San Mateo to capture data for each of the counties they administer CAPI for. The report also provides a state form for each of their administered counties.

#### 2.1.2 SOC 808 (Multicounty) Screenshot

	GENCY	DATA SYSTEMS AND	MENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES SURVEY DESIGN BUREAU		
Quarterly Report of Overpaymen for the Cash Assistance Program (CAPI)	SEND ONE COPY OF THS REPORT TO: California Department of Social services Data Systems and Survey Design Bureau, M.S. 9-081 P.O. 80x 944-91 Sacramento, CA 94244-2430 FAX (915) 857-2074				
COUNTY NAME Alameda	CONSORTIUM	01/2023	REPORT QUARTER AND YEAR 01/2023		
PART A. CASELOAD MOVEMENT - AD	DITIONS	CLAIMS (A)	AMOUNTS (B)		
1. Overpayments carried forward from the end of last q	uarter (Item 1a plus Item 1b)	1	0 <sup>2</sup> \$0.0		
a. Item 12 Claims/Item 17 Amounts from last quarter			0 <sup>*</sup> \$0.0		
b. Adjustment to Item 1a (positive or negative numb	er)		o <sup>°</sup> \$0.0		
2. New overpayment notices sent during the quarter		••	0 <sup>®</sup> \$0.0		
3. Overpayments transferred from other counties durin			0 <sup>10</sup> \$0.0		
<ol><li>Other overpayment additions during the quarter (exp</li></ol>			12		
<ol><li>Total overpayments (Items 1 through 4)</li></ol>			o <sup>™</sup> \$0.0		
PART B. CASELOAD MOVEMENT - SU	JBTRACTIONS	CLAIMS (A)	AMOUNTS (B)		
<ol><li>Overpayments transferred to other counties during t</li></ol>	he quarter		0 <sup>16</sup> \$0.0		
<ol><li>Overpayments not pursued during the quarter</li></ol>			0 <sup>18</sup> \$0.0		
8. Overpayments waived during the quarter			2 <sup>20</sup> \$0.0		
9. Overpayments fully recovered during the quarter			0		
10. Other overpayment subtractions during the quarter (	explain in Comments)		~ .		
11. Total overpayments subtracted during the quarter (I	tems 6 through 10)				
12. Balance of overpayment claims at the end of the qua	arter (Item 5 minus 11)		D <sup>2/</sup> \$0.0		
PART C. OVERPAYMENT RECOVERY		CLAIMS (A)	AMOUNTS (B)		
13. Cash collections during the quarter			~		
14. Grant reductions during the quarter		30	0 <sup>31</sup> \$0.0		
15. Underpayments offset during the quarter		32	o <sup>33</sup> \$0.0		
16. Total overpayment recoveries during the quarter (Ite		34	o <sup>™</sup> \$0.0		
17. Net unrecovered balance at the end of the quarter (in			<sup>36</sup> \$0.0		
(Item 12 minus Item 16)	outstanding)				
COMMENTS CONTACT PERSON (Print)	TELEPHONE		DATE COMPLETED		
TITLE/CLASSIFICATION	· · · · ·		4		
TITLE/CLASSIFICATION	FAX ( )				
STATE OF CALFORNA - HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES A Quarterly Report of Overpaymen for the Cash Assistance Program	ts and Collections	DATA SYSTEMS AND SEND ONE COPY OF THIS California Department of 3 Data Systems and Survey P.O. Box 944243 Sacramento. CA 94244-2	Social Services Design Bureau, M.S. 9-081		
-		FAX: (916) 657-2074			
	CONSORTIUM	REPORT QUARTE	R AND YEAR		
(CAPI)	CONSORTIUM	REPORT QUARTE 01/2023 CLAIMS	R AND YEAR		

**Note:** See the attached mockup in the Supporting Document section.

**Note**: For Sacramento and San Mateo, the SOC 808 (sheet) scrolls vertically to show a copy of the state form for each of their administered counties.

#### 2.1.3 Description of Change

 Create a multicounty version of the SOC 808. The report inherits the same base population logic and column definitions as the original SOC 808 used by all other counties, unless otherwise mentioned below. The report is titled SOC 808 (Multicounty). The report is generated only for Sacramento and San Mateo.

- 2. Create a modified version of the 'SOC 808' (sheet) that dynamically create separate state forms with case level counts for each of the administered counties. If a CAPI case administered by Sacramento or San Mateo does not have a county of residence, then it will be reported as having a county of 'Other'. If a case had a county of residency that is not administered by Sacramento or San Mateo, then we will still generate a form for that county. See the attached mockup in the Supporting Documents section below.
- 3. The COUNTY NAME and COUNTY CODE on each form within the 'SOC 808' (sheet) to display the resident county of the CAPI case. Currently, only the administering county name and code are displayed, for either Sacramento or San Mateo.

Field	Description
COUNTY NAME	Display in COUNTY NAME, on the state form, the county of residency for the CAPI cases. The field will display 'Other' for cases which do not have a county of residence.
COUNTY CODE	Display in COUNTY CODE, on the state form, of the county of residency for CAPI cases (CT-15). The field will be blank for cases which do not have a county of residence.

4. Update the existing logic of the SOC 808 report logic to account for cases which change residency from one CAPI administered county to another CAPI administered county where both counties are administered by the same county. An example of this is if a case changes residency from Alpine which is administered by Sacramento to Amador which is also administered by Sacramento. Being administered by the same county means the cases have the same county code but the residency are two separate counties. This does not apply to Inter County Transfers (ICTs). The existing logic already accounts for ICTs.

#### Part A

a. If a Recovery Account is reported on Line 12. Balance of overpayment claims at the end of the quarter in the prior report quarter for County A and the case then changes residency to County B as of the last day of the report quarter, then the Recovery Account will be reported as follows:

- i. County A The Recovery Account and amount will be reported under Line 1a. Item 12 Claims/Item 17 Amounts from last quarter with the same amount that was reported in the prior quarter. The Recovery Account will also be reported on Line 6. Overpayments transferred to other counties with the same amount that was reported in the prior quarter. This will cause the claim and amount to zero out on the report. The Recovery Account will also appear in the corresponding 'Line 1' and 'Line 6' detail sheets.
- ii. County B Reports the Recovery Account and amount under Line 3. Overpayments transferred from other counties during the quarter with the same amount that was reported in the prior quarter. The record should appear in the 'Line 3' detail sheet as well. Any transaction that was posted to the Recovery Account during the report quarter is reported using the existing logic.
- 5. The totals on the 'SOC 808' (sheet) capture data for only the cases residing in the CAPI administered county that the sheet pertains to as of the last day of the report quarter. The totals filter data based on the CAPI administering county administering the case, followed by the county in which the program person (with a role of Member) resides in as of the last day of the report quarter. Currently the totals capture all records based on the county administering the case.
- 6. Add a 'County of Residence in Prior Quarter' column to the following sheets:
  - a. Line 1
  - b. Line 6

See the attached mockup in the Supporting Documents Section for column placement.

Column Name	Description
County of Residence in Prior Quarter	Displays the county name of residency for the <b>Member</b> on the CAPI program as of the last day of the prior report quarter. The field will display 'Other' for cases which did not have a county of residence.
	Technical Note: This is the decoded value of RES.COUNTY_CODE.

- 7. Add a 'County of Residence' column to the following sheets:
  - a. Line 1b
  - b. Line 2
  - c. Line 3
  - d. Line 7
  - e. Line 8
  - f. Line 9
  - g. Line 13
  - h. Line 14
  - i. Line 15

See the attached mockup in the Supporting Documents Section for column placement.

Description		
Displays the county name of residency for the <b>Member</b> on the CAPI program as of the last day of the report quarter. The field will display 'Other' for cases which do not have a county of residence.		

8. Disable the original version of the SOC 808 and the SOC 808 Backup Report for San Mateo and Sacramento County. All historical version of the report will remain accessible if any exist.

#### 2.1.4 Report Location

- Global: Reports
- Local: Scheduled
- Task: State

#### 2.1.5 Counties Impacted

San Mateo and Sacramento County are directly impacted by the changes outlined in this section, with another 35 counties indirectly impacted.

#### 2.1.6 Security Updates

1. The SOC 808 (Multicounty) report version will use the same rights as the original SOC 808 and SOC 808 Backup Detail. No new security rights, groups, or roles will be created.

#### 2.1.7 Report Usage/Performance

No notable impact to the system's performance is expected with the implementation of this section.

## **3 SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS**

Number	Functional Area	Description	Attachment
1	Reports	SOC 808 (Multicounty)	SOC 808 (Multicounty) Mockup

## **4 REQUIREMENTS**

## 4.1 Project Requirements

REQ #	REQUIREMENT TEXT	How Requirement Met
2.24.2.2	The LRS shall produce reports that provide the detail LRS Data that will be used to complete the reports required by federal, state, and local laws, rules, regulations, ordinances, guidelines, directives, policies, and procedures.	This SCR will provide Sacramento and San Mateo the summary and detail data for the SOC 808 which is a state mandated report.

## 4.2 Migration Requirements

DDID #	REQUIREMENT TEXT	Contractor Assumptions	How Requirement Met

## **5 MIGRATION IMPACTS**

SCR Number	Description	Impact	Priority	Address Prior to Migration?
CA- 248015		No Impact		

## **APPENDIX**

None

CalSAWS

California Statewide Automated Welfare System

# **Design Document**

## CA-248219

Populate Contact Information on the GAGR NA Back 9

	DOCUMENT APPROVAL HISTORY		
CalSAWS	Prepared By	Sumanth Vydana	
	Reviewed By		

DATE	DOCUMENT VERSION	<b>REVISION DESCRIPTION</b>	AUTHOR
5/01/2022	0.1	Initial Design	Sumanth Vydana
<mark>09/20/2022</mark>	<mark>0.2</mark>	Updated Impacted forms- Content Revision	<mark>Sumanth Vydana</mark>

## Table of Contents

1	Ove	erview.		4
	1.1	Currei	nt Design	4
	1.2	Reque	əsts	4
	1.3	Overv	iew of Recommendations	4
	1.4	Assum	iptions	4
2			ndations	
	2.1	Updat	e GA/GR NA Back 9	5
		2.1.1	Overview	5
		2.1.2	Form/NOA Verbiage	5
			Form/NOA Variable Population	
		2.1.4	Form/NOA Generation Conditions	7
3	Sup	porting	g Documents	7

## **1 OVERVIEW**

This effort is Populate Contact county's Information on the GAGR NA Back 9 (Note: this is the C-IV Managed GA/GR solution and will not impact LA County or CalWIN GA/GR NOAs).

#### 1.1 Current Design

Currently the Contact Information on the C-IV managed GAGR NA Back 9 Form versions only hold 7 lines of county information which is large enough to populate the Contact county's Information for counties.

#### 1.2 Requests

Update the C-IV managed GAGR NA Back 9 Form versions to Populate Contact Information on the GAGR NA Back 9:

- Contact Name
- Organization
- Address Line One
- Address Line Two
- City, State, Zip
- Primary Phone Number / Fax
- Toll Free Phone Number

#### **1.3 Overview of Recommendations**

• Update the C-IV managed GAGR NA Back 9 that exist in Cal SAWS to include enough space (7 lines) to populate all currently generated NA Back 9 information. The C-IV managed GAGR NA Back 9 will be updated to populate the same information as the standard CalSAWS NA Back 9.

#### 1.4 Assumptions

This effort is only to Populate Contact Information on the C-IV managed GAGR NA Back 9. No other Forms will be updated with this effort.

#### **2** ECOMMENDATIONS

#### 2.1 Update GA/GR NA Back 9

#### 2.1.1 Overview

Currently the C-IV managed GA/GR NA BACK 9 generates with the C-IV managed GA/GR NOAs from the Template Repository (CSF106, CSF107, CSF108, CSF109, CSF110, CSF111, CSF 150, CSF 151, CSF 152, CSF 153, CSF 154, CSF 155). It currently does not have the space for seven lines of information in the Hearing and Legal Aid Address sections. There is no data populating in these lines.

State Form: No, this was a form that was originally created from existing former C-IV county GA/GR noticing.
Current Programs: Former C-IV County GA/GR
Current Attached Form(s): N/A
Current Forms Category: NOA
Current Template Repository Visibility: Migration Counties
Existing Languages: English and Spanish

#### 2.1.2 Form/NOA Verbiage

#### Update Form XDP

Update the C-IV managed GA/GR NA BACK 9 (NA\_BACK9\_FRAGMENT\_GAGR) to have enough space (seven lines of text) to populate county's contact information in two places as show in the figures below.

Updated Languages: English and Spanish Form Mockups/Examples: See Supporting Documents #1

TO ASK FOR A HEARING:	hearing.) My language or dialect is:		
<ul> <li>Fill out this page.</li> <li>Make a copy of the front and back of this page for your proords. If you ask, your worker will get you a copy of this page.</li> <li>Send or take this page to:</li> </ul>	NAME OF PERSON WHOSE BENEFITS WERE DENIED, CHANGE BIRTH DATE STREET ADDRESS	D OR STOPPED PHONE NUMBER	
	CITY SIGNATURE	DATE ZIP CODE	
	NAME OF PERSON COMPLETING THIS FORM I want the person named below to represent me at thi hearing. I give my permission for this person to see m records or go to the hearing for me. (This person <u>car</u> <u>be</u> a friend or relative but cannot interpret for you.)		
OR • Call toll free: 1-800-952-5253 or for hearing or speech	NAME STREET ADDRESS	PHONE NUMBER	
impaired who use TDD 1-800-952-8349.			



#### 2.1.3 Form/NOA Variable Population

Update the logic to add the following existing fields (DOC\_DATA) to the C-IV managed GAGR NA BACK 9.

Variable Name	Population	Formatting	Editable/Field Type	Template Repository Population	Populates with Form Generation
<legal address="" aid=""></legal>	Populates the Legal Aid Address per existing CalSAWS NA Back 9 logic with the following lines of information: Contact Name Organization Address Line One Address Line Two City, State, Zip Primary Phone Number / Fax Toll Free Phone Number	Arial Font 10	Yes, Text Field	Yes	N/A

<hearing aid<br="">Address&gt;</hearing>	Populates the Hearing Aid Address per existing CalSAWS NA Back 9 logic with the following lines of information:	Arial Font 10	Yes, Text Field	Yes	N/A
	<ul> <li>Contact Name</li> <li>Organization</li> <li>Address Line One</li> <li>Address Line Two</li> <li>City, State, Zip</li> <li>Primary Phone Number / Fax</li> <li>Toll Free Phone Number</li> </ul>				

#### 2.1.4 Form/NOA Generation Conditions

N/A. This effort will not add any generation conditions to the C-IV managed GAGR NA Back 9.

## **3 SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS**

Number	Functional Area	Description	Attachment
1	Forms	C-IV managed GA/GR NA BACK 9 population example	Supporting Documents

Calsaws

California Statewide Automated Welfare System

# **Design Document**

## CA-252048

Add E-Sign Functionality to LA County Additional CalSAWS Forms

	DOCUMENT APPROVAL HISTORY		
CalSAWS	Prepared By	Lalitha Valamarthi	
	Reviewed By	Priya Sridharan	

DATE	DOCUMENT VERSION	<b>REVISION DESCRIPTION</b>	AUTHOR
07/13/2023	1.0	Original	Lalitha Valamarthi
07/24/2023	1.1	Adding new requirements	Lalitha Valamarthi
<mark>09/26/2023</mark>	<mark>1.2</mark>	Content Revision to remove the recommendation for CSF 100 form.	<mark>Lalitha Valamarthi</mark>

## Table of Contents

1	Ove	erview		4
	1.1	Currei	nt Design	4
	1.2	Reque	ests	4
	1.3	Overv	iew of Recommendations	4
	1.4	Assum	iptions	4
2	Rec	comme	endations	4
	2.1	Upda	te and Add Mailing Coversheet Form	4
		2.1.1	Overview	4
		2.1.2	Description of Change	4

## **1 OVERVIEW**

The purpose of this change is to Update the E-Sign Functionality to LA County Additional CalSAWS Forms.

#### 1.1 Current Design

Currently the E-Signature functionality is not available for all required LA county forms.

#### 1.2 Requests

Add E-Sign functionality to the requested LA Forms <mark>and make the non-state form</mark> <mark>CSF 100 visible for L.A. County</mark>.

#### **1.3 Overview of Recommendations**

- 1. Add E-Sign functionality to the requested LA Forms.
- 2. Make the non-state form CSF 100 visible for L.A. County.
- 3. Update the program drop-down on the Document Parameters page for the GN 6135, GN 6137B, and PA 1913.
- 4. Update form PA 1913 to add a coversheet.

#### 1.4 Assumptions

- 1. Form Names and Form numbers will not be updated with this SCR. The only changes for the forms identified will be the addition of E-signature functionality.
- 2. Adding E-Sign to the forms will give users the ability to do both E-sign and Telephonic signature.

### **2 RECOMMENDATIONS**

#### 2.1 Add E-Sign functionality to the requested LA Forms

#### 2.1.1 Overview

This section will cover the updates needed to update the E-Sign functionality to the below requested LA county Forms.

#### 2.1.2 Description of Change

- 1. Perform a DCR to DOC\_TEMPL to update the values for ESIGN\_IND for the forms listed below.
  - ABP 1676-2

- ABP 1676-4 GRMH
- CW 86-LA
- GN 6006A
- GN 6006B
- GN 6006C
- GN 6006D
- GN 6135
- GN 6137
- GN 6137A
- GN 6137B
- GN 6372
- GR 21
- GR 6
- PA 146
- PA 167
- PA 1815
- PA 1913
- PA 2124
- PA 6011
- PA 6012
- PA 6056
- PA 908
- REP 3

**Note:** The 'Signature Method' drop-down on the 'electronic Signature' Page will show 'IVR/Text and Telephonic' after enabling the ESIGN\_IND to 'Y'.

- 2. Perform a DCR to DOC\_TEMPL to update the value for TEMPL\_COUNTY\_CATGRY of the non-state form CSF 100 to be visible for all counties, including LA County.
- 3. Update the program drop-down on the Document Parameters page for the GN 6135, GN 6137B, and PA 1913.
  - Add WTW in addition to the currently available programs in the Program field on the Document Parameters page for the GN 6135.
  - Add REP in addition to the currently available programs in the Program field on the Document Parameters page for the GN 6137B.
  - c. Add WTW, REP, and CalWORKs to the Program field on the Document Parameters page for the PA 1913.
- 4. Update form PA 1913 to add a CSF 147 coversheet and add the print options.
  - a. Add the mailing coversheet CSF 147 to the form PA 1913.
  - b. Include the following parameters for the packet on the Document Parameters page:

**Technical Note:** The name of the document parameter is Standard.jsp.

- i. Case Number
- ii. Customer Name
- iii. Program
- iv. Language

Document Paramet	ers		Help
*- Indicates required fields	Generate Form	Generate Blank Template	Cancel
Case Number: *		Customer Name	e: *
Go		- Select - 🗸	
Program: 🗚			
- Select - 🗸			
Language: 米			
English V			
	Generate Form	Generate Blank Template	Cancel

#### c. The packet has the following print options:

Blank Template	Print Local without Save	Print Local and Save		Reprint Local	Reprint Central
Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y

I. The 'Print Local without Save' option is only available when a blank template is generated.

II. The 'Print and Save' options are only available when generating the packet in the context of the case.

d. Add the following barcode options to the form PA 1913

Tracking Barcode	BRM Barcode	Imaging Barcode
Ν	Ν	Y

#### Mailing Requirements:

Mail-To (Recipient): The Individual selected on the 'Customer Name' dropdown on the Document parameter page. Mailed From (Return): Program Worker's Office Address Mail-back-to Address: N/A Outgoing Envelope Type: Standard Return Envelope Type: N/A

#### Additional Requirements:

Special Paper Stock: N/A Enclosures: N/A Clock Indicator: N Electronic Signature: Yes Post to Self Service Portal: Yes